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Mini-Circuits’ new programmable attenuators offer precise attenuation from 0 up to 120 dB, supporting even more applications and greater sensitivity level measurements! Now available in models with maximum attenuation of 30, 60, 90, 110, and 120 dB with 0.25 dB attenuation steps, they provide the widest range of level control in the industry with accurate, repeatable performance for a variety of applications including fading simulators, handover system evaluation, automated test equipment and more! Our unique designs maintain linear attenuation change per dB over the entire range of attenuation settings, while USB, Ethernet and RS232 control options allow setup flexibility and easy remote test management. Supplied with user-friendly GUI control software, DLLs for programmers\(^1\) and everything you need for immediate use right out of the box, Mini-Circuits programmable attenuators offer a wide range of solutions to meet your needs and fit your budget. Visit minicircuits.com for detailed performance specs, great prices, and off the shelf availability. Place your order today for delivery as soon as tomorrow!

\*120 dB models specified from 1-4000 MHz.

\(^1\)No drivers required. DLL objects provided for 32/64-bit Windows® and Linux® environments using ActiveX® and .NET® frameworks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Attenuation Range</th>
<th>Attenuation Accuracy</th>
<th>Step Size</th>
<th>USB Control</th>
<th>Ethernet Control</th>
<th>RS232 Control</th>
<th>Price Qty. 1-9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RUDAT-6000-30</td>
<td>0-30 dB</td>
<td>±0.4 dB</td>
<td>0.25 dB</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>$395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCDAT-6000-30</td>
<td>0-30 dB</td>
<td>±0.4 dB</td>
<td>0.25 dB</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUDAT-6000-60</td>
<td>0-60 dB</td>
<td>±0.3 dB</td>
<td>0.25 dB</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>$625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCDAT-6000-60</td>
<td>0-60 dB</td>
<td>±0.3 dB</td>
<td>0.25 dB</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUDAT-6000-90</td>
<td>0-90 dB</td>
<td>±0.4 dB</td>
<td>0.25 dB</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>$695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCDAT-6000-90</td>
<td>0-90 dB</td>
<td>±0.4 dB</td>
<td>0.25 dB</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW RUDAT-6000-110</td>
<td>0-110 dB</td>
<td>±0.45 dB</td>
<td>0.25 dB</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>$895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW RCDAT-6000-110</td>
<td>0-110 dB</td>
<td>±0.45 dB</td>
<td>0.25 dB</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW RUDAT-4000-120</td>
<td>0-120 dB</td>
<td>±0.5 dB</td>
<td>0.25 dB</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>$895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW RCDAT-4000-120</td>
<td>0-120 dB</td>
<td>±0.5 dB</td>
<td>0.25 dB</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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from the **EDITOR**

Min Wu
Editor-in-Chief
minwu@umd.edu

**Art, Engineering, and Community**

I wrote this editorial on my way back from ICASSP 2015, which was held in Brisbane, Australia, 19–24 April. I, along with *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine’s* (SPM's) area editors, presented to the magazine’s Editorial Board and the IEEE Signal Processing Society's (SPS’s) Publication Board the progress our magazine has made and the plans being carried out in the first part of this year. We also discussed ideas on how we can bring *SPM* to the next level and best serve our broad community.

One idea that we are working on is to expand ways to enhance the participation and organization of our readers. Thanks to the efforts of Andres Kwasinski, our area editor for social media and outreach, *SPM* is now present on several major social media channels (including LinkedIn, Twitter, and Facebook)—so please find us on your favorite social media platform, and bring along your friends and colleagues in cyberspace.

Inspired by Science’s efforts in recent years to bring young scientists' voices to a wider audience, we are launching a pilot effort through social media platforms to invite you—our readers and members—to offer insight toward two questions about the career and essence of signal processing. See “Share Your Answers” for the questions and links to these discussions. Please concisely explain your thoughts, and provide your contact information (anonymous answers will not be considered). Our editorial team will select enlightening answers and publish excerpts in a future issue of *SPM* or *Inside Signal Processing* e-Newsletter. Whether you are a student, an educator, a researcher, or a practitioner, readers at all stages of their careers are welcome!

You may notice several summarizing highlights in this issue of *SPM* as well as in previous issues. We are experimenting with these highlights to bring your attention to various initiatives and resources that the SPS boards and committees are working on, especially those in electronic forms. For example, in this issue, you will see highlights of informative resources from the first quarter of the monthly e-Newsletters—in case you may have missed them (page 7)—and documents other colleagues may be talking about on the newly opened SigPort repository (page 16). We hope you will find these highlights and summaries helpful, as they point to more in-depth resources you will find in online venues.

**SHARE YOUR ANSWERS**

1) With signal processing training, what do you consider a successful career?
2) What is the most unexpected example of signal processing in our daily lives?

We want to hear from you! Visit:
- LinkedIn: http://linkd.in/1aEgGXd
- Facebook: http://www.facebook.com/ieeeSPM.

You will also find a pictorial summary accompanying the guest editorial of this special issue (page 14). These representative graphics, selected with input from the guest editors, are assembled to give you an at-a-glance view of the 11 articles in this intriguing special issue where signal processing meets art history. I’d like to extend my sincere thanks to the guest editors for their hard work to help bridge these two communities!

Speaking of art and engineering, I made a brief stop during my return trip transiting through Sydney, Australia, to visit one of the ultimate embodiments of art and engineering—the Opera House in Sydney Harbour. The elegance of this iconic landmark designed by the Danish architect Jørn Utzon is unparalleled—whether viewed up close from land or from the ferries traveling on the water, the curves and shape of the Opera House are always inviting and refreshing.

On a tour offered by the Opera House, I learned the dramatic story behind its vision and construction: the diverging architectural assessments among even the best-known architects on this daring idea versus a “circus tent,” the skepticism and challenges on whether the curved roof could actually be built and how, the budget concerns and delay toward completion, and the resignation of the architect... yet ultimately, overcoming obstacles and controversy, the Opera House came to life from idea to icon, as a triumph of incredible creativity, perseverance, and collective effort by many!

As our signal processing community is exploring new ways to raise its visibility and strengthen its impact, we are surrounded by many ideas from devoted volunteers. Not all new ideas come easily accepted or are straightforward to implement. Perhaps the history behind the Sydney Opera House offers insights and encouragement for our community to embrace new ideas that help bring us closer and stronger, with a brighter future together!
GVA amplifiers now offer more options and more capabilities to support your needs. The new GVA-123+ provides ultra-wideband performance with flat gain from 0.01 to 12 GHz, and new model GVA-91+ delivers output power up to 1W with power added efficiency up to 47%! These new MMIC amplifiers are perfect solutions for many applications from cellular to satellite and more! The GVA series now covers bands from DC to 12 GHz with various combinations of gain, P1dB, IP3, and noise figure to fit your application. Based on high-performance InGaP HBT technology, these amplifiers are unconditionally stable and designed for a single 5V supply in tiny SOT-89 packages. All models are in stock for immediate delivery! Visit minicircuits.com for detailed specs, performance data, export info, free X-parameters, and everything you need to choose your GVA today!

FREE X-Parameters-Based Non-Linear Simulation Models for ADS
http://www.modelithics.com/mvp/Mini-Circuits.asp

<http://www.minicircuits.com>

P.O. Box 350166, Brooklyn, NY 11235-0003  (718) 934-4500 sales@minicircuits.com

© 2007 Mini-Circuits

US patent 6,943,629

DC* to 12 GHz up to 1W Output Power from £94 ea. (qty.1000)

2 New Models!

GVA-84+
GVA-60+
GVA-63+
GVA-62+
GVA-82+
GVA-83+
GVA-91+
GVA-123+

0.01-12 GHz
1W Power
Ultra-Wideband, 0.01-12 GHz

GVA-84+
GVA-60+
GVA-63+
GVA-62+
GVA-82+
GVA-83+
GVA-91+
GVA-123+

£94 ea. (qty.1000)

NOW

*Low frequency cut-off determined by coupling cap.
For GVA-60+, GVA-62+, GVA-63+, and GVA-123+ low cut off at 10 MHz.
For GVA-91+, low cut off at 869 MHz.

NOTE: GVA-62+ may be used as a replacement for RFMD SBB-4089Z
GVA-63+ may be used as a replacement for RFMD SBB-5089Z
See model datasheets for details.
SigPort: A Document Repository for Signal Processing

The Signal Processing Repository (SigPort) is an online repository of manuscripts, reports, technical white papers, theses, and supporting materials. Created and supported by the IEEE Signal Processing Society (SPS), SigPort collects technical material of interest to the broad signal and information processing community, with categories covering each of the Society’s technical committees. SigPort documents can be accessed for free at http://www.sigport.org.

Some of our SPS members said that they wanted a mechanism to disseminate their research quickly while their IEEE journal submission was being reviewed. The popular arXiv.org Web site allows authors to upload e-prints in some areas within computer science, but it does not have an area for signal processing. Much like arXiv, SigPort hosts material to help individuals obtain early and broad exposure to their work. SigPort provides a time stamp for each uploaded document; a unique URL is assigned to the document, designating it as part of the IEEE SPS SigPort as well as for easy referencing.

Also similar to arXiv, SigPort papers are not peer reviewed. Authors retain all the rights to their documents and can submit them later to journals, conferences, books, etc., since submissions to the SigPort repository are not as restricted as formal publications. We expect a majority of the e-prints to be submitted to one of the Society’s journals for publication, but some works may remain purely as e-prints and will never be published in a peer-reviewed journal. SigPort documents are visible to citation and search engines such as Google Scholar, which will combine citations to the e-print with citations to the final journal article.

SigPort can also archive research drafts, white papers, posters, presentation slides, lecture notes, student papers, auxiliary materials, and more. Since SigPort was developed from scratch, we’ve built it with the latest Web technologies. SigPort documents can receive immediate private comments as feedback, public endorsement from colleagues who “like” your work, and potential highlights as recommended by an international editorial board. SigPort’s “thumbs-up” capabilities can help readers identify relevant documents, much like star ratings and popularity ratings have been helping users choose products in today’s e-commerce Web. SigPort also has images, animations, and the search and browsing capabilities we expect from modern Web sites.

Maintaining the service does incur some cost, but for now the SPS bears the expense. We’re exploring various mechanisms, including modest author fees, to ensure its long-term financial sustainability.

The SPS is always looking for new ways to deliver research, knowledge, and information to its members. If you have any ideas about how to keep our Society at the forefront of providing content, please don’t hesitate to reach out to us. If you have questions or suggestions about SigPort, you can contact the team at sigportsps@gmail.com.

I hope that you’ll take the time to check out some of our SigPort highlighted documents on page 16 of this issue of IEEE Signal Processing Magazine and even submit one of your own—make sure to use coupon code SPM0915 to upload your document(s) to SigPort for free. Please note that this coupon will expire on 30 September 2015.

Our Society and community is what we make it. Let’s keep learning and sharing together.
Signal Processing Contests  Recent years have seen a rapid increase in the contests related to signal and information processing. These include those held at conferences and workshops sponsored or co-sponsored by SPS as well as many held on the Kaggle data science platform.

- A Kaggle contest on public health is to predict West Nile virus outbreak in a major U.S. city. [May 2015 Contest News]
- 2015 IEEE Signal Processing Cup is a competition on heart rate monitoring resilient to distortions introduced by physical exercises. SP cup encourages undergraduate students to work as a team to solve a challenging real-world problem using signal-processing techniques. Stay tuned for more in-depth analysis in an upcoming issue of SPM.

Recent Patents  eNewsletter editors scanned through recently issued patents and organized those related to signal processing in focused topic areas.

- Audio Coding (January 2015)
- Infrared Imaging (February 2015)
- Deep Learning (March 2015)
- Wavelet Analysis (April 2015)
- Gait Recognition (May 2015)
- New Patent Policy related to Standards by IEEE (March 2015)

Inside Signal Processing eNewsletters  welcomes community inputs and provides monthly updates on society and technical committee news; conference and publication opportunities, new patents, books, and Ph.D. theses; research opportunities and activities in industry consortia, local chapters, and government programs. Contact Area Editor for eNews Christian Debes <cdebes AT agtinternational.com> for more information. Bookmark <www.signalprocessingsociety.org/newsletter/>.

Distributed Deep Learning Network  Deep learning is showing a strong promise for a number of applications. An important issue to address is the computational complexity in training a deep learning network. The big data lab at Impetus Inc. in India, led by Dr. Vijay Srinivas Agneeswaran, has built a prototype of a distributed deep learning network over Apache Spark, whereby the training phase of the network has been parallelized and consequently the training time reduced. Learn about this in the February 2015 Trends section.

TIPS  Read eNewsletter for monthly updates on a variety of topics. Enter keywords in the search box or choose “Previous Issues” at the eNewsletter website.
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Human–Machine Interfaces: Methods of Control

If you are worried that artificial intelligence enabled systems are well on their way toward assuming total command of the planet, you can take some heart in the fact that there is still a great deal of important research being done in human–machine interfaces (HMIs), much of it involving signal processing. Making certain that various types of systems do precisely what their human masters demand lies at the heart of most HMI research.

The current HMI field is very competitive, and academic, government, and commercial researchers are working hard to create advanced technologies that are both useful and marketable. The major trends driving the sector include an ever-increasing demand for enhanced user efficiency; rapid growth in information technology and telecom sectors; and a continuing expansion of electronic, mobile, computer, and electromechanical applications.

COMMAND BY GESTURE

Smartphones have become increasingly affordable and more widely used over the past several years. Yet smartphones and their applications are difficult to control in situations where the user lacks direct access to the touchscreen, such as while driving a car, cooking a meal, or exercising. While voice recognition technology promises a partial solution to the problem, such systems are far from foolproof and particularly unreliable in noisy environments.

In an effort aimed at creating an alternate “hands off” control technology, University of Washington researchers have created a new type of low-power wireless sensing technology that promises to allow users to “train” their smartphones to recognize and respond to specific hand gestures made near the phone. (Photo courtesy of the University of Washington.)

Whenever someone uses a smartphone for voice or data communication, the device transmits radio signals on a 2G, 3G, or 4G cellular network to communicate with a nearby cellular base station. SideSwipe takes advantage of the fact that when a user’s hand moves through space near the phone, the user’s body reflects some of the transmitted signal back toward the device. SideSwipe uses multiple small antennas to capture changes in the reflected signal and classify the changes to detect the specific type of gesture performed. The result is that hovering, tapping, and sliding gestures can be associated with various phone commands, such as silencing a ring, changing a song, or muting the speakerphone.

“The GSM signal that we are working with was originally designed for communication, but we are analyzing the signal in a different way, from the perspective of pulling out gestures,” Reynolds remarks. He adds that he and coresearcher Patel were inspired by radar technology. “In the case of radar, you have a controlled emitter of an electromagnetic wave that bounces off an aircraft or a ship or something like that and comes back,” he says. “We realized that the same thing is happening all the time to the cell phone transmission.”

Reynolds says that signal processing is essential to the technology. There are multiple phases of signal processing, ranging from very simple filtering to more sophisticated machine learning, he says. “We used signal smoothing, bandpass filtering to extract the frequency band that has useful gesture information in it, which tends to be a very low-frequency signal,” he explains. “Then we use
a machine-learning technique called the support vector machine to do the classification of features into specific gestures.”

When developing their prototype, the researchers added a receiver with four directionally sensitive antenna elements to the smartphone’s case. “Then we looked at the signal waveforms that were coming from that receiver and figured out a way of ignoring the fact that the signal was originally designed for communication and instead looked at its envelope to see changes in reflection,” Reynolds says.

SideSwipe leverages the unmodified GSM bursts that inherently exist when someone is using a smartphone. When the user performs a particular type of hand gesture, the antennas pick up the fluctuation in their respective propagation paths. By combining the signals from four antennas, the researchers were able to identify unique patterns for different gestures.

A group of ten study participants tested SideSwipe with 14 different hand gestures, such as tapping, hovering, and sliding, at various positions and distances from the smartphone. The smartphone was calibrated to its user’s hand movements prior to each test. The smartphone recognized gestures with about 87% accuracy, Reynolds says.

“We are interested in interaction in cases where you are not holding the phone,” Reynolds states. “If you think about the use of the phone during the day, most of the time people have the phone in their pocket or in a handbag or, let’s say, on a table.” Because the SideSwipe sensor is based on low-power receivers and relatively simple signal processing when compared with something like camera video, Reynolds expects that SideSwipe will have only a minimal impact on battery life.

Reynold feels that the technology is still at a preliminary stage. “We are facing a much longer series of research leading to even more efficient ways of extracting gesture information, whether that is different signal processing strategies or new machine-learning algorithms,” he says. “Currently, we are using extra receivers that are built into something like a snap-on phone case, but it is likely that the hardware could eventually be built into the phone itself—that would require the cooperation of the phone manufacturer.”

DOING BY THINKING

Futurists and science fiction writers have long predicted that people one day will be able to control various types of devices by thought alone. Such technology would allow individuals to operate remote vehicles, machinery located inside mines and other dangerous places, and a variety of other simple and complex devices conveniently and across any distance. Even more importantly, a thought-driven HMI would allow people who have lost the use of their limbs to control robotic systems that provide mobility or the ability to grasp and manipulate various types of objects, ranging from eating utensils to door knobs to light switches.

University of Pittsburgh researchers are investigating an HMI technology that promises to allow people to operate a robotic arm, capable of mimicking natural arm and hand movements, simply by thinking about whatever task that needs to be performed. Working with Jan Scheuermann, a 55-year-old Pittsburgh woman who has been paralyzed from the neck down since 2003 due to a neurodegenerative condition, the researchers have been able to increase the robotic arm’s maneuverability from seven dimensions to ten dimensions over the past three years (Figure 2).

The additional dimensions result from four hand movements—finger abduction, a scoop, thumb extension, and a pinch—allowing Scheuermann to pick up, grasp, and move a range of objects much more precisely. “She can now pinch the fingers, flex them all together, spread the fingers apart, and then move the thumb independently,” says Jennifer
Collinger, an assistant professor in the University of Pittsburgh’s Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, a project lead investigator. “This greatly increased the amount of function in the hand.”

In 2012, Scheuermann underwent surgery in the regions of her brain responsible for right arm and hand movements. The operation fitted her with a pair of quarter-inch electrode grids, each containing 96 tiny contact points. After the electrode grids on Scheuermann’s brain were linked to a computer, creating a brain–machine interface (BMI), their contact points could detect electrical pulses firing between the brain’s neurons.

“In terms of signal processing, I would say our system is maybe a little bit simple,” Collinger says. “It is more on the data analysis and computational side where it gets complex.” Signal filtering is used to remove as much noise as possible. “Then we use linear regression techniques to find a relationship between the firing rates and the movement parameters we are trying to decode,” Collinger explains.

Algorithms decode the firing signals and also identify the patterns associated with a particular arm movement, such as lifting the arm or twisting the wrist. “Our results show that individual motor cortical neurons encode many parameters of movement, that object interaction is an important factor when extracting these signals, and that high-dimensional operation of prosthetic devices can be achieved with simple decoding algorithms,” Collinger says.

When developing the algorithms, Collinger and her co-researchers followed a unique approach based on neurobiological principles. They first optimized a population vector algorithm that encodes prosthetic movements on the basis of direction-dependent tuning of the motor cortex neuronal ensemble. The decoded movement was then sent to a shared controller that integrated the user’s intent, position feedback, and various constraining task-dependent features to optimally guide the robotic arm movements.

In the lab, the robotic arm is set up next to Scheuermann on a stand. “We positioned it close enough to her to allow her to feed herself, to take a drink, those kinds of things,” Collinger says. “I certainly think that it could be mounted to her wheelchair.”

A cable connects the electrode grids on Scheuermann’s brain to the robotic arm. Yet many BMI researchers foresee the day when user commands are transmitted wirelessly to robotic arms and other electromechanical systems. Steps are already being taken in that direction. Last December, Brown University researchers announced a new high data-rate, low-power wireless brain sensor. The head-mounted, 100-channel transmitter is only 5 cm in its largest dimension and weighs just 46.1 g but can transmit data at up to 200 megabits a second. The technology is currently designed to enable neuroscience research that cannot be accomplished with existing sensors that tether subjects with cabled connections.

During the next research phase, Collinger and her colleagues plan to investigate additional ways of making that arm more controllable. “We plan, for instance, to study whether the incorporation of sensory feedback, such as the touch and feel of an object, can improve neuroprosthetic control,” she says.

**READING FACIAL EXPRESSIONS**

HMIs are advancing to the point where they can function subliminally, potentially saving users from dangerous situations of which they may not even be aware. Showing that HMIs are not only about system control, researchers in the Signal Processing 5 Laboratory (LTS5) of the Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) have created a technology that reads and identifies human facial expressions indicating various moods, such as anger, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness, and surprise (Figure 3). Such a system could prove useful in several fields, including video game development, medicine, and marketing. The EPFL researchers, however, are most interested applying the technology driver safety systems.

“Certain emotional states of the driver, such as stress, rage, or strong euphoria, affect decision making and coordination skills and may cause discomfort and loss of concentration/attention and may give way to accidents,” says principal investigator Jean-Philippe Thiran, an EPFL professor. “In this project, we use computer vision and machine-learning methods to detect these emotional states from the drivers’ facial expressions.” The first studies involved the emotion of stress and the state of fatigue.

Thiran sees significant potential in the research, which is being sponsored by automaker PSA Peugeot Citroën and automotive equipment supplier Valéo. “Some potential applications are an alert system, for instance, in the case of detecting fatigue, or a countermeasure for the certain emotions that may endanger driving quality, such as the activation of a ‘driver calming system’ using sounds, lights, or odors that are personalized for the driver.”

Tests carried out with a prototype proved promising. “We hope that with additional studies we will be able to define the emotional states that are dangerous for driving and also how to reduce them,” Thiran says. The researchers’ goals are a more comfortable driving experience and fewer accidents attributable to drivers’ emotional states. “What is commonly known as ‘road rage’ or ‘aggressive driving,’ for example, is a very common cause of car accidents and it is a state that we hope to detect and take measures against,” Thiran remarks.
“Signal processing is at the core of research, as in all imaging systems,” Thiran says. “[The system] includes robust face detection, feature extraction, and classification,” he says, noting that a couple of approaches are used to extract discriminative features and to uncover patterns of different facial expressions. “We investigate approaches based on holistic affine warping and local descriptors,” Thiran says.

Holistic affine warping normalizes face images using the coordinates of the left and right eyes. “The locations of the eye centers are derived from the tracked facial landmarks,” Thiran says. “After applying an affine transform, the eye centers are fixed in the canonical coordinates in the normalized image.” A second approach extracts local descriptors from around the tracked facial landmarks. “It preserves the geometrical information of the facial components and does not introduce additional artifacts,” he explains.

“The techniques we are using are common to most facial analysis systems: a face-tracking system that locates the drivers face from the image captured by the in-car system, a head-pose normalization algorithm that compensates for the angle of view, extraction of relevant appearance features from the tracked face, and classifiers to detect whether the expression we are interested in is present,” Thiran states.

“Having in-car conditions involves many additional requirements compared to indoor systems or standard human–computer interaction (HCI) systems in which you may restrict the user to face the camera at all times,” Thiran says. “As this it not a possibility during driving, we had to come up with a solution to compensate for the up-tilted angle of face-view, that results from the special camera configuration in the car.” The system prototype applied a head-pose correction that used a simple three-dimensional model to project the driver’s face image on a two-dimensional plane for classification. “We are now working on a facial reconstruction from multicamera system that will enable emotion detection totally regardless of where the driver is looking at,” Thiran says.

Vehicle interior lighting conditions, which change frequently during a journey, also posed a challenge for the researchers. “To tackle that problem, we used near-infrared (NIR) cameras with a special lighting system and adequate filtering so that ambient light effects are at minimum,” Thiran says. “We had to rebuild classifiers for expression using NIR images and also applied model adaptation techniques so that we can make use of existing facial expression databases of color images, which are well annotated and validated.”

The researchers are now working on detecting other expressions on drivers’ faces, such as distraction and lip reading for use in vocal recognition.
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New Society Officers for 2016 and Election of Directors-at-Large and Members-at-Large

The Board of Governors (BoG) of the IEEE Signal Processing Society (SPS) elected two new officers who will start their terms on 1 January 2016: Ali H. Sayed and Walter Kellermann. Ali H. Sayed [University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA)] will serve as 2016–2017 SPS president-elect. He succeeds Rabab K. Ward (University of British Columbia) who has held the post of president-elect and will become president in 2016. Walter Kellermann (University of Erlangen-Nuremberg) will serve as 2016–2018 SPS vice president-technical directions. He succeeds Charles A. Bouman (Purdue University), who has held this position since January 2013.

NEW SOCIETY OFFICERS

2016–2017 SPS PRESIDENT-ELECT

ALI H. SAYED

He is a professor and former chair of electrical engineering at UCLA, where he directs the UCLA Adaptive Systems Laboratory (http://www.ee.ucla.edu/asl). An author of more than 450 scholarly publications, six books, and five patents, his research involves several areas including adaptation and learning, statistical signal processing, network science, information processing theories, and biologically inspired designs. His work has been recognized with several awards from various organizations including the 2014 Athanasios Papoulis Award from the European Association for Signal Processing, the 2013 Meritorious Service Award and the 2012 Technical Achievement Award from the IEEE SPS, the 2005 Terman Award from the American Society for Engineering Education, the 2005 Distinguished Lecturer from the IEEE SPS, the 2003 Kwait Prize, and the 1996 IEEE Donald G. Fink Prize. He has also been awarded several Best Paper Awards from the IEEE SPS (2002, 2005, 2012, and 2014) and is a Fellow of both the IEEE and the American Association for the Advancement of Science; the publisher of the journal Science. He is recognized as a highly cited researcher by Thomson Reuters.


2016–2018 SPS VICE PRESIDENT-TECHNICAL DIRECTIONS

WALTER KELLERMANN

He is a professor of multimedia communications and signal processing at the University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany, and heads the chair’s audio research group comprising more than a dozen researchers. He received the Dipl.-Ing. degree in electrical engineering from the University of Erlangen-Nuremberg in 1983 and the Dr.-Ing. degree from the Technical University Darmstadt, Germany, in 1988. From 1989 to 1990, he was a postdoctoral member of technical staff at AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey. In 1990, he joined Philips Kommunikations Industrie, Nuremberg, Germany. From 1993 to 1999, he was a professor, Fachhochschule Regensburg, where he also became the director of the Institute of Applied Research in 1997. In 1999, he cofounded DSP Solutions, a consulting firm in digital signal processing, and joined the University Erlangen-Nuremberg as a professor and head of the Audio Research Laboratory. From 2002 to 2006, he was the dean of studies, Faculty of Engineering, University
Erlangen-Nuremberg, overseeing organization and quality management for more than 500 courses per semester.

He also continuously consults at the industry level in his technical field, and he acts on various boards and as a consultant to governmental institutions on the national and European level regarding research and university politics. His current research interests include speech signal processing, array signal processing, adaptive filtering, and its applications to acoustic human/machine interfaces.


**ELECTION OF DIRECTORS-AT-LARGE AND MEMBERS-AT-LARGE**

Your vote is important! The election of directors-at-large for Regions 7 and 9 and Region 10 (the term is 1 January 2016–31 December 2017) and members-at-large (the term is 1 January 2016–31 December 2018) of the IEEE SPS BoG is now open. Ballots have been mailed to SPS members. The ballot includes a diverse slate of candidates for both elections, which were vetted by the SPS Nominations and Appointments Committee, as well as a space for write-in candidates.

**THIS YEAR’S ELECTION OFFERS SPS MEMBERS THE OPPORTUNITY TO CAST THEIR VOTES VIA THE WEB AT HTTPS://EBALLOT4.VOTENET.COM/IEEE.**

This year’s election offers SPS members the opportunity to cast their votes via the Web at https://eballoon4.votenet.com/IEEE for up to one regional director-at-large for your corresponding Region: Regions 7 and 9 (Canada and Latin America) and Region 10 (Asia and Pacific Rim) and three member-at-large candidates. The IEEE Technical Activities Society Services Department at the IEEE must receive all ballots no later than 31 August 2015 to be counted. Members must meet the eligibility requirements at the time the ballot data is generated to be able to vote. To be eligible to vote in this year’s Society election, you must have been an active SPS member or affiliate (excluding student member) prior to 1 June 2015. This is the date when the list of eligible Society voting members was compiled. The candidates for regional director-at-large are:

- **Regions 7 and 9**: Paulo S. Diniz and Fabrice Labeau
- **Region 10**: K.V.S. Hari and Hitoshi Kiya

The candidates for member-at-large are:

- Fulvio Gini
- Robert W. Heath
- Lina Karam
- Anthony Kuh
- Shoji Makino
- Nasir Memon
- Wan-Chi Siu
- Paris Smaragdis
- Min Wu

The BoG is the governing body that oversees the activities of the SPS. The SPS BoG has the responsibility of establishing and implementing policy and receiving reports from its standing boards and committees. The BoG comprises the following 21 Society members: six officers of the Society who are elected by the BoG, nine members-at-large elected by the voting members of the Society, four regional directors-at-large elected locally by Society voting members of the corresponding region, as well as the Awards Board chair.

The six officers are: the president, the president-elect, the vice president-membership, the vice president-conferences, the vice president-publications, and the vice president-technical directions. The executive director of the Society shall serve ex-officio, without vote.

Members-at-large represent the member view point in the Board decision making. They typically review, discuss, and act upon a wide range of items affecting the actions, activities, and health of the Society.

Regional directors-at-large are SPS members who are elected locally by Society voting members of the corresponding Region via the annual election, to serve on the Society’s BoG as nonvoting members and voting members of the Society’s Membership Board.
Signal Processing for Art Investigation

Why should signal processors care about problems in cultural heritage? What can signal processing offer to the world of art scholarship and preservation?

These complementary questions have motivated recent movement in our two communities—one composed of signal processors and art experts in the other—to collaborate and try to focus the signal processing technologies on underresolved problems of art investigation.

At the start of this century, a plenary talk [1] delivered at the 2001 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing divided the subjects of a two-decade long cross-disciplinary effort between a Paris technical university (ENST) and the French national conservation labs (C2RMF) into two broad categories: 1) archiving and consulting and 2) picture processing for the fine arts. The first category incorporates image acquisition and database access. The second includes image enhancement and restoration, crack network detection, multisource image fusion, color processing, and geometric analysis. Consider a different division of these topics into three groups: 1) image acquisition, 2) image manipulation, and 3) image feature mining. The topics of research in the 1980s and 1990s described in [1] give more attention to the first two of these groups than to the third.

IEEE Signal Processing Magazine (SPM) has recognized previously—with a special section “Recent Advances in Applications to Visual Cultural Heritage” in its July 2008 issue [2]—the growing interest at the start of this century in the nascent application of signal processing to art investigation. Following a vivid description of a wide range of tasks to be addressed in applying signal processing to the analysis of visual cultural heritage, six articles were grouped by the guest editors [3] into three themes: multispectral imaging, artwork analysis, and three-dimensional (3-D) digitization and modeling. By our division, this special section exhibits a balanced emphasis on all three groupings of image acquisition, manipulation, and feature mining.

The March 2013 special issue of Signal Processing devoted to “Image Processing for Digital Art Work” divides [4] its seven papers into two categories: artwork restoration tools (X-ray fluorescence, crack detection) or art piece analysis procedures (canvas weave analysis, painting stylistometry analysis). Here the emphasis according to our grouping has shifted to image feature mining.
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Surface Analysis for Identifying Artistic Style and Artwork Origin
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A number of articles in this special issue highlight the important role of texture and surface analysis in art investigations. (a) Abry et al. employ multiscale anisotropic texture analysis to facilitate classification of historical photographic prints; (b) Johnson et al. examine chain-line features to hunt for moldmates among Rembrandt’s prints, which are papers made using the same mold; (c) van der Maaten et al. focus on thread-level canvas analysis from X-ray data of the canvas supports of grand master paintings such as those by Van Gogh, and thus facilitate dating and authentication; (d) van Noord et al. learn artists’ styles by applying deep neural network to detailed texture and other visual stylistic features from artworks; and (e) Yang et al. discuss the advantages of two-dimensional synchrosqueezed transforms toward quantitative analysis of canvas weave.
A recent plenary talk [5] delivered at the 2014 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing describes three separate tasks in computational art history utilizing signal processing algorithms to match manufactured patterns in art supports. In another plenary addressing image processing for art investigation delivered in 2014, the majority of projects described also include tasks in the category of image feature mining [6]. All of these tasks fall in the category of image feature mining.

While early research in applying signal processing to art investigation emphasized image acquisition and image manipulation, various manifestations of image feature mining have achieved more prominence in the past decade as evidenced by the preceding citations providing successive indicators of the directions being taken in this growing field. The 11 articles in this special issue of SP Mag include studies of photographic paper classification, ancient coin classification, Mayan epigraphy analysis, 3-D color print graph signal processing, laid paper chain-line pattern matching, content-based image indexing, canvas weave analysis, crack detection for simulated in-painting, painting style characterization, and face recognition in portraits (see “Surface Analysis for Identifying Artistic Style and Artwork Origin,” “Object Recognition for Managing Art Data,” and “Art Enhancement and Restoration” for visual examples of these topics). All of these articles engage, to a substantial degree, in image feature mining. There is an enormous need in art history and conservation to locate, classify, identify, and measure features in multispectral and multidirectional images of artworks.

One barrier of entry into this field is access to images of sufficient quality and quantity of artworks. Therefore, for this special issue, the authors were requested to make the images they processed accessible to other researchers (when possible) to stimulate the exploration of new and improved solutions, and most have complied. With that added bonus, we welcome you to the modest beginnings of an emerging field that promises to offer many satisfying challenges and will require specialization of and advances in the tools and techniques of signal processing. To assist you in deciding which of the articles to use as your portal into this new domain full of ample, low-hanging fruit and many as yet undiscovered puzzles, we offer the summaries available at http://sigport.org/189.

Authors were encouraged to have a representative from the cultural heritage...
from the GUEST EDITORS continued

community on their team, which is the case for most of the articles in this issue. Such cross-disciplinary collaborations are difficult. The art expert needs to acquire an appreciation of the range and limitations of the signal processor’s tools so useful, viable, novel tasks can be identified and addressed. The signal processing experts must learn to describe their skills without resorting to the language of deep mathematics, and to appreciate the intellectual depth of the art expert achieved without directly resorting to computational tools. Both sides are facing new ways of thinking and conducting research. Of course, all of this makes the challenge that much more appealing to us. So it goes...

MEET THE GUEST EDITORS

Patrice Abry (patrice.abry@ens-lyon.fr) is a senior researcher with the CNRS, France. He is developing a research program on the theoretical modeling, analysis, and applications of scale invariance.

Andrew G. Klein (andy.klein@wwu.edu) is an assistant professor at Western Washington University, Bellingham. His research interests include signal and image processing, distributed communications, and STEM education.

William A. Sethares (sethares@wisc.edu) is a professor in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the University of Wisconsin and a scientific researcher at the Rijksmuseum in Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

C. Richard Johnson, Jr. (johnson@ece.cornell.edu) is the Geoffrey S.M. Hedrick Senior Professor of engineering at Cornell University, Ithaca, New York; a scientific researcher of the Rijksmuseum in Amsterdam, The Netherlands; and computational art history advisor to The Netherlands Institute for Art History.
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Information Driving Innovation
Texture characterization of photographic prints can provide scholars with valuable information regarding photographers' aesthetic intentions and working practices. Currently, texture assessment is strictly based on the visual acuity of a range of scholars associated with collecting institutions, such as museum curators and conservators. Natural interindividual discrepancies, intraindividual variability, and the large size of collections present a pressing need for computerized and automated solutions for the texture characterization and classification of photographic prints. In this article, this challenging image processing task is addressed using an anisotropic multiscale representation of texture, the hyperbolic wavelet transform (HWT), from which robust multiscale features are constructed. Cepstral distances aimed at ensuring balanced multiscale contributions are computed between pairs of images. The resulting large-size affinity matrix is then clustered.
Using spectral clustering, followed by a Ward linkage procedure. For proof of concept, these procedures are first applied to a reference data set of historic photographic papers that combine several levels of similarity and second to a large data set of culturally valuable photographic prints held by the Museum of Modern Art in New York. The characterization and clustering results are interpreted in collaboration with art scholars with an aim toward developing new modes of art historical research and humanities-based collaboration.

**INTRODUCTION**

Surface texture is a defining characteristic of a photographic print and has a significant impact on the quality and perception of the image. Manufacturers of both traditional photographic paper and new-generation inkjet materials thus carefully engineer surfaces to meet a variety of functional and expressive requirements. Smoother surfaces typically produce images with greater optical saturation and tonal range. With good lighting, smooth, featureless surfaces can visually negate the picture plane. Reducing this perceptual barrier can transform a two-dimensional (2-D) image into a more effective illusion of objective reality. Rougher surfaces cause more scattering of incident light providing the viewer with a greater tactile sense of the print as a material object. A stronger physical presence can often convey heightened intrinsic value and expressive weight. Understanding how these qualities are manipulated provides scholars insight into artistic intent and practice. More practically, as an indelible physical attribute, print texture can help categorize preferred and anomalous papers within an artist’s body of work or identify anomalies (including fakes). Encyclopedic reference collections of such textures, cataloged by manufacturer and date, are currently being assembled for both traditional [1] and inkjet [2] photographic materials. Likewise, the very beginning steps are underway to catalog surface textures used by prominent photographers such as Man Ray and Lewis Hine, among others [3]. While presently useful, such surface texture collections are difficult to catalog and access, as tools for query and retrieval are only in early stages of development. At present, experts visually and manually classify an unknown texture by comparing it with identified references. This is a tedious and challenging task due to the sheer size of available reference collections exposing a significant need for automated procedures to assist in texture assessment.

Texture analysis and characterization are long-standing topics of image processing and have been the subject of considerable research efforts over the past decades, cf., e.g., [4]–[8]. Texture characterization has relied on a variety of attributes (from textons or primitives, i.e., gray-level statistics or geometrical features, to co-occurrence matrices or multiple spatial dependencies) and has proven effective for a wide range of different applications, e.g., in biomedical contexts [9], [10], in physics of surfaces and fractures [11], and in geophysics [12]. To a lesser extent, and only recently, texture analysis has been applied to art investigations (cf., [13]–[22] and the references therein). Among the many paradigms used for texture characterization, fractal and multiscale methods have received growing attention. Fractal analysis has further been extended to multidimensional multifractal analysis, cf., e.g., [17] and [23]. However, in most formulations, (multi)fractal or multiscale analyses do not account for the potential anisotropy of textures. Recently, however, the HWT [24] has been shown to account for anisotropy in the multiscale analysis of textures [25].

**DATA SETS**

**TEXTURE IMAGE ACQUISITION**

Presently, the simplest means to catalog surface texture is through images made using magnification and raking light. This imaging system, referred to as the TextureScope, has been extensively described in [19] and is shown in Figure 1. It is noncontact and nondestructive and can therefore be easily adapted for use on photographic prints of high intrinsic value. The method is relatively quick and requires minimal specialized handling so that large image sets can be produced rapidly. Created under repeatable and standardized conditions, the resulting images provide an important visual record and serve as a basis for computational analysis. The TextureScope depicts $1.00 \times 1.35$ cm$^2$ of a paper
surface. This scale is selected since it reveals some microscopic features, such as matting agents occasionally used by manufacturers, but also depicts attributes recognizable to a human observer. Samples are digitized at 153.6 pixel/mm, resulting in $1,536 \times 2,080$ images, with each pixel thus corresponding to $0.65 \times 0.42 \ \mu \text{m}^2$.

**PHOTOGRAPHIC PAPER TEXTURE DATA SETS**

Data set 1 consists of 120 nonprinted photographic paper samples. Aside from the texture images, the data set includes manufacturer-applied semantic descriptions of the samples (manufacturer, brand, texture, and reflectance) and an approximate date of production. Three levels of similarity are built into the data set (cf. Figure 2): 1) samples from one same sheet (three subsets of ten samples each), 2) samples from sheets taken from one same package (three subsets of ten samples each), and 3) samples from papers made to the same manufacturer specifications over a period of time (three subsets of ten samples each). In addition, 30 sheets representing a fuller diversity of photographic paper textures are included. The data set and its documentation have been prepared by an expert familiar with the technical and aesthetic history of photographic paper to include both commonly used surfaces and some outliers. Data set 1, further described in [19], is publicly available within the framework of the Historic Photographic Paper Classification Challenge (http://papertextureid.org) developed by Paul Messier and C.R. Johnson.

Data set 2 gathers 2,491 samples that fall into two subset categories. The first and largest subset (2,031 samples) consists of silver gelatin (traditional black and white paper) surface texture samples that were taken directly from manufacturer packages or sample books spanning the 20th century. These samples are representative of the full range of surface textures available to 20th century photographers and is carefully documented using the same manufacturer-applied metadata described for data set 1. The second subset in data set 2 contains textures from finished photographic prints. Within this group, 346 samples derive from the Thomas Walther collection held by the Museum of Modern Art in New York and contains work by leading modernist photographers primarily active in Central and Eastern Europe between World War I and World War II. This group is joined by a small but important collection of textures from 11 prints belonging to the Museum of Fine Arts, Houston. Each one of the 11 prints are by the same artist and depict the same image as 11 prints from the Walther collection in the Museum of Modern Art. Comparing the textures of these twin prints offers the possibility of determining if they are made on exactly the same, similar, or completely different papers. Discovering a shared material history between the print pairs can have significant ramifications for art historical
scholarship, especially if this link can be established remotely through data analysis versus often impractical side-by-side comparison. Data set 2 thus offers rich opportunities for cross-referencing discovered affinities and anomalies across time periods, manufacturers, collections, and individual makers. Typical samples from data set 2 are represented in Figure 3, spanning a variety of photographic papers.

METHODOLOGY

A texture-clustering procedure relies on the selection, design, and combination of three key ingredients: 1) features representative of the texture, 2) the distances between features providing relevant measurement of resemblance between pairs of paper surfaces, and 3) the classification procedure.

FEATURES: HYPERBOLIC WAVELET TRANSFORM

We propose to extract surface features using the HWT [24]. HWT consists of one of the many variations in image multiscale analysis, that expands on the classical 2-D-discrete wavelet transform (2-D-DWT). HWT explicitly accounts for the potential anisotropy of an image texture, as it relies on the use of two independent dilation factors \( a_1 = 2^{j_1} \) and \( a_2 = 2^{j_2} \) along the horizontal and vertical axes. In [25], HWT is favorably compared against the 2-D-DWT, the former permitting to disentangle actual multiscale properties from the potential anisotropy of the analyzed texture, while the latter yields
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The HWT coefficients of an image \((x, y)\) are obtained by
comparing it, by means of inner product, against a collection of
dilated [at scales \(a = (2^n, 2^m)\)] and translated [at locations \((2^n k_1, 2^m k_2)\)] templates

\[
\psi_{j,l,k_1,k_2}(x_1, x_2) = 2^{-j} \phi_{j_0} \psi_{l}(2^{-j_1} x_1 - k_1, 2^{-j_2} x_2 - k_2)
\]

(1)
of a reference mother-wavelet \(\psi(x_1, x_2)\), \(\forall (j, l) \in \mathbb{R}^2\):

\[
T_S(j_1, j_2, k_1, k_2) = \langle X(x_1, x_2), \psi_{j_1,k_1,k_2}(x_1, x_2) \rangle .
\]

(2)
The mother-wavelet \(\psi(x_1, x_2)\) is classically defined as a tensor-product of one-dimensional (1-D)-multiresolution mother-wavelets (cf., e.g., [28]). A multiscale representation of \(X(x_1, x_2)\) is further obtained by computing space averages (\(l^r\)-norms) of the \(T_S(j_1, j_2, k_1, k_2)\) at fixed scale pairs \((j_1, j_2)\), \(q > 0\):

\[
S_S(j_1, j_2, q) = \frac{1}{n_q} \sum_{k_1,k_2} |T_S(j_1, j_2, k_1, k_2)|^q ,
\]

(3)
where \(n_q\) stands for the number of coefficients actually computed and not degraded by image border effects.

It was shown in [25] that the anisotropy of the texture can be quantified by an index \(a \in [0, 2]\) (\(a = 1\) corresponding to isotropy) and that \(S_S\) often behaves as a power law, \(S_S(\tilde{a}_0, j(2 - \tilde{a}_0), q) = C 2^{(\tilde{a}_0 - a) j}\), where \(\tilde{a}_0 = \arg \max_{\alpha} \gamma(\alpha, q)\) is an estimate for \(\alpha\), \(\tilde{H}_q = -\gamma(\alpha, q)/q\) is an estimate for the (anisotropy robust) self-similarity, or Hurst, or fractal, parameter \(H\), with \(\gamma(\alpha, q) = \lim \inf log_2(S_S(aj, (2 - \alpha)j)/j)\). An example of \(S_S(j_1, j_2, q = 2)\) is shown in Figure 4.

To ensure balanced contributions from all scales despite such power law behaviors, features are computed from \(\log(S_S(j_1, j_2, q))\), after a normalization across scales that ensures that the features do not depend upon a change in the intensity of the raking light and exposure variables that influence overall image brightness

\[
\tilde{S}_S(j_1, j_2, q) = \log(S_S(j_1, j_2, q)/\sum_{j_1,j_2} S_S(j_1,j_2,q)) .
\]

(4)
In this article, the selected analysis scales are \(1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq 7\) and correspond to physical scales ranging from \(13\mu m \leq a_1 = 2^n, a_2 = 2^m \leq 830\mu m \equiv 0.83\ mm\) (i.e., seven octaves) thus yielding a matrix of \(7 \times 7 = 49\) multiscale features for texture characterization.

A cepstral-type distance (i.e., a log-transformed normalized \(L^p\) norm) between the multiscale representations \(\tilde{S}_S(j_1, j_2, q)\) and \(\tilde{S}_Y(j_1, j_2, q)\) is used to quantify proximity between textures \(X\) and \(Y\) defined as (with \(p > 0\)):

\[
D(X, Y) = \left( \sum_{j_1,j_2} [\tilde{S}_S(j_1, j_2, q) - \tilde{S}_Y(j_1, j_2, q)]^p \right)^{1/p}.
\]

In this article, \(q = 2\) and \(p = 1\) are used, without specific tuning to obtain optimal results. The empirical distribution of the distances computed between all \((2491 \times 2490/2)\) pairs of samples in data set 2 is shown in Figure 5(a). The distances between some pairs among the samples in Figure 3 are superimposed to that distribution, and are also mapped into a
virtual 2-D space [cf. Figure 5(b)] quantifying resemblance and dissemblance between samples (mapping obtained by a standard multidimensional scaling procedure based on a Kruskal’s normalized stress criterion).

Clustering is achieved via the spectral clustering procedure (cf., e.g., [26], [27], and [29]), which can be regarded as a specific unsupervised learning technique that aims to ensure robustness of the classification by reducing the dimensionality of the space in which samples are represented. Starting from the $N \times N$ cepstral distance matrix $D$, where $N$ is the number of photographic paper samples, the clustering procedure used here operates as follows.

1) A nonlinear transformation is applied to distance matrix $D$, $\mathcal{A} = \exp(-D\epsilon)$ (corresponding here to entry-wise exponentiation), yielding a (dis)similarity matrix, where $\epsilon$ is a constant assessing the typical closeness between images.

2) The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the (random walk-type) Laplacian operator $\mathcal{L} = I - D^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ associated to $\mathcal{A}$ are computed, where $D$ is the diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag(}\sum_i \mathcal{A}_{ii}\text{)}$.

3) The eigenvectors corresponding with the $K$ smallest eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}$ are assembled in the $K \times N$ matrix $S$, defining the set of robust $K$ coordinates (hence the reduction of dimensionality, $K \ll N$) for the $N$ samples.

4) Hierarchical ascendant clustering (with Ward linkage) is applied to the matrix $S$.

5) A set of thresholds is used to produce $K' \leq K$ hierarchical clusters.

RESULTS

DATA SET 1: TEST DATA SET

The analysis procedure described in the section “Methodology” yields the following results. Sorted eigenvalues of the Laplacian (and successive differences) as shown in the left plot of Figure 6(a) lead to conclude that $K = 13 \ll N = 120$ eigenvectors are sufficient to represent the distances within data set 1. The linkage procedure yields $K' = 7$ clusters that are robust to varying the linkage threshold, as shown on the dendrogram in Figure 6(b). The right plot of Figure 6(a) reports the intra-versus intercluster median distances, showing first the robustness of the achieved clustering (black diagonal squares indicate low intra-cluster median distance) and second the proximity of some clusters (e.g., 1 and 2 or 3 and 4). Inspection of the obtained clusters and comparison with the documentation available for data set 1 leads to the following striking conclusions:

![Data set 2: gross clustering. Achieved gross clusters are mostly driven first (a) by reflectance and second (b) by texture.](image)
All ten samples from a same sheet—and, similarly, all ten samples from a same package—always fall in a common cluster. The median distances between the ten samples from the same sheet and the ten samples from different sheets of the same packet are found to be of the same order of magnitude, indicating a remarkable reproducibility of the manufacturing process [19], [20].

Samples from one given sheet or from one given packet correctly fall within the cluster containing different samples from the same manufacturer and produced to the same specifications of texture and reflectance.

From the semantic descriptions applied by the manufacturer, the attribute that mostly drives the clustering is reflectance, e.g., luster, chamois, matte, semimatte, and glossy. For the same reflectance (clusters 1 and 2, 3 and 4, and 6 and 7), the clustering is further refined by the manufacturer-applied terms describing texture, e.g., smooth, grained.

The classification of the 30 samples representing the diversity of photo papers is found to be clearly driven by both reflectance and texture.

The contents of $K = 7$ clusters are summarized on the dendrogram in Figure 6(b) (see also [19] and [20]).

**DATA SET 2: LARGE DATA SET**

Application of the procedure described in the section “Methodology” to data set 2 leads to the following comments. Inspection of the sorted eigenvalues of the Laplacian and their successive differences shows that the use of $K = 62$ eigenvectors yields a robust representation of distances within data set 2.

**GROSS CLUSTERING**

Using classical tools to assess robustness and relevance in selecting the threshold of the linkage procedure applied in this $K = 62$ dimensional space leads to an initial coarse classification into $K = 6$ large-size clusters (143 ≤ Cluster Size ≤ 702). Compared to the semantic terms applied by manufacturers to describe gloss and texture, the analysis of these clusters leads to conclusions, reinforcing and enriching those drawn from the analysis of data set 1: clustering is mostly driven by reflectance and then texture, as illustrated in Figure 7. Clusters 4 and 5 correspond to a glossy reflectance, cluster 3 corresponds to a Luster reflectance, and clusters 1 and 2 correspond to matte and semimatte reflectances, with a rough or grained texture for the former and a velvet or smooth texture for the latter. Interestingly, cluster 6 gathers almost all of the unusually patterned

![Image](https://example.com/image.jpg)

silk textures (reflectance was not documented for most of these samples). See Figure 3 for representative examples of such reflections and textures.

REFINED CLUSTERING

By decreasing the threshold of the linkage procedure, an increasing number of small-size clusters are extracted in a hierarchical manner, enabling a more detailed analysis of the data set. In some cases, these clusters have obvious interpretations for art scholars, including curators and conservators. For example, one of these clusters gathers 14 prints from a 1931 parachuting series by Willi Ruge (German, 1882–1961) from the Thomas Walther collection at the Museum of Modern Art (Figure 8). This series depicts groups of sequential exposures made to document several events. Grouping within a single cluster indicates the groups share a materials history and were likely made together. Other clusters suggest more surprising affinities and raise unexpected questions. Notably, a small cluster of three samples contains a platinum print by Alfred Stieglitz (American, 1864–1946) from 1915 and two palladium prints by Edward Weston (American, 1886–1958) from 1924 (Figure 9). Weston met the influential Stieglitz for the first time in 1922 during a short trip to New York. Weston said of this meeting [30, p. 5]: “Stieglitz has not changed my direction, only intensified it—and I am grateful.” This small cluster possibly indicates that Stieglitz’s influence was not simply a matter of artistic encouragement but perhaps also grounded in a deliberate use of the same materials. Given traditional modes of scholarships, the implied linkage between these prints would normally not receive scrutiny since these are different artists, using different imaging metals (platinum versus palladium), separated by a large geographical distance (California and New York) and a time period spanning nine years. However, clustering based on surface texture provokes new questions that might otherwise never be asked: Is the dating of the Stieglitz print secure (it is) or could it have been made later? Was Weston making a conscious effort to emulate Stieglitz even after the passage of nine years? Did manufacturers use essentially the same paper over long periods of time, even after the switch from platinum to palladium imaging metal after World War I? Are the overall warm image tones and especially the low contrast of the Stieglitz even after the passage of nine years? Did manufacturers use essentially the same paper over long periods of time, even after the switch from platinum to palladium imaging metal after World War I? Are the overall warm image tones and especially the low contrast of the Stieglitz even after the passage of nine years? Did manufacturers use essentially the same paper over long periods of time, even after the switch from platinum to palladium imaging metal after World War I?

TWIN PRINTS

This promise is further illustrated by data set 2, where 11 pairs of prints, each pair attributed to the same artist and each showing the same or very similar image, are compared across two large museum collections, the Museum of Fine Arts, Houston, and the Museum of Modern Art in New York. The purpose of this comparison is to determine whether or not the pairs in the different collections are made on the same paper and thus have a shared materials-based history. For this comparison, four fundamental attributes can be compared, print thickness, highlight color, reflectance (or gloss), and texture, of which only the two last may have relations with the quantitative features used here to characterize photo paper surfaces. Figure 10 shows the overall empirical distribution of distances between all (2491 x 2490/2) pairs in data set 2 as a reference to compare the distances between the twin prints of the 11 pairs of interest here. For examples, the pairs by Franz Roh (German, 1890–1965), Theodore Roszak (American, 1907–1981), and Marianne Breslauer (German, 1909–2001) show small distances (corresponding respectively to the 5, 6,
and 10% quantiles). Interestingly, the examination of print thickness, highlight color, reflectance, and texture had led to the conclusion that prints of these pairs were similar. For prints approaching a distance close to the distribution median distance (the solid vertical line in Figure 10), the similarities are less clear. In all respects (texture, reflectance, highlight color, and print thickness), pairs in this range by Max Burchartz (German, 1887–1961), John Gutmann (American, 1905–1998), and Jaromir Funke (Czech, 1896–1945) are classified as being on the same paper. However, pairs in this same range by Edmund Collein (German, 1906–1992), Helmar Lerski (Swiss, 1871–1956), and another Gutmann print are categorized as being on different paper when gloss, highlight color, and paper thickness are taken into account. In particular, the prints by Paul Citroen (Dutch, 1896–1983), though they have low texture differences (31% quantile), are classified as being on different paper mainly due to significant difference in gloss and print thickness. These results are not surprising given the common manufacturer practice of applying the same texture to different papers. These results suggest that an automated solution for discriminating material-based affinities across collections cannot rely on a single criterion, such as texture or reflectance, for determining results especially for distances around the median of the distribution.

Furthermore, such solutions should convey some level of confidence and context rather than returning a simple, binary determination of same or different. For distances significantly larger than the distribution median, the impact of color, thickness, and particularly gloss is still not clear. A pair by Alexander Rodchenko (Russian, 1891–1956) has a very large texture classification distance (beyond the 84% quantile) but could not be classified for this study due to missing information on gloss, highlight color, and print thickness. An interesting next step for prints such as those with large texture distances would be to determine whether additional information on, e.g., gloss or highlight color, have any impact on the determination of same or different or if instead the very large texture differences in this range are solely deterministic.

CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES
This article has quantitatively and qualitatively illustrated the potential value of basing the surface characterization and classification of photographic prints holding cultural value on anisotropic multiscale representations (HWT), combined with cepstral type distances and spectral clustering. The test data set 1 assembled in the framework of the Historic Photo Paper Classification Challenge demonstrates that the manufacturer-applied features of reflectance followed by texture are fundamental for the characterization of paper surfaces. Applied to data set 2, this methodology has promising results for art scholars, both by confirming existing conclusions and provoking new questions.

On the methodological side, this work can be expanded along several directions. There is an obvious need to compare the achieved results against those obtained with features both computed on other multiscale representations (e.g., [21]) or based on representations of very different natures (cf. [19] for a first attempt). Devising distances that better match the perceptual, artistic, aesthetic and manufactured nature of photographic paper is a clear next step and an emergent priority. Also, at the clustering stages, tools for developing more robust assessments of both the relevance of a given cluster (compared to the benefit of further splitting it) as well as the confidence with which a given paper can be assigned to a given cluster, would significantly complement this first work. Additional strong benefits would likely result from using tools aiming at assessing the relevant levels at which data sets should be clustered, in the spirit of multiscale community clustering developed in, e.g., [29].

On the application side, texture characterization, comparison, classification, and retrieval systems have great potential within the humanities, promoting new modes of scholarship for curators, collectors, and art conservators. Dating, authentication, stylistic development, artistic intention, and spheres of artistic influence are vital scholarly questions. Networked and deployed across museum, library, and archive collections, methods for texture query and retrieval can lead to new research opportunities where a print in one location can be meaningfully compared, based on physical attributes, to others held elsewhere. Such systems will provide the means to discover material-based (not simply image-based) affinities across time and within and across artists’ oeuvres. Intrinsically valuable for enhanced scholarship in the humanities, such systems also would be effective for identifying anomalies, notably including fakes.

A key future step lies in further developing tools permitting deeper and more meaningful interactions between signal and image processing experts and scholars working within a wide array of humanities-based disciplines. Besides simply making lists of clusters available to humanities-based experts, such tools must enable them to naturally apprehend the robustness of proposed clustering (or its fragility), its hierarchical nature as well as its sensitivity to methodological choices. Developed further, such systems would optimally allow for qualitative input and the modeling of results based on other bodies of nonempirical knowledge. This work would present exciting opportunities where the fields of signal and image processing can adapt to the subtleties and specificities of humanities disciplines, thus broadening applications and cross-disciplinary relevance.
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Early paper manufacturing used sieve-like molds through which paper pulp was drained. Two pieces of paper are called moldmates if they were made using the same mold. When a large body of one artist’s work on paper exists, the identification of moldmates can help in establishing chronology, suggest paper preferences, and indicate periods of intense activity of the artist. Rembrandt is an especially good example. With several thousand prints in existence today, the study of Rembrandt’s prints has occupied scholars for over two centuries, and the study of his printing papers occupies a prominent place within this scholarship [1]. This article examines the feasibility of moldmate identification via chain-line pattern matching and conducts a series of experiments that demonstrate how accurately the measurements can be made, how straight and parallel the lines may be, and provides a rule of thumb for the number of chain lines required for accurate moldmate identification using a simplified model. The problem of identifying moldmates among Rembrandt’s prints is presented as a pair of image/signal processing tasks; our strategy is to provide basic solutions to these tasks and to then reveal the shortcomings of these solutions in the hopes of encouraging future work in the signal processing community. With the support of the Morgan Library & Museum and the Metropolitan
Museum of Art, both of which are in New York, we have made high-resolution data available [2] to facilitate this quest.

**LAID LINES, CHAIN LINES, MOLDMATES, AND WATERMARKS**

Before the introduction of the papermaking machine in the early 19th century, handmade paper was created by dipping a *mold*—a porous screen surrounded by a removable wooden frame—into a vat of mascerated and suspended pulp. Prior to 1750, the screen was fabricated from fine, densely spaced horizontal rows of laid wires held into position by thicker, widely spaced vertical chain wires. When the mold was plunged into the vat and lifted out, these wires acted as a sieve, retaining the paper pulp in thinner and thicker accumulations as the water drained away. A modern reenactment of this method of papermaking can be found online [3]. The grid-like configuration—called a *laid and chain-line pattern*—formed by the interference of the wires, is replicated in the final sheet and is easily visible when the blank paper is viewed via transmitted light or imaged via radiography. For example, Figure 1 shows one of Rembrandt’s prints and a beta-radiograph of the same print in the region around the watermark. The chain lines are the whitish vertical features spaced slightly more than 2 cm apart. The laid lines are the closely spaced (and barely perceptible) horizontal lines. Each mold was made by hand and, while at first glance, two molds may appear to be identical, small variations exist between the exact intervals of chains from one mold to the next. Papers having identical laid and chain-line patterns are commonly presumed only to occur if they have been formed on the same mold—hence, they are called *moldmates*.

A watermark designating the paper’s manufacturer, size, or function was often stitched onto the heavier chain lines using a wire bent into a simple shape, for example, a star, shield, or monogram. Just like the chain and laid lines, the watermark wire affected the rate and quantity of pulp as it drained through the mold and left behind a characteristic impression on the paper. Typically, images of watermarks are captured for art historical research using beta-radiography or low-energy X-radiography [1]. For example, the watermark in Figure 1 is known as a *foolscap* and depicts the head of a jester wearing a five-pointed ruff surmounting a cross emerging from three spheres. Twenty-one variants of foolscap watermarks found on Rembrandt’s prints are cataloged in [1], one of which has nine subvariants, with one of these appearing in Figure 1. This particular subvariant can be found in 16 different prints by Rembrandt according to [1]. Papers having identical watermarks may also be presumed to be moldmates.

Watermarks have received considerable attention in print, drawing, book, and manuscript connoisseurship, with scholars carefully recording watermarks in the works of Shakespeare, Beethoven, Jane Austin, Dürer, and other artists and writers. To
date, moldmates have been identified primarily by comparing watermarks. However, extracting and comparing watermarks using computer-generated algorithms is a challenging proposition, as they have complicated shapes (as shown by the foolscap) and can assume many forms and have many variations. Another drawback to the use of watermarks for moldmate identification is that not all prints under consideration have watermarks. Indeed, only approximately one-third of the extant impressions of Rembrandt’s etchings include a full watermark or even a fragment [1]. Accordingly, the use of the chain-line pattern has been suggested as a way to identify papers made on the same mold [4], [5]. The difficulty in manually pursuing moldmate identification via chain-line pattern matching has prompted the consideration of its automation [6]–[8], though no automated scheme has yet been universally adopted.

CHAIN LINE PATTERN MATCHING AND SIGNAL PROCESSING

The approach taken here is to characterize the problem of identifying moldmates among Rembrandt’s prints—and, by extension, any handmade laid and chain-line patterned papers such as those found in manuscripts, printed books, archives, and collections of prints and drawings—as a basic pair of image processing tasks. (While considerable progress has been made in the application of signal processing to the technical analysis of canvas supports for paintings [9]–[13], there has been much less focus on the application of signal processing to handmade laid paper.) The first task is to locate the chain lines in images such as Figure 1; the second is to use the chain-line patterns to identify potential moldmates. Our strategy is to provide basic solutions to these two tasks that are sufficient to convince the user community to collaborate in a future imaging campaign providing full sheet images to maximize the extent of the chain-line pattern associated with each piece of paper. To help encourage members of the signal processing community to contribute to this topic of paper moldmate identification, we point out here some of the limitations of our basic solutions and note that, with the support of the Morgan Library & Museum and the Metropolitan Museum of Art, we have made high-resolution images available [2], which will be needed for developing improvements.

Our algorithmic approach to this computational art history task is similar to the strategy in [7], which uses image processing tools (in particular Fourier and Radon transforms) to extract from a suitable image the average chain-line separation distance, chain-line orientation, number of chain lines, and the sequence of chain-line separation distances. As stated in [7]: “All these features are detected under the assumption that the lines are straight and equidistant with respect to each other.” (Here equidistant means parallel.) In [7], the average chain spacing and the chain-space sequence are combined with the laid line density in a similarity measure. Our approach in this article differs in that we drop the extraction and use of laid line density, as most of our images are of insufficient clarity to assess this feature. Plus, we abandon the assumption that the chain lines are parallel; while we retain the assumption that they are straight. The lead author of [7] modified the straightness assumption to piecewise straightness in [8], with a commensurate increase in the necessary signal processing.

In this article, we test the straightness of the chain lines in the images in our data set and find that numerically adequate near-straightness occurs in approximately 90% of our images. Thus, in our quest to establish the ability of chain-line pattern matching of a sufficient number of adjacent chain spaces by itself (to offer a reduction in a broad library to a manageable number of potential matches for further investigation), we assume chain-line straightness. We also test our data set for the occurrence of chain-line patterns with at least one nonparallel chain line with a relative angle greater than 0.12°. This is a sizable portion of our data set. We chose to include the possibility of handling images with nonparallel chain lines, for which the location of the measurement of chain spacing on the image relative to its location on the mold needs to be computed, because of the fundamental simplicity of...
this task. The end result is that we agree with [7] in that the chain-space sequence is “the most discriminative feature.”

**LOCATING CHAIN LINES**

A semiautomatic method of chain-line extraction in beta-radiographs is shown in Figure 2. This begins in (a) with a manual trimming of (b) to remove any labels, ruler markings, and blank areas from the source image. The trimmed image is input into a Radon transform (c) and the angle at which the chain lines are (closest to) vertical is given by the column with the greatest variation. The graph (d) shows the standard deviation of the elements in each column, and the angle \( \theta^* \) with the maximum value gives the angle of rotation. In (e), the trimmed image is rotated by \( -\theta^* \) to give the straightened image (f). This is then filtered using an aggressive vertical filter (g), which is 1/3 the image height and three pixels wide, resulting in the filtered image (h). A Hough transform (i) then locates the most prominent lines, and the result is shown in (j), superimposed over the straightened image.

Although the positions and orientations of the lines can be determined automatically in this procedure, both the preparation of the image and the final verification are done manually. It is difficult to control the exposure of beta-radiographs, since under- and oversaturation can occur based on the properties of the paper, which may not be fully known at the time of exposure. As a result, it is common to adjust the contrast on the images and to trim unwanted portions of the raw data. The images commonly contain a ruler [as in Figures 1 and 2(a)], which is needed to verify physical dimensions, and there may be regions of all-black or all-white [such as the wedge-shaped region in Figure 2(a)] that need to be cropped. While it may be possible to automate some of these actions, mistakes in the preprocessing almost guarantee that the chain lines will not be found properly.

Once the procedure (b)--(c)--(e)--(g)--(i) of Figure 2 has been run, it is necessary to check that the output is sensible. Typical errors in the algorithm would include failing to find some of the lines or finding too many lines. In either case, the algorithm can be rerun with different thresholds and settings within the filters and transforms. Clearly, the method suggested in Figure 2 is but one possibility. See [7] for another method that begins by exploiting the shadow around the chain lines rather than the chain line itself.

As all approaches will, our method makes several assumptions about the chain-line patterns. First, it assumes that all the lines are more or less parallel (because otherwise the Radon transform cannot locate a single preferred direction for the step (e) derotation). Second, it assumes that all the lines are genuinely straight, since curved or segmented lines cannot be reliably located by the Hough transform. The open problem of finding more automated, more general, more accurate, and/or faster algorithms is one task we are promoting here to signal processing specialists.

Rather than pursuing an improved or more general algorithm here, consider the question of accuracy. It is easy to look at the superimposed output of Figure 2(j) and to see that it “looks” correct. It has detected the correct number of lines, and they are located plausibly. But what is the “real” answer, and how close does the algorithm come to this answer? Indeed, this becomes crucial when comparing different algorithms, since only by comparing with a ground truth can the accuracy of different candidate algorithms be compared.

![Image](image.png)

**[FIG3]** A small region containing a portion of a chain line is extracted from the beta-radiograph in Figure 1. This is then enlarged twice in (b) and (c). What appears to be a fairly clear vertical line in (a) dissolves into a blur of pixels in (c). Where exactly is the “line?”
EXPERIMENT 1: A TEST OF ACCURACY

To see why the task of locating the chain lines may not be as straightforward as it might seem, the beta-radiograph B112iv is enlarged twice; see Figure 3. While (a) and (b) clearly show the chain lines as vertical linear features, (c) shows that these are not simple geometric lines. Rather, they are composed of uneven and blurry patches, they are not of uniform width (often extending several pixels to either side), and they may be interrupted repeatedly.

To investigate, we asked a group of Cornell University engineering students taking a course on signal processing algorithms for analyzing art supports to manually identify the chain lines in several beta-radiographs. The subjects were given marking software (a simple graphical user interface built in Mathematica), which allowed placement of marks near the endpoints of the chain lines. A semitransparent line connected the two end points so that the subjects could visually verify his or her marking. Such manual markings can be used to establish the ground truth of “where the lines really are” and can also be used to assess the agreement (or disagreement) among the subjects.

Since the subjects could choose where to mark, it was not possible to compare the marked locations themselves. Rather, the lines formed by joining the two marked end points were parameterized in distance/angle form

\[ \rho = x \cos(\theta) + y \sin(\theta), \]

where the \((x_i, y_i)\) are the Cartesian coordinates of the two end points, \(\rho\) is the distance from the origin and \(\theta\) is the angle of the line. With two marked points, (1) is a system of two equations and two unknowns, and hence can be solved for \((\rho, \theta)\). The \((\rho, \theta)\) values for each of the lines marked by each of the subjects were tabulated. Altogether, there were 12 subjects who worked with 24 beta-radiographs chosen randomly from our larger set. Each subject measured four to five lines on eight different beta-radiographs and so each chain line was measured independently four times. Over this data set, the mean of the \(\rho\) values was 1.06 and the standard deviation was

\[ \sigma_\rho = 0.009, \]

which corresponds to about 5.3 pixels at a nominal resolution of 600 dots per inch (dpi). This shows that despite the patchy nature of the chain lines, subjects can locate the chain lines with reasonable consistency. It also provides a way to assess the quality of an algorithm. Indeed, applying the semiautomatic procedure of Figure 2 to the same beta-radiographs gives values of \(\rho\) that are within one standard deviation of the measured values.

EXPERIMENT 2: A TEST OF STRAIGHTNESS

Both the algorithm of Figure 2 and the discussion of the geometry of moldmates in Figure 4 presume that the chain lines are straight. Staalduinen [8] observed that some chain lines may be bent and developed a method that attempted to locate the piecewise segments of such bent lines. Our observation was that the majority of chain lines did not appear to bend, and so we wanted to quantify the straightness of the chain lines. Toward this end, we asked the subjects to manually find the smallest bounding box that encloses the centered spine of each chain line. The subjects and image data were the same as in Experiment 1. The subjects now used the software to mark three points for each chain line, from which the bounding boxes can be calculated. These three points were to be placed at the horizontal center of the vertically oriented chain line with one each near either end and one at some point of extreme departure from the straight line connecting the marked end points.
Again, each bounding box is measured four times, by four different subjects. Figure 5 shows a histogram of the widths of the chain line bounding boxes. The average width is 7.0 pixels, and the median is 5.3. Both of these numbers are comparable to (2), which is the accuracy to which the measurements are made—hence, over half of the chain lines have width smaller than can be reliably measured.

On the other hand, there are isolated chain lines that have bounding boxes with nontrivial width. In this data set [2], the largest is the left-most chain line in the image 17.37.75, which is measured as 52.7, 27.48, 29.83, and 30.97 pixels by the four subjects. While these values are not particularly consistent, they are all well above the measurement error, indicating a significantly bent chain line. The second-largest values are from the left-most chain line of image 17.37.75 (2), which were reported as 40.13, 32.08, 39.5, and 36.2. Interestingly, these two distinct images from our data set, included in the grouping of [2], are of the same of piece paper and should provide a very close match. However, they were not designated a very close match by the software we subsequently developed, which assumed chain line straightness. They were assessed as somewhat similar but not the closest match.

**EXPERIMENT 3: A TEST OF PARALLELISM**

A common assumption is that the chain lines in a single print are parallel [7]. To test this assumption, this third experiment uses a set of $N = 205$ beta-radiographs we received from the Morgan Library & Museum, the Metropolitan Museum of Art, and the Rijksmuseum to measure the degree to which the lines are parallel, by looking at the difference between the angles of the chain lines. Figure 5 shows a histogram of the maximum angle that occurs in each print. The mean and median values are 0.4 and 0.36°, respectively, so overall the lines tend to be fairly parallel. As will be discussed in the following sections, when the lines are not parallel, this can be quite useful as an identifying feature of the print.

**THE GEOMETRY OF MOLDMATES**

The dimensions of the molds used in papermaking were typically much larger than the sizes of final folded sheets as used for pages in a book or unfolded as stand-alone sheets. Figure 4 shows an exaggerated schematic of a mold with eight chain lines that has been divided into three sheets labeled $A$, $B$, and $C$. It is worth making a few observations. First, chain line matching cannot show directly that papers $A$ and $B$ come from the same mold since they have no chain lines in common. Second, measurements of chain spacings (interchain distances) may show a close match between $B$ and $C$ (because the corresponding lines are parallel), but they will not show a close match between $A$ and $C$ (because the lines have different angles). Third, given two pieces of paper with many chain lines, it is necessary to check all possible subsets for matches. For example, only the final four lines of $C$ can match with the lines of $B$. Though not obvious from the figure, it is also worth mentioning that it is typically unknown a priori whether a paper has been flipped left-right, top-bottom (or both) when taking the beta-radiograph; thus, it is also necessary to check all four orientations when attempting to find matches. Finally, the more chain lines that overlap, the more certain one can be that two papers do (or do not) match.

Using the distance/angle form (1) for the detected chain lines allows a geometric calculation to compensate for the angle discrepancy. Essentially, this estimates the distance $\beta$ in Figure 4, though it may be pictured geometrically as sliding the chain lines of paper $A$ up and/or down until they best match the chain lines of paper $C$. The geometry of two nonparallel chain lines is illustrated in the right hand side of Figure 4. The distances $d_1$ and $d_2$ are two measurements of how far apart the lines are, and are related via

$$d_1 = d_2 + \beta \sin(\psi). \tag{3}$$

Because segments $\overline{1}$ and $\overline{2}$ are parallel, angle $\alpha$ equals angle $\delta$. (Counterclockwise angles are positive while clockwise angles are negative.) Thus, because $\alpha = 90^\circ - \theta$ and $\delta = 90^\circ - \phi$, $\theta = \phi$. Furthermore, because $\psi + \phi = 90^\circ$ and $\gamma - \phi = 90^\circ$, $\psi = -\phi = -\theta$. A key observation is that the angle off horizontal of the shortest distance line to the right line from any measurement point on the left-most line is the same.
Given a collection of $N$ laid papers each with $M$ chain lines (presumed to be straight but not necessarily parallel), the first step is to measure (automatically, semiautomatically, or manually) the positions of the chain lines. Using the distance/angle parameterization (1), the $M$ chain lines in paper $i$ can be represented by the vector

$$\{\rho^i, \theta^i\} \equiv \{\rho^i_1, \rho^i_2, \ldots, \rho^i_M, \theta^i_1, \theta^i_2, \ldots, \theta^i_M\} \in \mathbb{R}^{2M}, \quad (4)$$

where $\rho^i_k$ and $\theta^i_k$ represent the distance and angle of each chain line $k$ with respect to the origin of the $k$th paper. For ease of notation, these are partitioned into vectors $\rho^i$ and $\theta^i$, each in $\mathbb{R}^M$.

Consider two pieces of paper $i$ and $j$ that are separated by an unknown distance $b$ on the same mold (for example, paper $A$ and the first four chain lines of $C$ in Figure 4). Applying the logic of (3) to each of the successive pairs of chain lines suggests estimating $b$ using a least squares procedure

$$\min_b \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \rho^i_j - \rho^i_1 \\ \rho^i_j - \rho^i_2 \\ \vdots \\ \rho^i_j - \rho^i_M \\ \sin(\theta^j_1 + \theta^i_1) \\ \sin(\theta^j_2 + \theta^i_2) \\ \vdots \\ \sin(\theta^j_M + \theta^i_M) \end{array} \right\} \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} \rho^i_1 \\ \rho^i_2 \\ \vdots \\ \rho^i_M \\ \sin(\theta^i_1 + \theta^i_1) \\ \sin(\theta^i_2 + \theta^i_2) \\ \vdots \\ \sin(\theta^i_M + \theta^i_M) \end{array} \right) \leq b. \quad (5)$$

Though the measurements $\theta^i_j$ and $\theta^i_i$ are similar, which is why they are averaged. Rewriting (5) using $Y_{ij}$ for the first vector and $X_{ij}$ for the second, the $b$ that minimizes the least squares error $\|Y_{ij} - bX_{ij}\| = (Y_{ij} - bX_{ij})^T(Y_{ij} - bX_{ij})$ is $b_{ij} = (X_{ij}^T X_{ij})^{-1}X_{ij}^T Y_{ij}$.

**IDENTIFYING MOLDMATES**

The geometric analysis of the previous section aligns the chain lines of two papers (as well as possible) by offsetting them a distance $b_{ij}$. Moreover, the value achieved at this optimum

$$d_{ij}(i, j) = \|Y_{ij} - b_{ij}X_{ij}\| \quad (6)$$

provides a measure of the dissimilarity between the chain lines of the papers $i$ and $j$. A value of zero would mean that the shifted versions overlay exactly while a large value implies that the two sets of chain lines are very different. Moldmates should have small $d_{ij}(i, j)$ while unrelated papers should have larger values.

Initial experiments showed that applying (6) directly to the problem of finding moldmates can lead to some undesirable false matches. Fortunately, many of these can be removed by considering more than just the value of $d_{ij}(i, j)$. We have found four such modifications useful. First, if the difference between any of the angles is too large, any small value of $d_{ij}(i, j)$ is accidental; such accidents can be removed from consideration by placing a threshold on $\max|\theta^j - \theta^i|$. Second, if all of the individual angles are small, then the computation of $b^2$ is irrelevant, and the distance

$$d(i, j) = \|\rho^i - \rho^j\| \quad (7)$$

is more appropriate. Third, a $\beta^2$ value that is larger than about 18 in (a typical size for the frame) is impossible; any such false matches can be removed by placing a threshold on $\beta^2$. Finally, if the maximum of all the $|\rho^i - \rho^j|$ is small, a distance of zero is reported to encourage consideration of this potential mold match. These can be written succinctly in two parts:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{if } \max |\theta^j - \theta^i| < 0.005, \\
\delta(i, j) &= \begin{cases} 
\infty & \max |\theta^j - \theta^i| > 0.02 \\
0 & \max |\rho^j - \rho^i| < 0.01 \\
& \max |\rho^j - \rho^i| > 0.01
\end{cases} \\
\text{if } \max |\theta^j| \geq 0.005, \\
\delta(i, j) &= \begin{cases} 
0 & \max |\rho^j - \beta_{ij}^* \sin(X_{ij})| < 0.01, \\
& \max |\rho^j - \beta_{ij}^* \sin(X_{ij})| \geq 0.01
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

where $X_{ij}$ is defined as in (5). The various thresholds (0.005, 0.01, 0.02, etc.) are representative and may require fine-tuning for specific data sets. The threshold on the differences in angle and distance are set so they are larger than the differences resulting from manual marking errors. The range of measurement point separation is set by typical mold dimensions. The threshold for declaring the chain lines parallel (so that beta need not be estimated) depends on the shift causing changes in the distance/spacing vector that exceeds the threshold that designates a match. For a modest $\beta$ of 10 in and a reasonable distance threshold of 0.02 in, the sine of the relative angle should be less than 0.002. For such small values, this corresponds to the angle threshold for declaring nonparallelism of 0.002 radians or 0.12°. By this measure a large fraction of our images contain nonparallel chain lines.

**HOW MANY CHAIN SPACES?**

The objective in moldmate identification is not to return a single answer with the most likely fit, since this is not what the paper conservator or art historian would find most useful. Rather, the goal is to reduce a large library of chain line pattern images to a small number so that the expert user can investigate further, with the expectation that if a match exists, it will likely be in this smaller set. One issue is how many adjacent chain spaces are needed to achieve this goal. Currently, the majority of the beta-radiographs available to us are limited to the vicinity of watermarks, which typically do not cover the entire print. This is due to the earlier emphasis on watermark matching and the technical limitations of beta-radiography [14]. An assessment of the required number of adjacent chain spaces to achieve reliable matching would be helpful to persuade museums to undertake the expense in equipment and personnel costs to mount a campaign to acquire full-print images revealing its entire chain-line pattern. This section addresses that need by building a simple statistical model of the chain-line process and assessing the probability of error of the model as a function of the number of chain spaces. Specifically, the analysis calculates the probability that a sheet of interest will be confused with one or more of the existing sheets and the results provide guidelines for the number
of chain lines that need be present to reliably detect moldmates. This provides a rule of thumb for the real problem.

In the past, attempts have been made to use the average inter-chain spacing as a way of characterizing sheets of laid paper for the purpose of identifying moldmates [6]. This section begins by conducting a statistical analysis of this procedure (using data gathered as in the previously discussed experiments) to show why the mean value alone is unlikely to provide a useful characterization, which agrees with observed behavior in experiments reported in [7]. The analysis is then extended to consider the use of \( M + 1 \) chain lines (\( M \) interchain spaces), and a simple rule of thumb is derived that suggests how large \( M \) must be to reliably distinguish moldmate matches to a candidate sheet of paper from among a universe of \( N >> M \) sheets.

The database of images of chain-line patterns in laid papers (provided by the Morgan Library & Museum, the Metropolitan Museum of Art, and the Rijksmuseum and used in these experiments) includes \( N = 205 \) sheets. The mean chain spacing, calculated as \( \mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i \), where \( i \) ranges over all the chain lines in a given image, is \( \mu = 0.977 \) inches with standard deviation \( \sigma_m = 0.082 \). Considered as a collection of random samples, this is plausibly Gaussian, as can be seen in the histogram of Figure 6. To model the question of interest, consider a collection of \( N \) random variables \( m_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma_m^2) \) each of which is measured in the presence of some small error

\[
m_i = m_i + \epsilon_i \text{ where } \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2).
\]  

(9)

A new candidate element \( m^* \sim N(\mu, \sigma_m^2) \) is measured from the same distribution as the \( m_i \), and we wish to know how many of the \( m_i \) lie close to this candidate \( m^* \). (These will be the potential moldmates that must be subjected to closer examination.) This also requires quantifying “close to.” For simplicity, consider two elements close if they lie within \( \pm \epsilon \sigma_e \), and \( m^* + \epsilon \sigma_e \), represents the probability that elements of the data set lie close to the measured \( m^* \).

Let

\[
f(x, \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)
\]

(10)

be the normal density function. The probability represented by the shaded region \( S \) is

\[
p_S(m^*, \mu, \sigma_e) = \int_{m^*-\epsilon\sigma_e}^{m^*+\epsilon\sigma_e} f(x, \mu, \sigma_m)dx
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \text{Erf}\left(\frac{m^*+\epsilon\sigma_e}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_m}\right) - \text{Erf}\left(\frac{m^*-\epsilon\sigma_e}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_m}\right) \right].
\]  

(11)

Some observations:

1) The probability \( p_S \) is independent of \( \mu \) since both the data set and the new candidate element are assumed to have the same mean.

2) As the measurement error \( \sigma_e \to 0 \), the probability of being close goes to zero. In words, the more accurately the measurements can be made, the greater the distinguishing power of the method. Conversely, larger \( \sigma_e \) cause \( p_S \to 0 \).

3) The probability \( p_S \) does depend on the particular \( m^* \). For \( m^* < \mu \) or \( m^* >> \mu \), \( p_S \) is small.

4) Conversely, \( m^* = \mu \) implies that \( p_S = \text{Erf}(\epsilon\sigma_e/\sqrt{2}\sigma_m) \).

With an eight-to-one ratio of \( \sigma_e \) to \( \sigma_m \), this is 0.1.

Case 4) may be interpreted to say that roughly 10% of the elements of the database will be considered close to the candidate. Since each must be considered in four orientations, this approximately quadruples (to 40%) the percentage of sheets that will be considered potential matches. One ameliorating factor is that the measurement of the mean values are not independent of the number of chain spacings \( M \); larger \( M \) cause smaller effective \( \sigma_e \). If each independent chain line is measured with an error \( \sigma_e \), the average of \( M \) is effectively drawn from \( N(\mu, (1/M)\sigma_e^2) \) and the effective standard deviation is \( \sigma_e^* = \sigma_e/\sqrt{M} \). Perhaps the best use of the mean value of the chain spacings is in the cases described by case 3) where \( m^* \) deviates from the mean \( \mu \). In these cases, \( p_S \) will be small and there will be fewer possible matches to consider. From another point of view, these estimates
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**FIG6** A histogram of the mean chain line spacing of the \( N \) sheets in the database is reasonably modeled as a normal probability distribution with mean \( \mu = 0.977 \) and standard deviation \( \sigma_m = 0.082 \), as shown in green.

![Means of chain spacings](image)

**FIG7** The means of the chain spacings are considered as elements of \( m_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma_m^2) \). The region \( S \) shows the region in which elements are “close” to the test element \( m^* \) and the probability \( p_S \) represents the percentage of elements in the database close to the candidate.
suggest that the mean value may be better used as a method of ruling out mold matches (in those cases when \( p_S \) is small) than as a method of locating mold matches in general.

Fortunately, more information is available in the chain spacings than just the mean value. The simplest way to model the chain spacings is to presume that the sequence of interchain intervals is

\[
\hat{m}_i = [m_{i1}, m_{i2}, \ldots, m_{iN}] \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, N,
\]

where each component \( m_{ij} \) is independent of \( m_{ik} \) for \( j \neq k \), and where \( \hat{m}_i \) is independent of \( \hat{m}_j \) for \( i \neq j \). Following the logic of (9)–(11), the probability \( p_S(\hat{m}_i, \sigma_m, \sigma_e) \) of accidental matches from the database to a candidate vector \( \hat{m}_i^* \) is

\[
p_S(m_{i1}, \sigma_m, \sigma_e) p_S(m_{i2}, \sigma_m, \sigma_e) \cdots p_S(m_{iN}, \sigma_m, \sigma_e) = (p_S(m^*, \sigma_m, \sigma_e))^N.
\]

Unfortunately, this exponential decay of \( 0.1^N \) represents an overly optimistic scenario in which each chain-space element is independent of the others even within a single sheet.

A more realistic model observes that each chain-space sequence has a mean value \( m^* \) and a small variance \( \sigma_m^2 \) about that mean. Let \( m^* \) be the mean value drawn from \( N(\mu, \sigma_m^2) \) as before. The chain spacings are then

\[
\{m^* + \hat{m}_1, m^* + \hat{m}_2, \ldots, m^* + \hat{m}_N\},
\]

where \( \hat{m}_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma_m^2) \) and where the standard deviation \( \sigma_m \) is the variation in the chain spacings within a given sheet (which is less than the variation in the complete data set). Assuming again that the \( m^* \) is independent of the \( \hat{m}_i \), and that \( \hat{m}_i \) is independent of \( \hat{m}_j \) for \( i \neq j \), the desired probability \( p_S(m^*) \) can be factorized as

\[
p_S(m^*, \sigma_m, \sigma_e) p_S(\hat{m}_1, \sigma_m, \sigma_e) \cdots p_S(\hat{m}_N, \sigma_m, \sigma_e) = (p_S(m^*, \sigma_m, \sigma_e))^N.
\]

Since \( \sigma_e < \sigma_m < \sigma_m \), the probability \( p_S(m^*) \) is larger than in (13), giving a more pessimistic (though also more realistic) assessment. Using the value \( \sigma_m = 0.034 \) (the average of the standard deviations), \( \sigma_e \approx 0.01 \) [the average measurement error from (2)], this is

\[
p_S = (0.1)(0.25)^{N-1}.
\]

By \( M = 3 \) (four chain lines), there is about \( 4p_S \) chance of false matches. The factor four occurs because of the need to inflate the number of sheets by four to consider all the possible rotations and reflections. By \( M = 4 \), \( 4p_S \) drops to 1% and continues to decrease exponentially as \( M \) increases, reducing the number of potential false matches by a factor of four with each additional chain line. This leads us to seek matching patterns of five (or more) adjacent chain lines with four (or more) chain spaces. A comparison of the predictions of the three sets of modeling assumptions is given in Table 1.

### A PAIR OF MOLDMATES IDENTIFIED VIA A CHAIN-LINE PATTERN MATCH

Applying the distance measure \( \delta(i, j) \) of (8) to the data set of [2], we observed that there was a potential match between Rembrandt’s Medea, or the Marriage of Jason and Creusa, dated 1648 (B112iv, Figure 1) and a left-right flipped version of The Artist’s Mother in Widow’s Dress and Black Gloves, B344. A reproduction of the etching and its beta-radiograph is shown in [15]. What is particularly intriguing about this match is that the latter print’s authorship has been questioned for many years. Most scholars after Adam Bartsch, the indefatigable 18th-century catalogueer of Rembrandt prints, agree that B344 is by a pupil of Rembrandt, who was most likely copying an earlier print, dated circa 1631, The Artist’s Mother Seated at a Table, Looking Right (B433). This chain-line pattern match of papers in restrikes from around 1650, provides support for the conclusion that the pupil’s print originated in Rembrandt’s studio. Presuming creation of B344 around 1635 narrows the list of potential copyists to pupils in Rembrandt’s studio at that time. The close match between the (shifted) chain lines of these two images (with the proper flips) is shown in detail in [15]. Though this match was identified solely from the close similarity of their chain-line patterns, it can be verified [1] by the match of the watermarks, though B344 has only a fragment of the watermark that is fully contained in B112iv.

### CONCLUSIONS AND OPEN QUESTIONS

This article has highlighted the problem of moldmate identification of laid paper and provided a basic argument for the feasibility of the project. The potential for automated procedures to identify moldmates among collections of similar handmade laid papers is exciting to paper conservators and graphic art curators. Using our first-pass signal processing strategies and even with a modestly sized database, we were able to identify a moldmate pair of Rembrandt prints that was previously unrecognized by the owner.

This article has attempted to clearly display the moldmate problem as a set of signal processing tasks with the goal of making the problem accessible to the signal processing community, where those who are not experts in the technical analysis of paper may contribute. One key to this is a description of the various signal processing tasks that must be completed. The other major component is making the images and data sets publicly available [2] to help fuel this cross-disciplinary effort.
Some of the problems highlighted in this article are straightforward applications of signal processing techniques while others may require significant effort to realize. For example, a wonderfully complex problem is the automated extraction and comparison of watermarks (such as that in Figure 1). Such shapes are much more complex than the simple straight line we have chosen to attempt to extract and the automated comparison between such unparameterized shapes is not straightforward. On the other hand, there are many tasks that might benefit from more advanced signal processing techniques, more clever implementations of the algorithms, and/or more thoughtful metrics. For instance, the proposed algorithm for chain-line extraction (in Figure 2) can no doubt be improved, both in its accuracy and in its range of applicability, reducing the manual portions with automated extraction techniques. Similarly, the distance measure (8) can undoubtedly be expanded or improved. As shown in [15], such chain-line pattern matching software can also be used to assess concerns paper experts have regarding the possibility that differences in the wetting, pressure, and drying of intaglio printing and conservation treatments encountered separately by moldmates can distort the chain lines into distinctly different patterns.

The analytic contribution of this article is to propose a simple model where the statistics of the chain-line data set can be used to estimate the number of chain lines needed to distinguish laid papers. For example, three or four chain lines are inadequate to reliably locate moldmates, and the rule of thumb relates the certainty of any such identification with the number of chain lines, given the experimental and computational errors inherent in locating the chain lines.
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Canvas analysis is an important tool in art-historical studies, as it can provide information on whether two paintings were made on canvas that originated from the same bolt. Canvas analysis algorithms analyze radiographs of paintings to identify (ir)regularities in the spacings between the canvas threads. To reduce noise, current state-of-the-art algorithms do this by averaging the signal over a number of threads, which leads to information loss in the final measurements. This article presents an algorithm capable of performing thread-level canvas analysis: the algorithm identifies each of the individual threads in the canvas radiograph and directly measures between-distances and angles of the identified threads. We present two case studies to illustrate the potential merits of our thread-level canvas analysis algorithm, viz. on a small collection of paintings ostensibly by Nicholas Poussin and on a small collection of paintings by Vincent van Gogh.

INTRODUCTION

The analysis of paintings is increasingly aided by the availability of imaging and image-processing tools, including various types of imaging to reveal underpaintings and underdrawings [1], [2], techniques for automatic brushstroke segmentation and analysis [3]–[5], and automatic face analysis techniques [6].

[Laurens van der Maaten and Robert Erdmann]

Automatic Thread-Level Canvas Analysis

[A machine-learning approach to analyzing the canvas of paintings]
tools can help art historians, conservators, and restoration artists understand the way in which different painters worked and may provide clues about the attribution of a painting to a particular artist. One of the most commonly performed analyses is the analysis of radiographs (X-rays) of paintings, as such radiographs can reveal visible and hidden paint layers according to the radiopacity of the paint. Radiographs do not only provide essential information on the materials that need to be used in restorations, but they may also form the basis for valuable art-historical insights.

In addition to information on the radiopacity of the paint, radiographs also reveal the individual threads in the canvas (see Figure 1) because the ground layer, which generally contains lead, varies in thickness when it is spread over the textured surface of the bare canvas. Until a few years ago, art experts generally considered the display of the threads as a disturbance because it was obfuscating what they were truly interested in: the composition of the different paint layers. More recently, however, scholars have realized that the canvas threads visible in radiographs may carry important art-historical information [7]. This information arises from the fact that the thicknesses of the threads are irregular because of the way a loom works. Some threads are thinner than others because of natural variations in the manufacturing process: a thread with higher tension on it tends to be narrower. Such irregularities persist throughout the entire bolt of canvas. As a result, paintings made on canvas thread densities and/or spacings are good surrogates for the thread thicknesses that we would like to measure. Various recent studies have attempted to measure thread densities and/or spacings across the canvas, in particular, in paintings by Nicolas Poussin [9], Vincent van Gogh [10], Johannes Vermeer [11], [12], and Diego Velázquez [13]. In particular, these studies estimate the thread density in a small patch of the painting using a two-dimensional (2-D) Fourier analysis [14] or an approach based on measuring autocorrelations in small canvas patches [9]. These analyses provide valuable information, but they average information across relatively large patches of canvas (over five threads or more), which leads to low-resolution thread density maps. The averaging may hide variations in the thickness of individual threads, which makes it harder to obtain conclusive evidence that two canvases originated from the same roll.

In contrast to most prior work (the work by [12] is a notable exception), this article proposes an algorithm for thread-level analysis of the canvas. Our approach involves training a machine-learning model to identify thread crossings in the canvas based on their visual appearance. The resulting model is used to automatically identify the millions of thread crossings inside a canvas, which, in turn, form the basis for measuring thread spacings. We show the merits of thread-level canvas analysis by using it to study a collection of three alleged Nicolas Poussin paintings as well as a small collection of paintings by Vincent van Gogh.

**THREAD-LEVEL CANVAS ANALYSIS**

Our approach to thread-level canvas analysis comprises four main parts: 1) we extract features from the radiographs that are sensitive to the signals produced by the threads, 2) we train and deploy a machine-learning model that automatically detects thread crossings based on these features, 3) we use the response of this detector to estimate the distance between neighboring threads, and 4) we automatically try to match the resulting thread-distance maps produced for different canvases to determine whether or not these canvases likely originate from the same roll. The details of these four parts of our approach are described separately below. A MATLAB implementation of our canvas analysis algorithm is publicly available from http://lvdmaaten.github.io/canvas.

**FEATURE EXTRACTION**

Thread-crossing detection can be performed with very high accuracy because thread crossing corresponds to visually salient locations in canvas radiographs. Our thread-crossing detector: 1) extracts histograms-of-oriented-gradient (HOG) features from the image region around the canvas location of which we want to determine whether or not it corresponds to a thread crossing and 2) uses a linear support vector machine to determine based on these features whether or not the location is a thread crossing or a “nonthread crossing.”

---

**FIG1** An example of a high-resolution radiograph of the Nicolas Poussin painting Triumph of Bacchus. The inset shows the individual threads in a small part of the canvas. (Radiography reproduced with permission from the Nelson-Atkins Museum of Arts in Kansas City.)
HOG features [15] describes an image location by a histogram of image gradient magnitudes for a number of quantized gradient orientations (we used eight orientations in our study). The histograms are constructed over small image patches; depending on the type of canvas and the resolution of the canvas radiographs, we used image patches of $4 \times 4$ or $8 \times 8$ pixels in this study [in radiographs scanned at 600 dots per inch (dpi)]. Subsequently, the histograms are normalized for contrast differences by normalizing the L2-norm of all the histograms in a square, spatially connected block of four image patches. The advantage of the use of image gradients and the subsequent contrast normalization is that it produces partial invariance to larger-scale signals in the radiograph images that stem from the paint layers (in particular, from layers of white paint that contain relatively large amounts of lead, which in turn lead to strong radiograph responses). To obtain additional invariance to small variations in the gradient magnitudes, the contrast-normalized histograms are clipped at 0.2 and then renormalized according the L2-norm to produce the final HOG features. The resulting features have a particular structure near thread crossings, which is illustrated in Figure 2.

THREAD-CROSSING DETECTION

To obtain a model that can automatically distinguish thread crossings from other structures in canvas radiographs, we train a logistic regression model to discriminate a set of image patches that contain manually annotated thread crossings (positive examples) from a set of image patches that are randomly sampled from the canvas (negative examples). Ideally, the set of positive examples describes the variation in the visual appearance of thread crossings, while the set of negative examples captures the visual variation in nonthread-crossings. Denoting an image patch in the training data by $I$, the corresponding label by $z \in \{-1, +1\}$, and the HOG feature function by $\phi$, the logistic regressor builds the following probabilistic model:

$$p(z | I; \theta) = \frac{\exp[\phi^T(I) + b]}{\exp[\phi^T(I) + b] + \exp[\phi^T(I) + b]}.$$  

Herein, the parameters $\theta = (w, b)$ comprise a vector $w$ and a scalar bias $b$. After incorporating an isotropic Gaussian prior over $w$, $p(w) = N(w | 0, \sigma^2 I)$, the parameters $\theta$ are estimated via maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimation on the aforementioned data set $\mathcal{D} = \{(I_1, y_1), (I_2, y_2), \ldots, (I_N, y_N)\}$:

$$\theta^* = \arg\max_{\theta} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log p(z_n | I_n; \theta) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \| w \|^2.$$  

Herein, the hyperparameter $\sigma^2$ is set via cross-validation. The resulting weights $w^*$ are visualized as a HOG feature in Figure 3. The figure shows that they are a template for the visual appearance of a typical thread crossing. This training procedure need to be performed only once for a particular type of canvas, assuming the imaging conditions are similar across the collection of canvas radiographs.

After training, the trained model (i.e., the template) is applied to all image patches in a canvas radiograph to predict the likelihood $p(z | I; \theta)$ that a location in the canvas contains a thread crossing. An example of the resulting likelihood map is shown in Figure 4(c); brighter colors indicate a higher likelihood of the location containing a thread crossing according to the logistic-regression model. The quality of the likelihood map can be substantially improved by exploiting that the likelihood map ought to be quite regular; the likelihood of the thread-crossing presence at location $(x, y)$ should be high when there is a high likelihood of

![Fig2](image-url)  
**Fig2** Examples of five canvas patches around (a) a thread crossing and (b) five randomly selected canvas patches along with the corresponding HOG feature-representation of these patches.

![Fig3](image-url)  
**Fig3** A visualization of our thread-crossing detector. The figure shows that the detector identifies crossings as a location at which prolonged horizontal and vertical edges (caused by the boundaries of the threads) cross.
thread-crossing presence near the locations $(x - d_x, y)$, $(x + d_x, y)$, $(x, y - d_y)$, and $(x, y + d_y)$, where $d_x$ and $d_y$ are the average distances between threads in the warp and weft directions, respectively. We employ a pictorial-structures model [16] that can exploit this information to also detect thread crossings for which little visual evidence is present (e.g., because the thread crossing is hardly visible due to the presence of lead white paint).

Our pictorial-structures model computes the score $s$ for thread-crossing presence based on the image patch $I(x, y)$ extracted at location $(x, y)$ as follows:

$$s(x, y; \theta) = p(z = 1 | I(x, y); \theta) + \sum_{i, j} \max\{p(z = 1 | I(x + d_x, y + d_y); \theta) - \alpha (d_x^2 + d_y^2), 0\} + \sum_{i, j} \max\{p(z = 1 | I(x, y + d_y); \theta) - \alpha (d_y^2), 0\},$$

where $\alpha \geq 0$ is a manually set discount factor and where $t_x \in \{-1, +1\}$ and $t_y \in \{-1, +1\}$. Intuitively, the score for a location is thus given by the sum of the likelihood for that location and the likelihood of the highest-scoring locations in a four-lattice surrounding that location, where the score of those locations is discounted by the distance to their expected location. While the resulting score is not technically a likelihood, it may be employed in the same way. An example of a pictorial-structures score map is shown in Figure 4(c). The figure illustrates that incorporating prior knowledge on the typical structure of canvas greatly improves the performance of the thread-crossing model. The final thread-crossing detections are obtained by applying nonmaxima suppression on the score map. Nonmaxima suppression finds local maxima in the score map that are above a predefined threshold $\tau$. An example of the resulting thread-crossing detections is shown in Figure 4(d). While some detection errors are present, the result in Figure 4(d) illustrates that the majority of thread-crossings and neighborhood relations is correctly identified.

### ESTIMATING THREAD DISTANCES

After detecting the thread crossings, we need to identify which crossings are the warp and weft “neighbors” to be able to measure the distance between two weft threads or two warp threads at that...
location. To this end, we center an anisotropic Gaussian distribution at each thread crossing location that has much more variance in either the warp or the weft direction (depending on whether we want to measure interweft or interwarp distances). The resulting density map tends to follow the direction of the threads in the canvas. The density maps may be further improved by rotating the Gaussians according to the estimated orientation of the threads at each location to become more robust to cusping, but for simplicity, we omit that in this study. To determine the neighbor of a thread crossing, we perform a large number of random walks that emanate from the thread crossing under investigation and terminate at the next thread crossing we encounter. The random walks are forced to go in a “forward” or “backward” direction, while using the density map to determine whether or not to move in the direction perpendicular to the thread. We construct a histogram over neighbor candidates that counts how often a random walk terminated in each thread crossing, and we select the crossing that has the highest count as the final neighbor candidate. The process is performed both in the “forward” and in the “backward” direction, and a neighborhood relation is only accepted if both thread crossings pick each other as neighbor to eliminate any inconsistencies (i.e., when the neighborhood relation is reciprocal). The detected thread-crossing relations are indicated by blue lines in Figure 4(d). Finally, we estimate interthread distances on all locations where the thread identification procedure has a high confidence, while interpolating in low-confidence regions of the canvas and removing small outliers using a median filter. In a similar manner, we can measure the orientation of the thread connections to produce a thread orientation map. An example of the resulting distance and orientation maps (for both warp and weft threads) is shown in Figure 5.

MATCHING THREAD DISTANCE MAPS

To identify potential matches between different canvases based on the thread distance maps, we adopt an approach similar to that described by [17]. Specifically, we extract a small band of the distance map and take the median along this band (in the direction of the threads) to obtain an estimate of the thread distance signal. The thread distance signal is convolved with a Gaussian kernel to remove very fine-grained structure: empirically, we found matching is more accurate when performed based on features in the thread distance signal that live on a coarser scale. We match the thread-distance signals of two canvases by sliding one signal over the other (enforcing a minimum overlap), while measuring the mean absolute distance between the signals in the overlapping region. We use mean average distance as it is less sensitive to outliers than squared
errors. The match is repeated for a flip of one of the thread signals as one of the canvases may have been “upside down” compared to the other. A match is only accepted if one of the two minimum mean average distances is below a certain threshold.

EXPERIMENT 1: NICOLAS POUSSEIN

We used our canvas-analysis algorithm to study a collection of three Nicolas Poussin paintings that were studied before by [9]: 1) Triumph of Pan, 2) Triumph of Bacchus, and 3) Triumph of Silenus. This set of paintings is of particular art-historical interest because the three paintings were part of a single commissioning in 1636 to Cardinal de Richelieu for the Cabinet du Roi in his castle in Poitou, France. Their authenticity has been subject to strong debate: some have considered Bacchus to be a copy [18], [19], but most Poussin scholars now believe that Bacchus and Pan are authentic Poussin paintings. Silenus, however, is considered to be an early copy by its owners, the National Gallery London (Poussin’s Bacchanals quickly became very popular, with the first copies being produced as early as 1665. For instance, at least seven known copies of Bacchus exist today). Recent canvas analysis results have challenged this belief by finding a match between all three Triumph-paintings but were inconclusive because they were unable to perform thread-level canvas analysis. We obtained digital versions of radiographs (scanned at 600 dpi, 500 dpi, and 1,200 dpi for Triumph of Pan, Triumph of Bacchus, and Triumph of Silenus, respectively) and stitched them into whole-painting radiographs using algorithms described in [20]. Thereafter we manually annotated a total of 11,954 thread crossings in these radiographs and trained our thread-crossing detector on these manually annotated positive examples (negative examples were sampled randomly from the same radiographs).

We set the value of the L2-regularization parameter in the logistic regression, $\sigma^2$, by performing a grid search guided by the classification error on a small held-out validation set. The error of our model on the validation set was approximately 9%; most of these errors were likely due to the set of negative examples containing some actual thread crossings by chance. The average thread distance parameters $d_x$ and $d_y$ were estimated by running the entire canvas-analysis procedure without the pictorial structures and taking the median interthread distance in the warp and weft direction. The nonmaxima suppression step used a window size of $5 \times 5$ pixels, and a threshold $\tau = 0.4$ (on a scale from zero to one). The final thread distance maps were cleansed with a $7 \times 3$ or $3 \times 7$ median filter (depending on the orientations of the threads being analyzed). For warp maps, we removed distance values below 0.85 mm and above 1.45 mm from the map, while for warp maps, we removed distance values below 1.2 mm and above 1.9 mm.

Figure 5 presents the results of our analysis of Triumph of Bacchus. Figure 6 presents the results produced by our thread-level canvas analysis algorithm after matching the three Poussin paintings. Different colors correspond to different spacings between individual threads. The results presented in the figure provide very strong evidence that all three canvases originated from the same roll. The results are in line with earlier results from automatic and manual canvas analyses of these three paintings.
paintings [9] but provide stronger evidence because the evidence is on the level of individual threads and not on the level of multiple-thread averages. Indeed, the presented results make it highly unlikely that Silenus was copied 30 years later in a different location (Poussin was working in Rome, Italy, whereas a copyist likely would have worked in France), which strongly suggests that the current art-historical description of the three paintings needs to be revised. We leave such art-historical interpretations to other scholars; they are outside the scope of this work.

EXPERIMENT 2: VINCENT VAN GOGH

We also performed analyses of a small collection of paintings by Vincent van Gogh. Unlike the canvases of 17th-century Poussin, 19th-century van Gogh used canvas produced by the textile industry that has much finer threads and smaller irregularities in thread spacing [10]. Moreover, because van Gogh applies very thick paint layers, the thread structure is much harder to see in the X-rays. As a result, thread-level canvas analysis of van Gogh paintings is substantially harder than the analysis of Poussin paintings.

A large collection of roughly 180 van Gogh paintings has been studied intensively in the context of the Thread Count Automation Project [10], the goal of which is to assign all van Gogh paintings to a particular roll, as this may provide information on the order in which van Gogh made his paintings.

For this study, we had access to a small collection of ten radiographs van Gogh paintings that were scanned at 600 dpi:

- F402 Two White Butterflies
- F482 Bedroom in Arles
- F490 Mother Roulin with Her Baby
- F511 Orchard in Blossom
- F633 The Good Samaritan
- F692 The Thresher
- F699 The Shepherdess
- F720 Enclosed Wheat Field with Rising Sun
- F734 The Garden of Saint-Paul Hospital
- F822 The Cows

(The F-numbers are the catalogue numbers used by the van Gogh Museum in Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Some of the ten canvases are surmised to originate from the same roll but the results of current analyses are inconclusive. Our analysis results in a group of at least four matching canvases, as illustrated in Figure 7. An extensive study on all 180 van Gogh paintings [10] is planned for a future work.

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

We have presented a novel canvas-analysis approach that is able to perform thread-level analyses of canvas. We believe the method has two main advantages over prior work: 1) it provides more conclusive evidence on whether or not two patches of canvas have the same thread patterns and 2) it is easier for art experts to understand exactly what is being measured. We believe the second advantage is essential to get canvas-analysis...

[FIG7] An illustration of canvas weave matches between four van Gogh paintings: 1) F402 Two White Butterflies, 2) F482 Bedroom in Arles, 3) F490 Mother Roulin with Her Baby, and 4) F699 Shepherdess (after Millet). Different colors indicate the distance between (detected) neighboring thread crossings. In white regions, hardly any thread crossings were detected because the crossing signal was obfuscated by thick paint layers; these regions were ignored in the thread spacing measurements. (The figure is best viewed in color.)
technology widely used: showing art experts visualizations such as those in Figure 4 allows art experts to understand the analysis process, to identify potential errors in the measurements, and to manually correct such errors when desired, and to assess whether thread spacings are a good surrogate for thread thicknesses for the canvas a hand.

A substantial drawback of the proposed approach is that a trained thread-crossing detection model is likely only applicable to canvases of a similar type that was imaged under similar conditions; for instance, models trained on the Poussin paintings do not work well on the van Gogh paintings because van Gogh's canvases have much finer threads, which results in a different visual appearance of thread crossings. This implies that to apply our approach to a new type of canvas, it may be necessary to manually annotate a few hundred thread crossings for that canvas type. To resolve this problem, it would be very useful to establish a database with a large collection of canvas radiographs along with a crowdsourcing annotation tool. Such a database would not only facilitate systematic comparisons between canvas-analysis algorithms, but it would also allow for training thread-crossing detectors that can be applied to a wide variety of canvas types. Similar data-gathering and annotation efforts have proven instrumental in improving the state of the art in other computer-vision problems, such as object recognition [21].
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Toward Discovery of the Artist’s Style

Author attribution through the recognition of visual characteristics is a commonly used approach by art experts. By studying a vast number of artworks, art experts acquire the ability to recognize the unique characteristics of artists. In this article, we present an approach that uses the same principles to discover the characteristic features that determine an artist’s touch. By training a convolutional neural network (PigeoNET) on a large collection of digitized artworks to perform the task of automatic artist attribution, the network is encouraged to discover artist-specific visual features. The trained network is shown to be capable of attributing previously unseen artworks to the actual artists with an accuracy of more than 70%. In addition, the trained network provides fine-grained information about the artist-specific characteristics of spatial regions within the artworks. We demonstrate this ability by means of a single artwork that combines characteristics of two closely collaborating artists. PigeoNET generates a visualization that indicates for each location on the artwork who is the most likely artist to have contributed to the visual characteristics at that location. We conclude that PigeoNET represents a fruitful approach for the future of computer-supported examination of artworks.

INTRODUCTION

Identifying the artist of an artwork is a crucial step in establishing its value from a cultural, historical, and economic perspective. Typically, the attribution is performed by an experienced art expert.
with a long-standing reputation and an extensive knowledge of the features characteristic of the alleged artist and contemporaries.

Art experts acquire their knowledge by studying a vast number of artworks accompanied by descriptions of the relevant characteristics (features) [1]. For instance, the characteristic features of Vincent van Gogh during his later French period include the outlines painted around objects, complementary colors [2], and rhythmic brush strokes [3]. As Van Dantzig [4] claimed in the context of his Pictology approach, describing works by an artist in terms of visual features enables the attribution of works to artists (see also [5]).

The advent of computers and high-resolution digital reproductions of artworks gave rise to attempts to partially automate the attribution of artworks [6]–[8]. Given the appropriate visual features, machine-learning algorithms may automatically attribute artworks to their artists. As was (and still is) common practice in traditional machine learning, feature engineering, i.e., finding or defining the appropriate features, is critical to the success of the automatic attribution task. Close cooperation with art historians and conservators facilitated the feature engineering for artist attribution, which led to promising results in the automatic attribution of artworks by van Gogh and his contemporaries [3], [6], [9], [10], highlighting the value of automatic approaches as a tool for art experts.

Despite the success of feature engineering, these early attempts were hampered by the difficulty to acquire explicit knowledge about all the features associated with the artists of artworks. Understandably, the explicit identification of characteristic features posed a challenge to art experts, because (as is true for most experts) their expertise is based on tacit knowledge that is difficult to verbalize [11]. By adopting a method capable of automatically recognizing the characteristics that are known to be important for the task at hand, the tacit knowledge of art experts may be operationalized [12].

Feature learning is an alternative to feature engineering that learns features directly from the data [12]. Feature learning is much more data intensive than feature engineering, because it requires a large number of examples to discover the characteristic features. In recent years, feature learning has shown great promise by taking advantage of deep architectures, machine learning methods inspired by biological neural networks. A typical example of a deep architecture is a convolutional neural network, which, when combined with a powerful learning algorithm, is capable of discovering (visual) features. Convolutional neural networks outperform all existing learning algorithms on a variety of very challenging image classification tasks [13]. To our knowledge, convolutional neural networks have not yet been applied for automated artist attribution. The objective of this article is to present a novel and transparent way of performing automatic artist attribution of artworks by means of convolutional neural networks.

When using only visual information, the following question may be raised: Is automatic artist attribution possible at all? It has been frequently argued by scholars working in the art domain that semantic or historical knowledge, as well as technical and analytical information are pivotal in the attribution of artworks. The feasibility of image-based automatic artist attribution is supported by biological studies. Pigeons [14] and honeybees [15] can be successfully trained to discriminate between artists, with pigeons correctly attributing an artwork in 90% of the cases in a binary Monet–Picasso attribution task. This shows that a visual system without higher cognitive functions is capable of learning the visual characteristics present in artworks. While it is unlikely that a perfect result can be achieved without incorporating additional information, these findings do pave the way for an attribution approach that learns to recognize visual features from data rather than from prior knowledge.

In this article, we present PigeoNET, a convolutional neural network corresponding to the AlexNET architecture described in [13] to which we added a visualization component due to [16]. PigeoNET is applied to an artist attribution task by training it on artworks. As such, PigeoNET performs a task similar to the pigeons in [14], by performing artist attribution based solely on visual characteristics. This implies that, in addition to authorship, PigeoNET may also take visual characteristics into consideration that relate indirectly to the artist (e.g., the choice of materials or tools used by the artist) or that are completely unrelated to the artist (e.g., reproduction characteristics such as lighting and digitization procedure). To ensure that the visual characteristics on which the task is solved by PigeoNET make sense, human experts are needed to assess the relevance of the acquired mapping from images of artworks to artists. Our visualization method allows for the visual assessment by experts of the characteristic regions of artworks.

In our artist attribution experiments, we consider three sources of variation in the training set and assess their effects on attribution performance: 1) heterogeneity versus homogeneity of classes (types of artworks), 2) number of artists, and 3) number of artworks per artist.

After training, the performance of PigeoNET will be assessed in two ways: 1) by determining how well it attributes previously unseen artworks and 2) by generating visualizations that reveal artwork regions characteristic of the artist, or in case of artworks that are likely created by two or more artists, generating visualization that reveal which regions belong to which artist, and could aid in answering outstanding art historical questions.

PigeoNET
A convolutional neural network can learn to recognize the visually characteristic features of an artist by adapting filters to respond to the presence of these features in an image [17]. The filters are adapted to respond to a feature by adjusting the parameters, or weights, of the filters until a suitable configuration is found. The
proper weights for this configuration are obtained by means of a learning algorithm called back-propagation [18], which requires no prior knowledge other than the input images and a label (e.g., the artist who created it). In the case of artist attribution, the network will learn to recognize features that are regarded as characteristic of a certain artist, allowing us to discover these characteristics. PigeoNET is a convolutional neural network designed to learn the characteristics of artists and their artworks, so as to recognize and identify their authorship.

The filters in a convolutional neural network are grouped into layers, where the first layer is directly applied to images, and subsequent layers to the responses generated by previous layers. By stacking layers to create a multilayer architecture the filters can respond to increasingly complex features with each subsequent layer. The filters in the initial layers respond to low-level visual patterns, akin to Gabor filters [19], whereas the final layers of filters respond to visual characteristic features specific to artists.

Because convolution is used to apply the filters to an image, or the response of a previous layer, the layers of filters are referred to as convolutional layers. The advantage of a convolutional layer, over a traditional neural network layer, is that the weights are shared, allowing the adaptive filters to respond to characteristic features irrespective of their position or location in the input [18]. To learn a mapping from the filter responses to a certain artist the convolutional layers are, typically, followed by a number of fully connected layers that translate the presence and intensity of the filter responses to a single certainty score per artist. The certainty score for an artist is high whenever the responses for filters corresponding to that artist are strong; conversely, the certainty score is low when the filter responses are weak or nonexistent. Thus, an unseen artwork can be attributed to an artist for whom the certainty score is the highest.

**PIGEONET IS A CONVOLUTIONAL NEURAL NETWORK DESIGNED TO LEARN THE CHARACTERISTICS OF ARTISTS AND THEIR ARTWORKS, SO AS TO RECOGNIZE AND IDENTIFY THEIR AUTHORSHIP.**

**VISUALIZATION OF ARTIST-CHARACTERISTIC REGIONS**

While PigeoNET’s attribution of an artwork is based on the entire artwork, regions containing visual elements characteristic for an artist are assigned more weight than others to achieve a correct attribution [20]. To increase our understanding of the attribution performed by PigeoNET, we aim to visualize such artist-characteristic regions. Several methods have been proposed for visualizing trained convolutional neural networks [16], [21] and other layered algorithms [22]. We adopt the occlusion sensitivity testing method proposed by [16] for obtaining visualizations of artist-characteristic regions, which can be considered a weakly supervised localization method. By systematically occluding a small image region of an artwork, the importance of the occluded region is determined by observing the change in the certainty score for the correct artist. When an occluded region is very important (or highly characteristic) for correctly identifying the artist, there will be a significant drop in the certainty score generated by PigeoNET. Inversely, occluding a region that is atypical for the correct artist will result in an increase in the certainty score. A region for which occlusion results in a drop of the certainty score is considered characteristic for the artist under consideration. This approach to creating visualizations allows us to show the approximate areas of an artwork which are representative of an artist.

As an illustration, Figure 1 depicts The Feast of Acheloüs by two artists; Peter Paul Rubens painted the persons and Jan Brueghel the scenery [23]. Although there is no single correct artist, the certainty score for Brueghel would decrease if the scenery were to be occluded, whereas the certainty score for Rubens would drop if the figures were occluded. Even when only part of the figures or part of the scenery were to be occluded, we see a drop in confidence scores. In a similar vein, when even smaller regions of the painting have been occluded, it becomes possible to identify important regions on a much more detailed scale.

**AUTHOR ATTRIBUTION EXPERIMENT**

The goal of an artist attribution task is to attribute an unseen artwork to the artist who created it. To be able to perform this task adequately, PigeoNET needs to discover features that distinguish an artist from other artists but especially to discover features that are characteristic of each artist. In the rest of this section we will discuss the data set, network architecture, training procedure, evaluation procedure, and the results.

**EXPERIMENTAL SETUP**

**DATA SET**

The characteristic features of an artist can be discovered by studying artworks that are representative of that artist. Yet,
obtaining a sufficiently large sample of such images is problematic, given the lack of (automatic) methods and criteria to determine whether an artwork is representative. A commonly utilized approach to circumvent the need for a representative sample is to take a very large sample. As such, a data set that contains a large number of images, and a large number of images per artist, is required.

The Rijksmuseum Challenge data set [24] consists of 112,039 digital photographic reproductions of artworks by 6,629 artists exhibited in the Rijksmuseum in Amsterdam, The Netherlands. All artworks were digitized under controlled settings. Within the set there are 1,824 different types of artworks and 406 annotated materials, such as paper, canvas, porcelain, iron, and wood. To our knowledge, this is the largest available image data set of artworks and the only data set that meets our requirements.

We divided the Rijksmuseum Challenge data set into a training, validation, and test set (cf. [24]). In this article, these sets are used to train PigeoNET, to optimize the hyperparameters, and to evaluate the performance of PigeoNET on unseen examples, respectively. The data set contains a number of artworks that lack a clear attribution, these are labeled as either “Anonymous” or “Unknown.” We chose to exclude these artworks because our objective is to relate visual features to specific artists.

While the Rijksmuseum Challenge data set contains a large number of images of many different types of artworks by a large number of artists, there are many artists for whom only a few artworks are available or artists who have created many different types of artworks. As stated earlier, these variations might influence the performance of PigeoNET in nonobvious ways. To this end, we consider the following three sources of variation: 1) heterogeneity versus homogeneity of classes (types of artworks), 2) number of artists, and 3) number of artworks per artist.

Two main types of subsets were defined to assess the effect of heterogeneity versus homogeneity of artworks: type A (for “All”) subsets are labeled by their types. Type A (“All”) are subsets containing varying artworks, examples, and examples per artist. Type P (“Prints”) refers to subsets of prints only. P1: number of examples constant (128). P2: number of artists constant (78). For A and P1, the numbers of examples per artists represent the minimum numbers, while for P2 and P3, these numbers represent the exact number of artworks per artist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>PRINTS</th>
<th>DRAWINGS</th>
<th>OTHER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>HEINRICH ALDEGREVER</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ERNST WILLEM JAN BAGELAAR</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BOETILUS ADAMsz. BOLSWERT</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SCHETLE ADAMsz. BOLSWERT</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ANTHONIE VAN DEN BOS</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>NICOLAES DE BRUYN</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>JACQUES CALLOT</td>
<td>1,008</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ADRIAEN COLAERT</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>ALBRECHT DÜRER</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SIMON FOKKE</td>
<td>1,177</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>JACOB FOLKEMA</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>SIMON FRISUS</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>CORNELIS GALLE</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>PHILIPS GALLE</td>
<td>838</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>JACOB DE GHEYN (III)</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>HENDRICK GOLTZUS</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>FRANS HOGENBERG</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>ROMEYN DE HOOGHE</td>
<td>1,109</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>JACOB HOUBRAKEN</td>
<td>1,105</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>PIETER DE JODE (II)</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>JEAN LEPAUTRE</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>CASPAR LUYKEN</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>JAN LUYKEN</td>
<td>1,895</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>JACOB ERNST MARCUS</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>JACOB MATHAM</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>MEISSNER PORZELLAN MANUFACTUR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>PIETER NOLPE</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>CRISPIN VAN DE Passe (I)</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>JAN CASPAR PHILIPS</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>BERNARD PICART</td>
<td>1,369</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>MARGAUSSINO RAIMONDI</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>REMBRANDT HARMENS. VAN RUN</td>
<td>1,236</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>JOHANN SADLER (I)</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>REINIER VINKELES</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBSETS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF EXAMPLES PER ARTIST</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ARTISTS (CLASSES)</th>
<th>NUMBER OF TRAINING IMAGES</th>
<th>NUMBER OF VALIDATION IMAGES</th>
<th>NUMBER OF TEST IMAGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>56,024</td>
<td>7,915</td>
<td>15,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>37,549</td>
<td>5,323</td>
<td>10,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>28,336</td>
<td>4,063</td>
<td>8,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>256</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17,029</td>
<td>2,489</td>
<td>4,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>44,539</td>
<td>6,259</td>
<td>12,613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>31,655</td>
<td>4,484</td>
<td>8,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>23,750</td>
<td>3,408</td>
<td>6,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>256</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14,734</td>
<td>2,171</td>
<td>4,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3,328</td>
<td>1,209</td>
<td>2,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4,992</td>
<td>4,992</td>
<td>1,521</td>
<td>2,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6,656</td>
<td>6,656</td>
<td>2,160</td>
<td>4,341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
<td>9,984</td>
<td>9,984</td>
<td>3,408</td>
<td>6,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>3,408</td>
<td>6,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4,992</td>
<td>4,992</td>
<td>3,408</td>
<td>6,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
<td>9,984</td>
<td>9,984</td>
<td>3,408</td>
<td>6,761</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The subsets are labeled by their types. Type A (“All”) are subsets containing varying artworks, examples, and examples per artist. Type P (“Prints”) refers to subsets of prints only. P1: number of examples constant (128). P3: number of artists constant (78). For A and P1, the numbers of examples per artist represent the minimum numbers, while for P2 and P3, these numbers represent the exact number of artworks per artist.
Table 3. The MCA for the Artist Attribution Task on the 15 Data Subsets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsets</th>
<th>Number of Examples per Artist</th>
<th>Number of Artists (Classes)</th>
<th>MCA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>68.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>74.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>256</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>78.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>70.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>256</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>78.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>63.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>55.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold values indicate the best result per type; the overall best result is underlined.

and type P (for “Prints”), respectively. As is evident from Table 1, prints form the majority of artworks in the Rijksmuseum Challenge data set. The homogeneous type of subsets (P) has three forms: P1, P2, and P3. Subsets of type P1 have varying numbers of artists and artworks per artist (as is the case for A). Subsets of type P2 have a fixed number of artworks per artist. Finally, subsets of type P3 have a fixed number of artists. We remark that the number of examples per artist for the subsets in A and P1 are minimum values. For very productive artists these subsets may include more artworks. For subsets of types P2 and P3, the number of examples is exact and constitutes a random sample of the available works per artist. A detailed overview of the resulting 15 subsets is listed in Table 2. For the heterogeneous subset of at least 256 artworks of type A, Table 2 provides a more detailed listing that specifies the three most prominent categories: “Prints,” “Drawings,” and “Other.” (The largest subsets for P2 and P3 are identical, but are reported twice for clarity.) The “Other” category includes a variety of different artwork types, including 35 paintings.

All images were down-sampled to $256 \times 256$ pixels following the procedure described in [13], to adhere to the fixed input size requirement of the network architecture, and are normalized at runtime by subtracting the mean image as calculated on the training set.

ARCHITECTURE

The architecture of PigeoNET is based on the Caffe [25] implementation (see http://caffe.berkeleyvision.org/model_zoo.html) of the network described in [13], and consists of five convolutional layers and three fully connected layers. The number of output nodes of the last fully connected layer is equal to the number of artists in the data set, ranging from 958 to 26 artists.

TRAINING

An effective training procedure was used (cf. [13]), in that the learning rate, momentum, and weight decay hyperparameters were assigned the values of $10^{-5}, 0.9$, and $5 \times 10^{-4}$. The learning rate was decreased by a factor ten whenever the error on the validation set stopped decreasing. The data augmentation procedure consisted of random crops and horizontal reflections. While orientation is an important feature, to detect authorship the horizontal reflections were used to create a larger sample size, as it effectively doubles the amount of available training data, providing PigeoNET with sufficient data from which to learn, while possibly negatively impacting PigeoNET’s ability to pick up on orientation clues to perform classification. In contrast to [13], only a single crop per image was used during training, with crops of size $227 \times 227$ pixels, and the batch size was set to 256 images per batch.

All training was performed using the Caffe framework [25] on a NVIDIA Tesla K20m card and took between several hours and several days, depending on the size of the subset.

EVALUATION

The objective of the artist attribution task is to identify the correct artist for each unseen artwork in the test set. To this end the performance is measured using the mean class accuracy (MCA), which is the average of the accuracies for all artists. This makes sure that the overall performance is not heavily biased by the performance on a single artist.

During testing the final prediction is averaged over the output of the final softmax layer of the network for ten crops per image. These crops are the four corner patches and the central patch plus their horizontal reflections.

RESULTS

The results of the artist attribution task are listed in Table 3. The results on the artist attribution task show that the three sources of
variation, [heterogeneity versus homogeneity of classes (types of artworks), number of artists, and number of artworks per artist] affect the performance in different ways. The effect of heterogeneity versus homogeneity can be assessed by comparing the results for A and P1. The results obtained with P1 are slightly better than those obtained with A (except for 128 examples per artist). However, A and P1 differ also in number of artists, which is likely to affect the performances as is evident from the results on P2 and P3.

The total number of artists (P2) and the number of examples per artist (P3) have a more prominent effect on the attribution performance of PigeoNET. Increasing the number of artists while keeping the number of examples per artist constant (as in P2) leads to a decrease in performance. With more examples per artist (P3) the performance increases tremendously.

Our results suggest that the effects of the number of artists and the number of examples per artist are closely related. This agrees with the findings reported in [13] and leads to the observation that by considering more examples per artist the number of artists to be modeled can be increased.

The subsets of type A are comparable to the subsets used in [24], who obtain a comparable MCA of 76.3 on a data set containing 100 artists using SIFT (which stands for “scale-invariant feature transform”) features, Fisher vectors, and one-versus-rest classification.

Figure 2 shows a visualization of the confusion matrix for the subset with at least 256 examples of all artwork types. The rows and columns correspond to the artists in Table 2. The rows represent the artist estimates by PigeoNET, the columns the actual artists. The diagonal entries represent correct attributions, which are color coded.

Upon further analysis of the results for the 256 example subset (A) of all artwork types it can be observed that the best artist-specific classification accuracy (97.5%) is obtained for Meissener Porzellan Manufaktur, a German porcelain manufacturer (class 26). Among the different types of artworks in the data set, these porcelain artworks are visually the most distinctive. Given that the visual characteristics of porcelain differ considerably from all other artworks in the data set, it is not surprising that the highest classification accuracy is achieved for this class.

The worst artist-specific classification accuracy (60.6%) is achieved for Schelte Bolswert (class 4), as indicated by the yellow square on the diagonal in the confusion matrix (fourth row from below, fourth column from left). The low accuracy may be partially explained by the confusion between Schelte Bolswert and his older brother and instructor Boëtius Bolswert (class 3). Yet, because the classification accuracy for Boëtius Bolswert (86.3) seems much less affected by the confusion, an alternative possibility is that PigeoNET is more inclined to assign visual characteristics that are present in their works to Boëtius Bolswert because his works appear more frequently in the data set.

In a similar vein, the misclassifications that occur between Fokke Simon (10) and Jan Caspar Philips (29), and between Jan Luyken (23) and Caspar Luyken (22), are notable. Fokke Simon was a student of Jan Caspar Philips, and Jan and Caspar Luyken were father and son. Both pairs of artists have worked together on several artworks in the Rijksmuseum Challenge data set, despite the label in the data set indicating that these artworks belong to only one of these artists. We became aware of these potential dual-authorship cases after having performed our main experiment. Dual-authorship cases will be examined in more detail through visualizations in the section “Deciding Between Two Artists.”

**VISUALIZATION AND ASSESSMENT**

Visualizations of the importance of each region in an artwork can be generated using the regions of importance detection method described in the section “Visualization of Artist-Characteristic Regions,” where the occlusions are performed with a gray block of $8 \times 8$ pixels to indicate approximate regions that are characteristic of the artist. The regions of importance can be visualized using
heat map color coding, as shown in Figure 3(b). The value of a region in the heat map corresponds to the certainty score of PigeoNET for the artwork with that region occluded. In other words, a region with a lower value is of greater importance in correctly attributing the artwork, with (dark) red regions being highly characteristic of the artist, and (dark) blue regions being the least characteristic.

When comparing the artwork and heat map in Figure 3 of the drawing by Rembrandt, it is very noticeable that PigeoNET assigns much weight to seemingly empty areas. The texture of the material on which an artwork is created can be indicative of the artist who created the artwork [26]. When taking a closer look at Figure 4, with enhanced contrast, it becomes apparent that the areas are not empty and that a distinctive visual texture is present. The visual pattern is sufficiently distinctive and artist-specific for PigeoNET to assign it a larger weight. The pattern is an example of a visual characteristic that is indirectly related to the artist. It illustrates the importance of the transparency of automatic attribution to allow human experts to interpret and evaluate the visual characteristic.

DECIDING BETWEEN TWO ARTISTS

In the “Visualization and Assessment” section, we used PigeoNET to attribute an artwork to a single artist. Yet, as illustrated by the work of Peter Paul Rubens and Jan Brueghel in Figure 1, in many cases two (or more) artists have worked on the same artwork (see also [27]).

As evident from our results, PigeoNET had difficulty in correctly attributing artworks of closely collaborating artists. An intriguing explanation for PigeoNET’s failure to assign the “correct one” of two potential artists to artworks is that the artworks are created by both artists. In that case, it would not be a failure at all and indicates that PigeoNET discovered that the two artists are similar, and it recognizes the characteristic features of both artists, even if the work is attributed to only one artist. In the remainder of this section, we demonstrate the possibility of using PigeoNET to perform a fine-grained analysis of an artwork, attributing individual image regions to an artist.

DISCOVERING DUAL AUTHORSHIP

PigeoNET had difficulty in distinguishing between the works of Jan and Caspar Luyken, a father and son who worked together and created many prints. Throughout their careers, Jan Luyken chose to depict pious and biblical subjects, whereas Caspar Luyken mostly depicted worldly scenes [28]. As an example, we consider the artwork shown in Figure 5, Overdracht der Nederlande, aan de Infante Isabella. The work depicts the transfer of the Spanish Netherlands by Filips II to Isabella Clara Eugenia. Although arguably it is a very worldly scene, it is nevertheless attributed to Jan Luyken. Could it be possible that the artwork is incorrectly attributed to Jan Luyken? Obviously, this is a question that has to be answered by experts of their works.

Our findings may support them in their assessment. Although PigeoNET correctly attributed the artwork to Jan Luyken, the reported certainty score for Caspar Luyken is very high. Apparently, PigeoNET responds to visual features that are characteristic of Caspar Luyken. Using PigeoNET’s visualization, we are able to determine for each region how characteristic it is for each of the two artists. We created a visualization based on the certainty scores for
Jan Luyken and Caspar Luyken. Figure 6 shows the visualization using color coding on a yellow to blue scale. The yellow regions are characteristic for Jan Luyken, whereas the blue regions are characteristic for Caspar Luyken, the green regions are indeterminate and show characteristics of either artists in equal amounts. This example demonstrates the potential use of PigeoNET to support the study of dual authorship artworks.

**DISCUSSION**

Previous work on automatic artist attribution has shown that prior knowledge can be leveraged to engineer features for automatic artist attribution. In this article, we presented a novel approach that does not rely on prior knowledge and is capable of discovering characteristic features automatically enabling a successful artist attribution. Additionally, we demonstrated that PigeoNET visualizations reveal artwork regions most characteristic of the artist and that PigeoNET can aid in answering outstanding questions regarding dual-authorship.

We will now discuss the considerations regarding the data set used and address how the selection of subsets may affect the nature of visual characteristics discovered. Although the Rijksmuseum Challenge data set is the largest available data set containing digital reproductions of artworks acquired under controlled conditions [24], it does suffer from two main limitations. First, given the wide variety of artwork types, it is unclear how the “controlled conditions” were defined for different artworks. Any variation in the reproduction setting (e.g., illumination, perspective, camera type) may be picked up by PigeoNET. Presumably, our P (prints only) data sets suffer less from this problem. Still, even in these data sets subtle differences in digitization may leave visual marks that are picked up by PigeoNET. An ideal data set for attribution would be one in which no such visual marks are present. Unfortunately, such data sets do not exist and are hard (if not impossible) to create on this scale. Therefore, transparency of the acquired features by PigeoNET and proper visualizations are essential to aid art experts in their assessment of the feasibility of classifications.

The second limitation concerns the labeling of artworks. After having performed our main experiments, we discovered that for some artworks, the Rijksmuseum catalog lists multiple contributions, whereas the Rijksmuseum Challenge data set only lists a single artist [24]. The contributions listed in the Rijksmuseum catalog vary greatly (from inspiration to dual authorship) and do not always influence the actual attribution, but do create uncertainty about the attribution of artworks in the Rijksmuseum challenge data set. Although this significantly limits the possibility of learning stylistic features from such artworks, it does not prohibit PigeoNET from learning visual characteristics that are associated with the primary artist as such characteristics remain present in the artwork. Still, the validity and consistency of attributions is of major concern to safeguard the validity of methods such as PigeoNET. Also in the creation of such databases, the involvement of human art experts is required.

The results obtained in this work on the automatic artist attribution task show that PigeoNET is capable of accurately attributing unseen works to the correct artist. The increase of performance for the sets with a higher number of examples shows that including more examples per artist leads to a better performance. Moreover, the complete Rijksmuseum Challenge data set is a highly diverse data set with many different types of art. For some cases (e.g., the porcelain of the Meissen PORZEL LAN MANUFAKTUR) this results in a class that is visually very distinctive from the rest of the data set, which could make it easier to identify the correct artist. However, when comparing the performances obtained on the homogeneous P1 subsets (prints only) with those on the more heterogeneous A subsets (all artwork types), the difference in performance is quite small. This demonstrates that PigeoNET is capable of learning a rich representation of multiple artwork types without a major impact on its predictive power. Part of the types of features discovered in the A subsets are likely to distinguish between art types (e.g., a porcelain object versus a painting), rather than between author styles. In the P subsets, features will be more tuned to stylistic differences, because these subsets are confined to a single type of artwork.

Our findings indicate that the number of artists and the number of examples per artist have a very strong influence on the performance, which suggests that a further improvement of the performance is possible by expanding the data set. In future research we will determine to what extent this is the case.
CONCLUSIONS
In this article we have evaluated a feature learning system to assess to what extent it is possible to discover an artist’s visually characteristic features. The results on the automatic attribution task demonstrate that the system is capable, up to a high degree of accuracy, of using visual characteristics to assign unseen artworks to the correct artist. Moreover, we demonstrated the possibility of using the visual characteristics to reveal the artist of a specific region within an artwork, which in the case of multiple artists could lead to new discoveries about the origin and creation of important works of cultural heritage. Therefore, we conclude that PigoNET represents a fruitful approach for future computer-supported examination of artworks.
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Quantitative Canvas Weave Analysis Using 2-D Synchrosqueezed Transforms

Quantitative canvas weave analysis has many applications in art investigations of paintings, including dating, forensics, and canvas rollmate identification [1]–[3]. Traditionally, canvas analysis is based on X-radiographs. Prior to serving as a painting canvas, a piece of fabric is coated with a priming agent; smoothing its surface makes this layer thicker between and thinner right on top of weave threads. These variations affect the X-ray absorption, making the weave pattern stand out in X-ray images of the finished painting. To characterize this pattern, it is customary to visually inspect small areas within the X-radiograph and count the number of horizontal and vertical weave threads; averages of these then estimate the overall canvas weave density. The tedium of this process typically limits its practice to just a few sample regions of the canvas. In addition, it does not capture more subtle information beyond weave density, such as thread angles or variations in the weave pattern. Signal processing techniques applied to art investigation are now increasingly used to develop computer-assisted canvas weave analysis tools.
In their pioneering work [4], Johnson et al. developed an algorithm for canvas thread-counting based on windowed Fourier transforms (wFTs); further developments in [5] and [6] extract more information, such as thread angles and weave patterns. Successful applications to paintings of art historical interest include works by Vincent van Gogh [7], [8], Diego Velázquez [9], and Johannes Vermeer [10], among others [11]–[15].

A more robust and automated analysis technique was later developed by Erdmann et al. [16] based on autocorrelation and pattern recognition algorithms, requiring less human intervention (e.g., choosing proper frequency range and window size of wFTs). Unlike the Fourier-space-based approach of [4], [16] uses only the real-space representation of the canvas. Likewise, [17] also uses real-space-based features for canvas texture characterization.

In this article, we consider a new automated analysis technique for quantitative canvas analysis based on the two-dimensional (2-D) synchrosqueezing transforms (2DSTs) recently developed in [18]–[20]. This Fourier-space-based method applies the nonlinear synchrosqueezing procedure to a phase-space representation of the image obtained by wave packet or curvelet transforms. Synchrosqueezing has been shown to be a useful tool in independent work by some in [18]–[22], in the general area of materials science, medical signal analysis, and seismic imaging. Using as a prior assumption that the signal of interest consists of a sparse superposition of close to but not quite periodic template functions, this mathematical tool provides sharp and robust estimates for the locally varying instantaneous frequencies of the signal components by exploiting the phase information of wFTs (i.e., not only the absolute value as in previous methods). This seemed to make it a natural candidate for canvas analysis; as illustrated by the results we obtained, reported here, this intuition proved to be correct. The method, as shown next, is very robust and offers fine-scale weave density and thread angle information for the canvas. We compare our results with those in [4]–[6] and [16].

MODEL OF THE CANVAS WEAVE PATTERN IN X-RADIOGRAPHY

We denote by \( f \) the intensity of an X-radiograph of a painting; see Figure 1(a) for a (zoomed-in) example. Because X-rays penetrate deeply, the image consists of several components: the paint layer itself, primer, canvas (if the painting is on canvas or on wood panel overlaid with canvas), possibly a wood panel (if the painting is on wood), and sometimes extra slats (stretchers for a painting on canvas, or a cradle for a painting on wood, thinned and cradled according to earlier conservation practice.) This X-ray image may be affected by noise or artifacts of the acquisition process. We model the intensity function \( f \) as an additive superposition of the canvas contribution, denoted by \( c(x) \), and a remainder, denoted by \( p(x) \), that incorporates all the other components. Our approach to quantitative canvas analysis relies on a simple model for the X-ray image of the weave pattern in the “ideal” situation. Since it is produced by the interleaving of horizontal and vertical threads in a periodic fashion, a natural general model is

\[
 f(x) = c(x) + p(x) = a(x)S(2\pi N \phi(x)) + p(x). \tag{1}
\]

In this expression, \( S \) is a periodic function on the square \([0, 2\pi)^2\), the details of which reflect the basic weave pattern of the canvas, e.g., whether it is a plain weave or perhaps a twill weave. This is a generalization of more specific assumptions used in the literature—for instance, in [4] a plain weave canvas is modeled by taking for \( S \) a sum of sinusoidal functions in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions; in [6], more general weave patterns (in particular twill) are considered. The parameter \( N \) in (1) gives the averaged overall weave density of the canvas (in both directions). The function \( \phi \), which maps the image domain to \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), is a smooth deformation representing the local warping of the canvas; it contains information on local thread density, local thread angles, etc. The slowly varying function \( a(x) \) accounts for variations of the amplitude of the X-ray image of the canvas, e.g., due to variation in illumination conditions.
In some cases, the X-ray image fails to show canvas information in portions of the painting (e.g., when the paint layer dominates); the model (1) is then not uniformly valid. Because our analysis uses spatially localized information (analyzing the image patch by patch), this affects our results only locally: in those (small) portions of the image we have no good estimates for the canvas parameters. For simplicity, this exposition assumes that (1) is valid for the whole image.

We rewrite $c$ by representing the weave pattern function $S$, periodic on $[0, 2\pi]^2$, in terms of its Fourier series,

$$ c(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} a_n \tilde{S}(n) \exp(2\pi i n \cdot x). $$

This is a superposition of smoothly warped plane-waves with local wave vectors $N(n \cdot \phi(x))$. The idea of our analysis is to extract the function $\phi$ by exploiting that the Fourier coefficients $\tilde{S}(n)$ are dominated by a few leading terms.

**FOURIER-SPACE-BASED CANVAS ANALYSIS**

**WINDOWED FOURIER TRANSFORM**

Because $a$ and $\phi$ vary slowly with $x$, we can use Taylor expansions to approximate the function for $x$ near $x_0$ as

$$ c(x) \approx \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} a_n \tilde{S}(n) \exp(2\pi i n \cdot x) e^{-2\pi i n(x_0 \cdot x)} e^{-2\pi i n(x_0 \cdot x_0)/2}. $$

The right-hand side of (3) is a superposition of complex exponentials with frequencies $w = (w_1, w_2)$, with

$$ w_i = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} n_i \langle \delta_n \phi \rangle (x); $$

these would stand out in a Fourier transform as peaks in the 2-D Fourier spectrum. Since the approximation is accurate only near $x_0$, we also use a wFT with envelope given by, e.g., a Gaussian centered at $x_0$ with width $\sigma$. We have then

$$ W(x_0, k) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma^2} \int \int e^{-2\pi i (x_0 \cdot k - x \cdot \phi)} e^{-2\pi i (x_0 \cdot x)/2 \sigma^2} c(x) d^2x. $$

Instead of being sharply peaked, the spectrum of the wFT is thus “spread out” around the $N \nabla_x (n \cdot \phi)(x)$—a manifestation of the well-known uncertainty principle in signal processing, with a tradeoff with respect to the parameter $\sigma$: a larger $\sigma$ reduces the “spreading” at the price of a larger error in the approximation (3), since the Gaussian is then correspondingly wider in the real space.

The method of [4] and [6] uses the local maxima of the amplitude of the wFT to estimate the location of $N \nabla_x (n \cdot \phi(x))$ for a selection of positions $x_0$ of the X-ray image (local swatches are used instead of the Gaussian envelope, but the spirit is the same). For ideal signals, (4) shows that the maxima of the amplitude $|W(x_0, k)|$ identify the dominating wave vectors in Fourier space, which are then used to extract information, including weave density and thread angles. Thread density is estimated by the length of the wave vectors; the weave orientation is determined by the angles. This back-of-the-envelope calculation is fairly precise when $N$ is much larger than 1, resulting in a small $O(N^{-1})$ error in the Taylor expansions and stationary phase approximations. In terms of the canvas, $N \gg 1$ means that the inverse of the average thread density must be much smaller than the length scale of the variation of the canvas texture, which is typically on the scale of the size of the painting. This is essentially a high-frequency assumption, ensuring that stationary phase approximations can be applied in the time-frequency analysis. Details can be found in standard references of time-frequency analysis, e.g., [23].

In more complicated scenarios, in particular, when the X-ray signal corresponding to the canvas is heavily “contaminated” by the other parts of the painting, it is desirable to have more robust and refined analysis tools at hand than locating local maxima of the Fourier spectrum. The synchrosqueezed transforms are nonlinear time-frequency analysis tools developed for this purpose, in different [one-dimensional (1-D) and 2-D] applications that suggest they could be suitable for canvas analysis in challenging situations. A comparison of the two methods is shown in Figure 1 and will be explained next. For the sake of completeness, we note that in our implementation, we use curvelets (more or less corresponding to a nonisotropic Gaussian window, with axes-lengths adapted to the frequencies of the oscillating component) rather than wFTs with isotropic Gaussian windows, to which we have restricted ourselves in this exposition. The synchrosqueezing operation has similar effects in both cases; the curvelet implementation, while more complicated to explain in a nutshell, has the advantage of being governed by only two parameters, which set the spatial redundancy and the angular resolution. Setting these is well understood (see [24]); in addition the result is stable under small perturbations in these parameters.

**SYNCHROSQUEEZED TRANSFORMS**

The synchrosqueezed transforms, or more generally time-frequency reassignment techniques (see, e.g., the recent review [25]),
were introduced to deal with the “loss of resolution” due to the uncertainty principle. Originally introduced in [26] for auditory signals, using a nonlinear squeezing of the time-frequency representation to gain sharpness of the time-frequency representation, the 1-D synchrosqueezed wavelet transform was revisited and analyzed in [27]. For the application to canvas analysis, we rely on 2-D extensions of the synchrosqueezing transforms based on wave packet and curvelet transforms [18], [19]. This 2DST has been applied to atomic-resolution crystal image analysis in [20]; the present algorithm for canvas analysis is adapted from [20], where the 2DST proved to be an excellent tool to capture and quantify deviations from a perfect lattice structure, very similar to the aims of canvas analysis. Rigorous robustness analysis of the synchrosqueezed transforms in [24] supports their application to canvas analysis where data is usually noisy and contains contaminants.

The crucial observation is that the phase of the complex function \( w(x, k) \), obtained from the wFT (4) contains information on the local frequency (i.e., the instantaneous frequency) of the signal. Indeed, for \( (x, k) \) such that \( k \) is close to \( N^{-1}(n \cdot \phi) \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  w(x, k) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \Im(\nabla_x \ln W(x, k)) = N\nabla_x (n \cdot \phi)(x) + o(N) , \\
  &\text{where } \Im(z) \text{ stands for the imaginary part of the complex number } z.
\end{align*}
\]

Motivated by this heuristic, the synchrosqueezed wFT “squeezes” the time-frequency spectrum by reassigning the amplitude at \( (x, k) \) to \( (x, w(x, k)) \) as

\[
T(x, \xi) := \int |W(x, k)|^2 \delta(\xi - w(x, k)) \, dk.
\]

This significantly enhances the sharpness of the time-frequency representation, leading to an estimate of the local frequency of the signal, that is more accurate as well as more robust, as we illustrate below. This gives a sharpened energy distribution on phase space:

[FIG3] The canvas analysis results of van Gogh’s F205 using the synchrosqueezed transform: (a) and (b) thread count map of the horizontal and vertical threads and (c) and (d) the estimated horizontal and vertical thread angles. Compare with [6, Fig. 6].

[FIG4] Canvas analysis results of Vermeer’s L17 using the synchrosqueezed transform: (a) and (b) a thread count map of the horizontal and vertical threads while (c) and (d) show the estimated horizontal and vertical thread angles. Average thread density is 14.407 threads/cm (horizontal) and 14.817 threads/cm (vertical). The boxed region of the (d) vertical thread angle map is shown, enlarged, in Figure 5; it is part of a striking anomaly in the vertical angle pattern in this canvas, lining up along one vertical traversing the whole canvas.
\[ T(x, \xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \{ t(x) \ast \mathcal{F}(\delta(\xi - N \mathbf{v}(n \cdot \mathbf{\phi}(x)))) \} \]

in the sense of distributions. See [18]–[20] for more details, as well as an analysis of the method. The peaks of the synchrosqueezed spectrum \( T \) then provide estimates of the \( N \mathbf{v}(n \cdot \mathbf{\phi}(x)) \), determining local measurement of both the thread count and the angle.

Figure 1 illustrates the resulting spectrum of the 2DST, compared with the \( \text{wFT} \) for a sample X-ray image from a canvas. The reassignment carried out in (6), taking into account the local oscillation of the phase of a highly redundant \( \text{wFT} \) rather than the maximum energy of the \( \text{wFT} \) to reduce the influence of noise, results in a much more concentrated spatial frequency portrait. As illustrated by the behavior of the estimates when extra noise is added, this leads to increased robustness for the estimates of the dominating wave vectors, which determine the thread count and angle. The performance and the robustness of the 2DST are supported by rigorous mathematical analysis in [24].

APPLICATIONS TO ART INVESTIGATIONS

Let us now present some results of quantitative canvas analysis using 2DST. The algorithm is implemented in MATLAB. The codes are open source and available as SynLab at https://github.com/HaizhaoYang/SynLab.

The first example [Figure 2(a)] is the painting F205 by van Gogh, the X-ray image of which is publicly available as part of the RKD data set [28] provided by The Netherlands Institute for Art History; this was one of the first examples analyzed using the method based on the \( \text{wFT} \); see [4, Fig. 4] and also [6, Fig. 6]. In Figure 3, the thread count and thread angle estimates are shown for horizontal and vertical threads. Comparing with the previous results in [4] and [6], we observe that the general characteristics of the canvas agree quite well. For example, [6] reports average thread counts of 13.3 threads/cm (horizontal) and 16.0 threads/cm (vertical), while our method obtains 13.24 threads/cm (horizontal) and 15.92 threads/cm (vertical). Compared to the earlier results, the current analysis gives a more detailed spatial variation of the thread counts. In particular, it captures the oscillation of the thread count on a much finer scale. We don’t know whether such fine details will have applications beyond the canvas characterization already achieved by less detailed methods, but it is interesting that they can be captured by an automatic method. Note that visual inspection confirms the presence of these fine details.

We next consider a painting of Vermeer, Woman in Blue Reading a Letter (L17), the X-ray image of which is also available as part of the RKD data set [28]. The canvas analysis for Vermeer’s paintings is considerably more challenging than that of van Gogh’s [10]. This can be understood by direct comparison of the X-ray images in Figure 2(a) and (b). The stretchers and nails significantly perturb the X-ray image for the Vermeer. The results are shown in Figures 4 and 5. Although the thread count and angle estimate are affected by artifacts in the X-ray image, they still provide a detailed characterization of the canvas weave. This is justified by the result in Figure 5, which shows a zoom-in for the X-ray image and the vertical thread angle map. It is observed that the algorithm captures (and quantifies) detailed deviations in the vertical thread angle recognizable by visual inspection. Despite the challenges, the 2DST-based canvas analysis performs quite well on the Vermeer example.

To test the algorithm on a different type of canvas weave, we applied it to the X-ray image of Albert P. Ryder’s The Pasture, a painting on twill canvas. Figure 6 shows the result for a portion of (a)–(d) show the further zoom-in of the green-boxed region with clearly recognizable twill canvas weave. (c) and (d) Horizontal and vertical thread count maps corresponding to the zoomed-in region shown in (b). Note the much higher thread counts than for plain weave canvas, typical for the finer threads used in twill weave. The bottom-right insets of (b)–(d) show the further zoom-in of the green-boxed region for visual inspection. The horizontal thread count matches the changes observed in the X-ray image quite well.

![Figure 5](image1.png)

![Figure 6](image2.png)
of the canvas. The twill canvas pattern is clear on the zoomed-in X-ray image. The method is still able to capture fine-scale features of the canvas; the admittedly higher number of artifacts is due to the increased difficulty to “read” a twill versus a standard weave pattern, as well as a weaker canvas signal on the X-ray.

For our final example, we apply the 2DST-based canvas analysis to The Peruzzi Altarpiece by Giotto di Bondone and his assistants. The altarpiece is in the collection of the North Carolina Museum of Art; see Figure 7 for the altarpiece as well as the X-ray images used in the analysis. This is a painting on wood panel, but the ground of traditional white gesso was applied over a coarsely woven fabric interlayer glued to a poplar panel. We carried out a canvas analysis on the fabric interlayer, likely a handwoven linen cloth. The results of a canvas analysis based on the synchrosqueezed transform are shown in Figure 8. This example is much more challenging than the previous ones, since the X-ray intensity contributed by the canvas is much weaker because the ground does not contain lead; see, e.g., a detail of the X-ray image of the Christ panel in Figure 9. The canvas is barely visible, in sharp contrast to the X-ray images in, e.g., Figures 1(a) or 5. All panels except the central Christ panel are cradled; the wood texture of these cradles interferes with the canvas pattern on the X-ray image, introducing an additional difficulty. This difficulty is reflected in our results: e.g., the vertical thread count for the central panel has much fewer artifacts than those of the other panels (see Figure 8). In future work, we will explore carrying out a canvas analysis after signal-processing-based virtual cradle remove (see e.g., [29]).

One interesting ongoing art investigation debate concerning this altarpiece is the relative position of the panels of John the Baptist and Francis of Assisi [Figure 7(d) and (e), respectively]. While the order shown in Figure 7 is the most commonly accepted [30], there have been alternative arguments that the Francis panel should be instead placed next to the central panel [Figure 7(c)]. As seen in X-rays, the grain of the wood typically can be used to set the relative position of panels in an altarpiece painted on a single plank of wood, but because the cradle pattern obscures an accurate reading of the X-rays of the Baptist and Francis, this proposed alternative orientation cannot be discounted. We wondered what ordering (if any) would be suggested by the canvas analysis. Under the assumption that the pieces of canvas are cut off consecutively from one larger piece of cloth, we investigated which arrangement provides the best matching. One plausible arrangement of the canvas is shown in Figure 10. Our analysis suggests that the canvas of the central panel should be rotated 90° clockwise to match with the other panels. (The larger height of the central panel, possibly exceeding the width of the cloth roll, may have necessitated this.) Moreover, a better match is achieved if the canvas of the panel of the Baptist is flipped horizontally (in other words, flipped front to back). Given our results, it seems unlikely that the Francis-panel
[FIG8] The canvas analysis result of the Giotto altarpiece. The deviation of (a) vertical thread angle, (b) vertical thread count, (c) horizontal thread angle, and (d) horizontal thread count. The panels are in the same order as in Figure 7.
CONCLUSIONS

We applied 2DSTs to quantitative canvas weave analysis for art investigations. The synchrosqueezed transforms offer a sharpened phase-space representation of the X-ray image of the paintings, which yields fine-scale characterization of thread count and thread angle of the canvas. We demonstrated the effectiveness of the method on art works by van Gogh, Vermeer, and Ryder. The tool is applied to The Peruzzi Altarpiece by Giotto and his assistants, to provide insight into the issue of panel arrangement.
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Ancient Coin Classification Using Reverse Motif Recognition

We propose a holistic system to classify ancient Roman Republican coins based on their reverse-side motifs. The bag-of-visual-words (BoW) model is enriched with spatial information to increase the discriminative power of the coin image representation. This is achieved by combining a spatial pooling scheme with co-occurrence encoding of visual words. We specifically address the required geometric invariance properties of image-based ancient coin classification, as coins from different collections can be located at differing image locations, have various scales in the images and can undergo various in-plane rotations. We evaluate our method on a data set of 2,224 coin images from three different sources. The experimental results show that our proposed image representation is more discriminative than the traditional bag-of-visual-words model while still being invariant to the mentioned geometric transformations. For 29 motifs, the system achieves a classification rate of 82%. It is considered to act as a helpful tool for numismatists in the near future, which facilitates and supports the traditional coin classification process by a faster presorting of coins.

INTRODUCTION
In ancient times, coins had become the central embodiment of money, starting from around the seventh century B.C. in Greece and spreading over other civilizations like the Roman
Empire, Byzantium, India, or China [1]. While ancient coins were everyday objects in the past, today they are also considered as pieces of art that reflect the individualism of the engravers who manually cut the dies used for minting the coins [2]. Roman coins, for instance, often depict portraits of gods, influential persons, or historical events, similar to sculptures or paintings from this era. [2]. The classification of coins according to standard reference books is the fundamental work of coin experts [2] since this provides additional information such as accurate dating, political background, or minting place. However, classifying ancient coins is a highly complex task that requires years of experience in the entire field of numismatics [3]. Additionally, efficiency is a vital issue, both among humans and machines, especially when it comes to the processing of large coin collections or maybe even larger hoard finds. As a substantial part of numismatic coin analysis, coin classification can be supported and facilitated by an automatic system.

The basic elements of Roman Republican coin design are depicted in Figure 1. The obverse coin sides frequently show a portrait of the goddess Roma as the central motif or, at a later stage, that of a historic person, whereas the reverse shows some kind of significant objects or scenes. Usually those main motifs are combined with a legend. In addition to that, there are minor images, such as a tiny club, or numerals, which are referred to as symbols in classical numismatics. Symbols split up otherwise large and uniform coin issues of a specific year.

In contrast to existing works where the classification is based either on obverse portraits [4], [5], legends [6], [7], or dense image-to-image matching [8], [9], we exploit the reverse-side motifs for classification in this articles. Accordingly, the presented method closely mirrors the human approach, as the reverse images usually hold a critical amount of information that is required for successful numismatic classification. This is also true for periods other than the Roman Republican time. Naturally the central (reverse) motif is most prominent in all descriptions and, compared to legends or minor symbols, larger and more resistant against the inevitable degree of wear that is generally found on ancient coins. If manual numismatic classification is not possible for exactly that reason, it is commonly agreed upon to give a description of the visible parts (usually the central motif) and, if possible, the resulting probable reference numbers from the reference books [2].

**PRIOR WORK AND CONTRIBUTIONS**

In contrast to methods dedicated to present-day coins [10], [11], image-based classification of ancient coins has become a recent research interest, owing to the higher complexity of the problem due to the challenging conditions of ancient coins. Ancient coins do not have a rigid shape and are worn to a certain degree because of their age. Consequently, it was experimentally shown by [3] that the success of classification methods for present-day coins cannot be transferred to the

---

**FIG1** An example of the basic coin elements on the (a) obverse and (b) reverse side of a Roman Republican coin.
domain of ancient coins. The first method exclusively dedicated to ancient coins was proposed by Kampel and Zaharieva [12]. The method compares two coin images by establishing correspondences between them and taking their total number as similarity value. Given such a similarity function, classification is achieved in an exemplar-based manner where a query image is compared to class exemplar images in the data set. This idea was later extended to more sophisticated similarity functions that also take geometric constraints into account [8], [9].

Alternatively, or in addition to comparing the image signals, one can leverage a priori known motifs used for classification.

[FIG2] Reverse motifs used for classification.
semantic background information for classification. Arandjelović [6] and Kaveler et al. [7] proposed to exploit the legend shown on ancient coins. The background information here is a lexicon of known legend words linked with coin classes, which are detected by means of graphical models that integrate likelihoods of letter appearances to words. However, the two methods are designed for two different Roman coin periods: the method of Kaveler et al. [7] is designed for Republican coins and has to consider more degrees of freedom in the word search than the method of Arandjelović [6] for Imperial coins. On Imperial coins, the legend is arranged along the coin border and the image can be normalized for orientation by means of a log-polar transformation.

Apart from the legend, for Roman Imperial coins the person portrayed on the obverse coin side has been used for classification [4], [5], as this is typically the issuer defining the coin class [13]. The method of Arandjelović [4] relies on detected scale-invariant feature transform (SIFT) features [14] that are quantized into a fixed vocabulary of visual words. The spatial configuration of visual words is then encoded by locally biased directional histograms (LBDHs), which are again subject to vocabulary creation and the histogram of LBDH words serves as final image feature. However, a recently proposed method by Kim and Pavlovic [5] outperformed this method. Their approaches are more adapted to the field of face recognition and achieve recognition rate of 82–86% on a 15-class-problem by using the deformable parts model [5], [15].

Our proposed method is different from prior work both from a contextual and methodological perspective. We aim at exploiting a different semantic information for classification instead of the legend or the person on the obverse, particularly the motifs on the reverse of Roman Republican coins as shown in Figure 2. This is an essential part of coin descriptions and thus highly practical for classification. On the methodological side, we aim at the rotation-invariant spatial encoding of local features, as coin rotations cannot be handled by the best-performing methods for image-to-image coin matching [9] and obverse side recognition [5].

**IMAGE-BASED CLASSIFICATION OF ANCIENT COINS HAS BECOME A RECENT RESEARCH INTEREST, OWING TO THE HIGHER COMPLEXITY OF THE PROBLEM DUE TO THE CHALLENGING CONDITIONS OF ANCIENT COINS.**

**ROTATION-INvariant SPATIAL EXTENSIONS TO THE BoW IMAGE REPRESENTATION FOR ANCIENT COIN CLASSIFICATION**

Our proposed method is based on the BoW model, which has become a standard paradigm for image classification in the last decade [16]. Local features sampled from a set of images are quantized using a clustering technique such as the k-means. These cluster centers are called the visual words and the collection of all visual words is called the visual vocabulary. This visual vocabulary is then used to represent novel images. From a given image, local features are extracted and visual words from the vocabulary are assigned to these features using a similarity measure such as the Euclidean distance. Finally, the image is represented as a histogram where each bin represents the count of the respective visual word in the image. This histogram is called the histogram of visual words or the BoW, and the size of this histogram is equal to the size of the visual vocabulary.

A basic problem in the BoW image representation is the lack of spatial information of the quantized local features [17]–[19]. The histogram counts the number of visual words without considering their spatial positions in the two-dimensional (2-D) image space. However, the spatial information provides discriminating details in problems such as object recognition-based image classification [17], [18] because objects have specific geometric structures. There are two main principles used by various methods to add spatial information to the BoW image representation. The first principle deals with the splitting of the image space into subregions of various shapes [17], [18]. From each subregion, statistics of visual words are collected to form the final histogram of visual words. Since these subregions are either rectangular [17], [20] or log-polar [18], the methods based on such partitions are not invariant to translations and image rotations. The second principle of incorporating spatial information to the BoW image representation is based on modeling various relationships of the visual words such as their co-occurrence [19] or their geometric relationships [21]. However, modeling the co-occurrences of visual words in the case of large vocabularies proves to be computationally expensive [19]. The methods based on geometric relationships of visual words such as the one proposed by Khan et al. [21] are also unable to achieve invariance to image rotations.

In this article, the recognition of the reverse motifs of ancient coins is carried out by using the BoW image representation. Due to the specific geometric structures of the reverse motifs, spatial information incorporated to the BoW image representation results in improved performance. However, such spatial information should be added by considering certain issues that are specific to ancient coins. Due to flat and circular nature of the coins, they can exhibit rotations in the image plane. In addition to rotations, the images can come from different data sets and thus the coin region can be in different scales and at different image positions. Consequently, the method should be invariant to changes of the coin position, rotation, and scale.

Our proposed method for adding spatial information to BoW is a combination of both the main principles, i.e., splitting the image space into subregions and modeling the relationships of visual words. To this end, we propose a three-step strategy to achieve an image representation for ancient coin classification.
that is invariant to translation, scale changes, and image rotations. We first use the automatic coin image segmentation [22] to segment the coin from the background, which helps to achieve invariance to scale changes and translation. Second, we apply the circular tiling scheme [20] over the segmented coin image to increase the discriminative power of the model while maintaining rotation invariance. Finally, the rotation-invariant geometric relationships of visual words [23], [24] in each subregion of the circular tiling scheme are modeled to obtain the final image representation. In the following, we will give a brief description of each step of the proposed strategy.

AUTOMATIC COIN IMAGE SEGMENTATION

Coin image segmentation is used as a preprocessing step to achieve invariance to translation and scale changes. The method proposed in [22] is used as it is specifically proposed for the automatic segmentation of ancient coins. This method is based on the following two assumptions:

- The area of the image depicting the coin contains more information contents than the rest of the image.
- The coin is the most circular object present in the image. Consequently, the automatic coin image segmentation method is a two step process. In the first step, two filters are applied to the image to measure the local information content. The first filter measures the local entropy while the second one measures the range of gray values. The outputs of both filters are summed up to obtain the intensity image which is then normalized to the range zero and one. To achieve the final segmentation, seven empirically defined threshold values are applied to the normalized intensity image and a confidence score is calculated for each achieved segmentation. This confidence score is based on the form factor [25] calculated from
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[Fig3] Images of ancient coins at various scales and positions along with their segmentation masks.

![Fig4](images/bow_representation.png)

[Fig4] The BoW image representation with and without circular tiling.
the area and perimeter of the binary mask. The form factor is sensitive to both the elongation and jaggedness of the border. It is equal to one for a circle and less than one for any other shape. Since the shape of the coins is supposed be circular or nearly circular, the form factor is a reasonable choice for the confidence measurement. Finally, a segmentation is only accepted if the area of the segmented region is less than 90% and more than 5% of the image area. Figure 3 depicts two ancient coins and their respective segmentation masks demonstrating the effectiveness of the segmentation method for the images of ancient coins at arbitrary scales and positions. With the known location of the coin, the image is cropped and normalized to a specified standard size of $480 \times 480$ to achieve the required invariance to object location and scale.

**CIRCULAR TILING**

Once the coin image is normalized with respect to location and scale, circular tiling is applied. In the circular tiling scheme, concentric circular subregions are imposed over the BoW image representation as shown in Figure 4. For a vocabulary size of $M$, a histogram of $M$ visual words is generated for each circular tiling. These histograms are then concatenated in a single feature vector of length $M \cdot r$.

**GEOMETRIC RELATIONSHIP OF IDENTICAL VISUAL WORDS**

The angles of a triangle are invariant to scale changes and rotations. Tao and Grosky [26] use the triangular relationships of identical color patches of an image to construct the so-called anglograms for spatial color indexing. The anglograms-based image representation is used for scale- and rotation-invariant image retrieval. To achieve the triangulation among identical image patches, they use the Delaunay triangulation, which is an efficient and well-known triangulation method from computational geometry [27]. In the BoW model, identical visual words represent similar image patches. To add spatial information to the BoW model, Khan et al. [21] proposed the use of identical visual words. They use the angles made by pairwise identical visual words (PIWs) for the construction of the pairwise identical visual words angles histogram (PIWAH) to represent the image. PIWAH is not invariant to image rotations because it is made from angles that are calculated with respect to the $x$-axis. Inspired by the idea of Tao and Grosky [26], we consider three identical words to calculate the angles and call them triplets of identical visual words (TIWs), as shown in Figure 5. This will achieve a rotation-invariant triangular relationship among the words of a given triplet. Based on the angles calculated among members of each TIW, we produce the angles histogram in a similar manner as proposed by Khan et al. [21] and call them triplets of identical visual words angles histogram (TIWAH).

In the BoW model, a visual vocabulary $voc = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_M\}$ consists of $M$ visual words. A given image is first represented as a set of descriptors

$$I = \{d_1, d_2, d_3, \ldots, d_N\},$$  \ (1)
where $N$ is the total number of descriptors. A given descriptor $d_i$ is then mapped to a visual word $v_i$ using a similarity measure like the Euclidean distance as follows:

$$v(d_i) = \underset{v \in V}{\text{argmin}} \text{Dist}(v, d_i),$$

where $d_i$ is the $i$th descriptor in the image and $v(d_i)$ is the visual word assigned to this descriptor based on the distance $\text{Dist}(v, d_i)$. The value of the bin $b_i$ of this histogram gives the number of occurrences of a visual word $v_i$ in an image. Let $D_i$ be the set of all descriptors mapped to a visual word $v_i$, then the $i$th bin of the histogram of visual words $b_i$ is the cardinality of the set $D_i$.

$$b_i = \text{Card}(D_i) \text{ where } D_i = \{d_k, k \in [1, \ldots, N] | v(d_k) = v_i\}.$$  

(3)

We previously proposed [23] to use all the distinct pairs of three descriptors from set $D_i$ to calculate angles between the spatial positions of the descriptors as shown in Figure 6. We call that method combinatorial triangulation, as the triangulation is done for all the three distinct pairs of descriptors belonging to a given visual word. The spatial position of a descriptor is given by its position on the dense sampling grid. The set of all TIWs related to a visual word $v_i$ is defined as

$$\text{TIW}_i = \{(P_o, P_{b}, P_c) | (d_o, d_b, d_c) \in D_i, d_o \neq d_b \neq d_c\},$$

(4)

where $P_o, P_b,$ and $P_c$ are the spatial positions of the descriptors $d_o, d_b,$ and $d_c,$ respectively. The value of the $i$th bin of the histogram shows the frequency of the visual word $v_i$. Therefore, in case of combinatorial triangulation, the cardinality of TIW, is $^3C_3$, which is the number of all possible pairs of three distinct elements among the elements of $D_i$. The positions of the elements of each pair make a triangle. Calculating angles for such a huge number of triangles is time-consuming. For instance, if the cardinality $b_i$ of the set $D_i$ is 80 then the number of unique triple combinations is 82,160. Consequently, for the computation of the angles among TIWs of a given visual word, we propose to use the Delaunay triangulation where the number of triangles is much smaller. In Delaunay triangulation, the three points should not be collinear and the circumscribed circle defined by the three points should not contain any other point. The principles of the Delaunay triangulation significantly reduce the number of triangles for angle computation among TIWs. Figure 6 shows both the Delaunay and the combinatorial triangulations. It can be observed that for eight descriptors belonging to a visual word, combinatorial triangulation results in 56 triangles while the Delaunay triangulation results in nine triangles. The angles of all the triangles are calculated using the law of cosines. The angles histogram is built from these angles for which the bins are empirically chosen between 0 and 180°. The angles histogram for a specific word $v_i$ is named as TIWAH. The $i$th bin of the histogram of visual words associated with visual word $v_i$ is replaced with TIWAH, in such a way that the spatial information is added without altering the frequency information of $v_i$. Finally, TIWAH of all the visual words are combined to represent a given image

$$\text{TIWAH} = (\psi_1 \text{TIWAH}_1, \psi_2 \text{TIWAH}_2, \ldots, \psi_M \text{TIWAH}_M)$$

where $\psi_i = \frac{b_i}{\|\text{TIWAH}\|}$  

(5)

where $\psi_i$ is the normalization coefficient. For a visual vocabulary of size $M$, if the number of bins in angles histogram is $\theta$, then the size of the TIWAH is $M\theta$.

In each partition of tiling of the circular tiling scheme, the geometric relationships of identical visual words are modeled using TIWAH as shown in Figure 7. The TIWs are now limited to the circular tilings only. Doing so not only extracts more information from the circular tilings but also reduces the number of unique combinations of TIWs. Finally, the histogram of visual words and TIWAH of all the circular tilings are concatenated as described in (6). We call the final histogram TIWAHCR, which contains the information of TIWs and visual words other than TIWs for a given circular tiling.

$$\text{TIWAHCR} = [\text{TIWAH}_1^1, \text{TIWAH}_2^1, \ldots, \text{TIWAH'}^1, \text{CR}_1, \text{CR}_2, \ldots, \text{CR'}],$$

(6)
where for \( r \) number of circular tilings, \( \text{TIWAH}^j \) represents the angles histogram and \( \text{CR}^j \) represents the histogram of visual words for the \( j \)th circular tiling. Therefore for a vocabulary size of \( M \), number of circular tilings \( r \), and number of bins in angles histogram \( \theta \), the length of \( \text{TIWAHCR} \) is \((r \cdot M \cdot \theta) + (r \cdot M)\).

**DATA SET**

Our data set belongs to the largest and the most diverse among the works that deal with image-based analysis of ancient coins [3], [4], [6]–[8], [12], [22]–[24]. Our data set consists of 2,224 images that belong to 29 different reverse motifs. Only the recent work by Kim and Pavlovic [5] uses more images but with only 15 various obverse motifs. Our images have been collected from the following three sources:

1) **The Vienna Museum of Fine Arts** (Kunsthistorishes Museum Wien): The museum has 6,000 Roman Republican coins from which the images of 4,200 coins were collected for the Image-Based Classification of Ancient Coins (ILAC) project.
In our data set, 1,014 coin images are from this museum. The British Museum in London is home to one of the largest collections of ancient coins in the world. 857 of the images in our data set are from the British Museum.

acsearch is an online auction Web site for ancient coins. It is also one of the largest online sources for images of the ancient coins. Our data set contains 353 coin images from this Web site.

Sample coin images from all three sources are shown in Figure 8 where the differences among the images can be observed. The coin images acquired from the Vienna Museum of Fine Arts differ from those of the British Museum and acsearch due to the background and illumination conditions. The images from the British museum vary from one another due to strong illumination changes. Similarly, the dirt on the coins in the images obtained from acsearch also cause variations among coin images of the same class. Finally, a significant variation can be observed among the coin images from the Vienna Museum due to rotations.

EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

The data set is divided into two disjoint training and test sets. The size of the training set is 1,426 while the test set consists of the remaining 798 images. The histogram representations (TIWAHCR) of these images are obtained using the method mentioned in the section “Rotation-Invariant Spatial Extensions to the BoW Image Representation for Ancient Coin Classification.” These histograms are then used as feature vectors for classification with a support vector machine. Experiments are performed for the following parameters.

COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY OF THE TRIANGULATION METHODS

Since our proposed method relies upon the triangulation of identical visual words, in this section we compare the efficiency of both the combinatorial and Delaunay triangulations in terms of computation time. We collect 29 images from the whole data set by randomly selecting one image from each class. Images are of standard size, which is 480 x 480. The TIWAH representation of these images is constructed using both the triangulation methods implemented in MATLAB. We also use a faster “C” implementation of the combinatorial triangulation and denote it by combinatorialF triangle. The experiments are repeated ten times on a single core and the mean calculation time taken by each method is reported in Table 1. It can be concluded from these results that the Delaunay triangulation is even faster than the combinatorialF triangulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Average Time in Seconds taken by each Triangulation Scheme for 29 Images.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combinatorial Triangulation</td>
<td>2.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CombinatorialF Triangulation</td>
<td>41.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaunay Triangulation</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The bold number signifies the least time taken by the Delaunay triangulation.

[FIG9] (a) The performances of various triangulation methods with respect to the size of vocabulary. (b) The performances of TIWAHCR and circular tiling with respect to the number of tilings. (c) The performances of various methods on rotated images.

SIZE OF VOCABULARY

The size of vocabulary is an important parameter in the BoW model [29]. We optimize for the size of vocabulary on our current data set by empirically selecting the values from the set {10, 50, 100, 150, 200, 400, 800}. For each value of the vocabulary size, classification runs are performed ten times and the
mean performances of the TIWAH constructed with both the types of triangulation methods are shown in Figure 9(a). TIWAH constructed with Delaunay triangulation is not only more efficient but also performs better than the one constructed with combinatorial triangulation. It can also observed that no significant performance improvement is gained from the vocabulary sizes larger than 150.

**NUMBER OF TILINGS**

We also optimize for the number of tilings in both the simple circular tiling and TIWAHCR. The values for the number of tilings are empirically selected from [2, 3, 4, 5]. Experiments are performed ten times and the mean performances achieved by both the settings on all the empirically selected values are shown in Figure 9(b). The performance of TIWAHCR is superior to that of the simple circular tiling because it contains additional spatial information of the visual words in each tiling. On four tilings, TIWAHCR achieves the maximum performance. However with the increase in the number of tilings, its performance tends to converge towards that of the simple circular tiling. This is due to the fact that the width of the tilings decrease with the increase in their number thus decreasing the occurrences of identical words in any given tiling.

**EVALUATION OF ROTATION-INVARiance**

We generate synthetically rotated coin images to evaluate the rotation-invariance of the proposed method. The rotated coin images are produced by making one of them as reference and then rotating this reference image in 90° steps. The synthetically rotated images allow us to test stronger rotation differences as those already present in our data set (generally lower than 90°; see Figure 8). Experiments are repeated 20 times and the mean performances of the BoW, simple circular tiling and TIWAHCR are shown in Figure 9(c). The number of tilings in the circular tiling and TIWAHCR is three. The size of the vocabulary is 150 and at each iteration a new vocabulary is constructed. Local rotation-invariance is achieved by using rotation-invariant local features such as SIFT. The experimental results reflect the theoretical foundations of our rotation-invariant image representation and show that rotations have no significant influence on the classification performance. Our proposed method clearly outperforms the BoW model on rotated images while marginally performs better than the circular tiling.

**THE ART EXPERT’S OPINION ON THE ACHIEVED RESULTS**

Given the fundamental task of the already closed project [28], a rate of 80% accurate results proves that it is possible to gain a real benefit from the developed algorithms. Of course, to push this rate to 98 or 99%, a multiple amount of reference images and probably more “fine-tuning” (e.g., their proper selection based on the degree of preservation) would be required.

So ultimately, this approach is of the highest interest, both in respect of future automated coin classification and by considering all other uses of coin matching, be that monitoring of (illegal) trade, retrieving scientific data from otherwise unspecified archives of images, or counting known specimens per type. The same procedure can potentially be extended to count coin-dies, which would lead to answering the question of how many coins were produced, which is an often-discussed issue of historic economies.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We presented a system for image-based classification of ancient coins using their reverse motifs. Due to the lack of spatial information in the BoW model and the requirements of the image-based classification of ancient coins, we proposed a method for adding spatial information to the BoW model, which is invariant to scale changes, image rotations, and translations. This information is added using a three-step strategy that involves the automatic coin segmentation, application of a circular tiling scheme over the segmented image, and modeling the triangular geometric relationship of identical visual words in each tiling. It is shown that such a representation not only outperforms the BoW model but also was invariant to image rotations, scale changes, and translations. However, it was observed that the proposed method was more discriminating on smaller vocabulary sizes, e.g., by modeling spatial relationships of nonidentical words. In the future, we plan to address this issue so that it can also work with larger vocabularies. Furthermore, we also plan to apply our proposed method on other art-related problems such as sculpture recognition [30].
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REFERENCES
This article presents an integrated framework for multimedia access and analysis of ancient Maya epigraphic resources, which is developed as an interdisciplinary effort involving epigraphers (someone who deciphers ancient inscriptions) and computer scientists. Our work includes several contributions: a definition of consistent conventions to generate high-quality representations of Maya hieroglyphs from the three most valuable ancient codices, which currently reside in European museums and institutions; a digital repository system for glyph annotation and management; as well as automatic glyph retrieval and classification methods. We study the combination of statistical Maya language models and shape representation within a hieroglyph retrieval system, the impact of applying language models extracted from different hieroglyphic resources on various data types, and the effect of shape representation choices for glyph classification. A novel Maya hieroglyph data set is given, which can be used for shape analysis benchmarks, and also to study the ancient Maya writing system.
INTRODUCTION

The Maya culture is one of the major pre-Columbian civilizations that developed in ancient Mesoamerica. It began to flourish during the Preclassic period (2000 B.C. to A.D. 250), reached its peak during the Classic period (A.D. 250–900), and continued throughout the Post-Classic (A.D. 1000–1519) period. Ancient Maya languages were recorded by means of a highly sophisticated system of hieroglyphic writing, comprising several thousand hieroglyphic signs, which has left us with an exceptionally rich artistic legacy.

The vast majority of ancient Maya texts were produced during the Classic Period, throughout which hieroglyphic texts were carved or painted on various media types, including stone monuments, architectural elements such as columns, lintels, capstones, and mural paintings, as well as personal items such as precious stones, ceramic vessels, bones, etc., to record diverse dedicatory, artistic value, which could potentially be used as shape benchmarks.

RELATED WORK

Computer vision algorithms have shown potential to provide new insights into the realm of digital humanities. Various systems have been proposed to aid the analysis of cultural, historical, and artistic materials, which can significantly facilitate the daily work of scholars in the field.

The automatic analysis of historical manuscripts is the domain most related to our work. A large body of literature in this field examines the digitization and automatic analysis of cultural heritage data, produced from medieval times to the early 20th century [6], [13]. The methodologies include applying machine vision algorithms for page layout analysis, text line extraction, character recognition, and information retrieval. However, the
application of computer vision technologies for ancient manuscript analysis, such as Maya writing, is still a novel field. Previous work by our team contributed one of the first studies of visual Maya hieroglyph analysis and addressed glyph retrieval as a shape-matching problem [22], [23].

Shape-based visual information retrieval has been used for searching natural image data sets [10], [16], trademark images [19], technique drawings [14], three-dimensional objects [11], hand drawn images, or clip art [26]. Traditional shape-based retrieval systems include curve fitting [9], point-to-point matching [7], and grid-based matching [10]. These methods either do not scale well over large data sets, or only offer limited flexibility over shape variations. Recently, local shape descriptors [5], [16], [22] have been proposed and used in a bag-of-visual-words (BoW) framework for shape-based retrieval. Such methods can scale sub-linearly with appropriate search structures.

Automatic Maya glyph retrieval has been addressed in [22], where the histogram-of-orientation shape context (HOOSC) descriptor was developed. HOOSC combines the underlying formulation of the shape context (SC) [7] with the benefits that the histogram of oriented gradients (HOG) [8] provides. It was also applied in [5] for Egyptian hieroglyph analysis.

Previous studies have framed the Maya hieroglyph retrieval problem without considering any specific structure of Maya writing as a language. In contrast, language modeling has been widely used in machine translation and speech recognition. Glyph context information has recently been applied in [5] for Egyptian hieroglyph analysis with limited performance improvement. To the best of our knowledge, our previous work [17] was the first to incorporate glyph context information in Maya hieroglyph retrieval with significant accuracy improvement. However, the language model applied in [17] was at an incipient stage. It contained incomplete binary co-occurrence information of glyph pairs, extracted from the classic Thompson Maya hieroglyph catalog [25] dating from the 1960s. In this work, we extract a refined glyph co-occurrence model and test it on data sets of two different resources.

DATA SOURCES AND DIGITAL REPOSITORY

Two main data sources are considered in our work: the ancient Maya hieroglyphic books (codices) and monumental inscriptions. In this section, we first introduce the two data sources and then explain the novel data processing approach that we proposed to produce high-quality representation and annotation of ancient codical data.

DATA SOURCES

Given the inherent difficulties in the direct study and examination of the original hieroglyphic codex materials, the codical data sources comprise the existing primary documentation of the three extant ancient codices known as the Dresden, Madrid, and Paris codex, respectively. This documentation consists of reproductions, facsimiles, photographs, digital scans, and online resources as described in [2] and [15]. The Dresden codex is held in the state library in Dresden, Germany [4]; the Madrid codex is stored at the Museo de América in Madrid, Spain; and the Paris codex resides at the Bibliothèque Nationale de France [3]. While the exact provenience and dating of the Maya codices remains uncertain, most contemporary scholars consider that they were made within the northern Yucatan Peninsula during the late Post-Classic period.

The monumental inscriptions data sources comprise a variety of carved stone monuments and architectural elements. Besides differences in media and format, it has distinctive historical characteristics as compared to the codical sources. Their dating falls several centuries earlier than the codices, and they stem from several parts of the Maya region, whereas the codices are restricted to the northern Yucatan Peninsula. Furthermore, monumental inscriptions number in the thousands as opposed to only three extant codices. Thus, the monumental sign repertoire is far better represented than the codical one.

DIGITAL MULTIMEDIA REPOSITORY

In this section, we introduce our data processing approach to generate high-quality digitization and annotation of the three Maya codices, which we refer to as the digital multimedia repository (DMR) of Maya hieroglyphic texts and icons. Ancient Maya scribes usually divided codex pages into smaller sections by red bands/lines; these sections are referred to by modern scholars as t’ol; each t’ol being further divided into frames relevant to the specific dates, texts, and imagery depicted. Frames contain glyph blocks (organized in a grid-like pattern), calendric glyphs, captions, and icons. Figure 1 shows an example t’ol (register) from the Dresden codex “segmented” into main elements. The DMR approaches the codices at different levels of detail:

- entire codex overview
- thematic sections
- almanacs
- t’ols
- frames
- individual elements (main text glyph blocks, calendric glyph blocks, captions, icons)
- individual signs or individual iconic elements.

With several software applications, we generate high-quality digitization from the raw image data. Specifically, we first conduct image enhancement, noise-reduction, and up-sizing of images to 400% of their original size; the enhanced text area is then cropped into glyph blocks [Figure 2(a)]; we generate clean-raster images from the cropped blocks by separating the cultural information (brushstrokes) from background noise and preservation accidents [Figure 2(b)]; we then generate high-quality...
vectorial images in various formats, and by reconstructing the broken lines and missing strokes through thorough comparative analysis, we also generate reconstructed forms [Figure 2(c)]. Epigraphers require 15–30 minutes to generate a clean raster for a block, depending on complexity and preservation factors of the original data, and one to two hours more to further produce the high-quality reconstructed vectorial glyphs.

For the annotation of these visualizations, we developed an online server-like capture tool (relying on FileMaker Pro), allowing all partners real-time annotation and feedback capabilities. Among the several interconnected tables and templates of this tool, it is worth mentioning the glyph-concordance tool that we developed and that radically reduces the amount of time required to annotate glyph-strings within the codices under several different taxonomical systems. It provides automatic field translation and autocompletion functionalities for any individual sign (grapheme) or sign-string, maintaining maximum consistency between the annotations in four scholarly glyph catalogs [12], [20], [25], [27] that we have incorporated and cross-correlated. By enabling advanced multiple queries involving cross-referencing among the aforementioned catalogs, the system allows us to overcome the inherent limitations of having a language model based solely on the Thompson catalog. It also increases compatibility with one of our partner’s Web site [2], which contains annotations in four scholarly glyph catalogs [12], [20], [25], [27].

Among the several interconnected tables and templates of this tool, it is worth mentioning the glyph-concordance tool that we developed and that radically reduces the amount of time required to annotate glyph-strings within the codices under several different taxonomical systems. It provides automatic field translation and autocompletion functionalities for any individual sign (grapheme) or sign-string, maintaining maximum consistency between the annotations in four scholarly glyph catalogs [12], [20], [25], [27].

The HOOSC descriptor, which performed well for Maya hieroglyph analysis [17], [22]. We now describe the preprocessing and feature extraction steps that we follow.

Maya glyphs are often composed of strokes with different degrees of thickness. Thus, contour extractors sometimes generate “double” contours from the internal and external stroke lines, which can result in noisy descriptors. Therefore, we apply a thinning algorithm [23] to preprocess the binary shape [Figure 3(b)]. To reduce computation cost, we compute the local descriptor only at a set of points (called pivots) obtained through uniform sampling of the points along the thinned contour [see Figure 3(c)].

HOOSC was proposed in [22] as a robust shape representation for Maya hieroglyphs. It combines the strength of HOG [8] with circular split binning from the SC descriptor [7].

For each pivot point, the HOOSC is computed on a local circular space centered at the pivot’s location, partitioned into eight orientations and two rings as illustrated in Figure 3(d). The radius of the outer ring is the average pairwise distance between each pair of points along the contour; the inner ring covers half of this distance. An eight-bin HOG is calculated within each spatial region. This results in a richer representation than [7] and [21], where a simple counting of points or a sum of the unit gradient vectors of all points falling within a region is computed. The HOOSC descriptor for a given pivot point is then the concatenation of histograms of the 16 regions, which forms a 128-dimensional feature vector. As suggested in [22], we apply a per-ring normalization.

**AUTOMATIC MAYA HIEROGLYPH RETRIEVAL**

In this section, we present an automatic Maya glyph retrieval system, combining shape and glyph context information. Experimental results show the performance of our method.

**SHAPE-BASED GLYPH RETRIEVAL**

We adapt the BoW model for glyph retrieval, which has been established as a framework for scalable image retrieval [24]. Specifically, we apply $k$-means clustering on the set of HOOSC descriptors extracted from all glyphs in the database. The resulting $k$ clusters are referred to as visual words and define the vocabulary of the system. A histogram representing the count of each visual word is then computed as a global descriptor for each glyph.

Given a query $G$ and a database glyph $D$, represented by histograms $H^G$ and $H^D$ generated from the BoW system, we compute the city-block distance to measure the dissimilarity between $G$ and $D$:

$$d(G, D) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} |H^G(i) - H^D(i)|,$$

where each histogram is normalized so that $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} H(i) = 1$.

**GLYPH CO-OCCURRENCE MODEL**

Using shape alone to distinguish different glyphs is often problematic for many reasons. First, different signs often share

---

**FIG3** (a) An original glyph; (b) thinning; (c) the pivot points (in red); and (d) HOOSC spatial quantization of a given pivot. (a) is used with permission from [25].

**FIG4** Thompson numbers, visual examples, and syllabic values of glyph pairs. Each pair contains two different signs of similar visual features. All images used with permission from [25].
similar visual features; see Figure 4. Furthermore, glyphs of the same sign category vary with time, location, and individual styles. For example, observe the last two blocks in Figure 5(a). Although visually different, the two blocks are actually composed of two same glyphs (T0668 and T0102; see Figure 4 for images of the two glyphs separately), pronounced “cha-ki” and representing the name of the rain god. Figure 6 shows six glyph pairs; each pair represents two glyphs of a same category but with different visual features. Finally, the surviving Maya scripts often lose their visual quality over time. We propose using glyph co-occurrence to complement visual information and help improve retrieval accuracy.

Maya glyph blocks were frequently composed of combinations of individual signs. Glyph co-occurrence within single blocks could therefore encode valuable information. While the reading order within a block usually follows the basic rule of left-to-right and top-to-bottom, several exceptions occur, particularly in the Madrid and Paris codices. Our methodology converts each glyph block into a linear string of individual signs, according to the reading order determined by our team’s epigraphers, as shown in Figure 5. We consider the first-order co-occurrence of neighboring glyphs as the glyph context information to build a statistical Maya language model.

Two glyph co-occurrence models (i.e., the Thompson co-occurrence model and the Vail co-occurrence model) are extracted from different sources. Their general form is

$$C(S_i, S_j) = \begin{cases} f_n & \text{if sign } S_i \text{ appears before sign } S_j, \\ \alpha & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $f_n$ represents the normalized frequency that sign $S_i$ appears before sign $S_j$, and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ is a smoothing factor that accounts for missing co-occurring glyph pairs in the two models, which we explore in the experiments. Note that $C(S_i, S_j) \neq C(S_j, S_i)$.

From a computational point of view, the difference between the Thompson and the Vail models are just variations of the

![FIG5](a) Six glyph block examples from the codex data set; (b) four glyph strings segmented from the raster (black) and vectorial (blue) representation of the first two blocks shown individually in (a); (c) six glyph blocks from the monument data set; and (d) three glyph strings cropped from the first three blocks shown separately in (a).

![FIG6](a) Six pairs of glyph signs: (a) T0001, (b) T0158, (c) T0544, (d) T0668, (e) T0671, and (f) T0145. The left one of each pair is from the Thompson catalog, the right one is an example from the codex data set.
co-occurrence table. However, they are really different from an archeological point of view, as one features the Classic period monumental glyphs while the other features the Post-Classic codices. In the section “Experimental Results,” we test both of them on two different query sets to investigate the impact that this difference of writing conventions between the codex and the monument data has on the retrieval results.

THOMPSON CO-OCCURRENCE MODEL
The Thompson co-occurrence model is extracted from the classic Thompson hieroglyphic catalog [25], which covers 892 signs extracted from codices and monuments. Despite its outmoded taxonomy, it remains one of the most comprehensive and widely used lists of Maya glyphs. Thompson categorizes signs into affixes, main signs, and portraits (this categorization is no longer used in recent research on Maya writing). Affixes often co-occur with main signs, portraits, or affixes to form blocks. In his book, Thompson provides two glyph co-occurrence tables for affix signs, distinguishing whether they are used as prefix or postfix. However, no frequency information is given in these tables (we set \( f_n = 1 \) for valid co-occurrence), and co-occurrence between main signs and portraits is not listed. There are 4,574 glyph pairs included in this model, which correspond to a sparsity of 99.4%.

VAIL CO-OCCURRENCE MODEL
This co-occurrence model is extracted from the online Maya hieroglyphic catalog [2] containing a state-of-the art description and interpretation of the three surviving Maya codices. Using the hieroglyphic database [2] containing a state-of-the-art description of 336 different glyph signs. In total, the database contains 336 different glyph signs. The resulting model contains a complete set of co-occurring pairs that are known today from codices and monuments. Despite this model’s outmoded taxonomy, it remains one of the most comprehensive and widely used lists of Maya glyphs. Thompson categorizes signs into affixes, main signs, and portraits (this categorization is no longer used in recent research on Maya writing). Affixes often co-occur with main signs, portraits, or affixes to form blocks. In his book, Thompson provides two glyph co-occurrence tables for affix signs, distinguishing whether they are used as prefix or postfix. However, no frequency information is given in these tables (we set \( f_n = 1 \) for valid co-occurrence), and co-occurrence between main signs and portraits is not listed. There are 4,574 glyph pairs included in this model, which correspond to a sparsity of 99.4%.

SEQUENCE MODEL
Denote by \( G_{1:n} = [G_1, \ldots, G_n] \) the observed glyph string, and by \( S_{1:n} \) the sequence of recognized states, where \( S_i \) indicates the sign category annotated for glyph \( G_i \). Considering the glyph string \( G_{1:n} \) as a first-order Markov chain, the probability of labeling it to a sequence of states \( S_{1:n} \) is

\[
P(S_{1:n} | G_{1:n}) \propto P(G_1 | S_1) \prod_{2 \leq i \leq n} (P(G_i | S_i)P(S_i | S_{i-1})),
\]

where \( P(S_i | S_{i-1}) \) denotes the transition probability. Here we directly use \( C(S_{i-1}, S_i) \) to approximate this probability. \( P(G_i | S_i) \) refers to the likelihood of glyph \( G_i \) being labeled as sign \( S_i \). To encode this term we use the visual similarity between \( G_i \) and the glyph example of \( S_i \) in the database, and define \( P(G_i | S_i) \propto e^{-d(G_i, S_i)} \), where \( d(G_i, S_i) \) is computed using (1), and \( \lambda \) is a scale factor empirically set to the average distance of the top 50 ranked results for all queries.

RETRIEVAL SYSTEM
When only shape information is considered, the score of a query glyph \( G_i \), being labeled by sign \( D \), is computed by their shape likelihood

\[
\text{Score}_D(S_i = D) \propto P(G_i | S_i = D).
\]

In our model, we propose ranking the glyphs according to

\[
\text{Score}_D^{\text{context}}(S_i = D) = \max_{S_{1:i-1}} P(S_{1:i} | G_{1:n}),
\]

which means the following: given \( S_i = D \), find the sequence of labels \( S_{1:i} \) that provides the maximum probability to label \( G_{1:i} \), under the model in (4) and use this probability as score to rank the database glyphs. This can be efficiently computed using the Viterbi algorithm. Thus, the score of the glyph \( G_i \) being recognized as \( S_i = D \) now takes into account all observed glyphs in the string, with the effect that a glyph \( D \) that normally co-occurs with glyphs that are visually likely at neighboring positions will receive a higher weight.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS
We present the data sets, experimental setting, and retrieval results.

GLYPH DATA SETS
Two data sets, the codex and monument data sets, were used as query sets to retrieve from a common database.

Codex Data Set
This data set was produced by epigraphers in our team (see the section “Data Sources and Digital Repository”) and is available for download from [1]. It contains glyph blocks from the three surviving Maya codices, along with their segmented individual glyphs and corresponding annotations; see Figure 5(a) and (b), for example. To study the impact of visual data quality on the retrieval
performance, we considered two subdata sets. The first one is
called a codex small data set, composed of 151 glyphs segmented
from 60 blocks, for which we have both the vectorial and raster
representations. Remember that producing high-quality vectorial
representations (including reconstruction of missing parts) is
time-consuming compared to raster images, which reflect the
actual visual content but are affected by degradations. The second
subset is intended to assess the validity of the reported perfor-
mance by using a larger corpus (termed codex large) comprising
only the raster representation of 587 glyphs from 224 blocks.

Monument Data Set
The monument data set contains 127 glyphs of 40 blocks extracted
from stone monument data and is derived from a quite different
data source than the codex data in terms of Maya historical period,
media type, and glyph generation process. Samples are shown in
Figure 5(c) and (d). The data consisted of line drawings of glyphs
manually traced on top of multiple layers of enhanced photographs
taken at sites at night under raking-light illumination to bring out
different levels of detail. Given each block, we manually drew a
tight bounding box around individual glyphs to extract query
glyphs. The queries may be affected by adverse effects, like back-
ground noise, additional strokes from neighboring glyphs, or par-
tially missing strokes due to glyphs overlapping within blocks.

Retrieval Database
We scanned and segmented all the glyphs from the Thompson
catalog [25] to form the retrieval database. In total, it contains
1,487 glyph images belonging to 892 different categories. Thus,
a category is usually represented by a single glyph image, and
sometimes by multiple ones, each representing a different
visual instance of the glyph category. Figure 4 shows glyph
images of six different categories.

EXPERIMENTAL SETTING
For each glyph query, we extract the rank of the true glyph in
the retrieval results and use the average of these ranks over all
queries as performance measure (the lower the average ranking,
the higher the accuracy).

We studied the impact of several factors on the performance,
including the vocabulary size of the BoW representation, the
smoothing factor used to build the co-occurrence models
[see (2)], and the co-occurrence models.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Results are presented in Figures 7 and 8, in which “T,” “V,” and
“T&V” refer to the “Thompson,” “Vail,” and “Thompson and Vail”
co-occurrence models, respectively.

Shape-Based Glyph Retrieval
Looking first at the impact of data origin and quality on glyph
retrieval performance when only shape information is considered,
the following observations can be made. First, as expected, higher
quality vectorial representations result in higher retrieval accuracy
[103 for vectorial versus 142 for raster images; see the plain and
dotted horizontal lines in Figure 7(a)]. Second, by comparing the
shape-based retrieval results of the monument data [see the hori-
zontal line in Figure 8(a)] and the codex data (see the plain and
dotted horizontal lines in Figure 7), we can see that, despite the
presence of distracting elements (background noise, line strokes
from neighboring glyphs, etc.), the shape retrieval accuracy on the
monument data (86) is higher than on the codex data. This reflects
the higher visual similarity between the glyphs in the monument
data set and those from the retrieval database. As glyphs in the
retrieval database are extracted from the Thompson catalog, which
largely relies on monument data, as compared to signs from the
codex data, which often exhibit more variability as illustrated in
Figure 6. For the same reason, using finer HOOSC descriptor
quantization (i.e., using a larger BoV vocabulary) consistently
improved the results on the monument data [Figure 8(b)], whereas
it had no impact on the codex data (curves not shown).

Incorporating Context Information
As can be seen from Figures 7 and 8, the average retrieval rankings
obtained using different co-occurrence models and smoothing
factors are usually significantly lower than when using only shape. For instance, on the small codex data set, the Vail model (with $\alpha = 0$) can reduce by as much as 130 and 90 the average ranking for the raster and vectorial representation, respectively, whereas on the monument data (Figure 8(a)), the gain is smaller (around 20 for the Thompson model with $\alpha = 0.2$). Differences across models as well as the optimal smoothing factors mainly reflect the agreement between the source of the block queries and the data used to build the co-occurrence models. Thus, on one hand, the Vail model achieves the best accuracy on codex data sets (Figure 7), but underperforms on the monument data (Figure 8), even degrading the shape-only results for low smoothing factors. Since this model is purely built from the codices, this may imply that the Maya writing on codices and monuments follows different glyph co-occurrence conventions. On the other hand, the Thompson model, built from a mixed source of monument and codex data, offers a much smaller gain when applied to the codex data, but still performs well on monument data. Altogether, these two models are advantageously combined in the more versatile “T&V” model.

No smoothing factor ($\alpha = 0$) is needed when applying the Vail model on codex data, since it covers all known co-occurrence instances of the codices; whereas the Thompson model that relies only on incomplete data sources misses some co-occurrence pairs and thus requires a smoothing factor (typically $\alpha = 0.2$). In general, all the aforementioned remarks remain valid when considering the large codex data (Figure 7(b)).

As a final remark, one can notice in Figure 7(a) that the retrieval performance differences between the vectorial and raster representation becomes less important when using a co-occurrence model. In this context, the raster representation can be used as an compromise between data production efficiency and retrieval accuracy.

**SHAPE-BASED GLYPH CLASSIFICATION**

There are use cases in which inferring the correct category of a glyph is important. In this section, we study the single-glyph classification task, first presenting the classification methods, and then discussing the obtained results.

**GLYPH CLASSIFICATION METHODS**

The objective is to build a classifier that categorizes a test shape into one of the $N_G$ categories. As a baseline, we use the method of [23], where glyphs are represented using the global BoW representation. A test glyph gets the class label of its nearest neighbor [using the BoW city-block distance in (1)] in the training set. As an alternative, we propose a method that categorizes an unknown glyph by first identifying the category of its individual local pivot points. Specifically, for a given glyph, we first compute the HOOSC descriptor at each pivot point and classify it using a $K$-nearest neighbor method. In a second step we classify the glyph as the category that receives the largest number of votes from the individual pivots.

**EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

**DATA SET**

We used a subset of glyphs from the monumental inscriptions that were used in [23]. We only consider glyph categories that contain more than 30 glyphs. The resulting data set is
composed of ten glyph categories with 25 training images per class and 125 test images in total. The groundtruth of the glyph category is provided by our team scholars.

EXPERIMENTAL SETTING
We used 300 equidistant pivots where we compute the HOOSC descriptor. Note that here, we extracted the orientation from the raw images preprocessed by a continuous Gaussian orientation filter, as this produced more stable results than applying the thinning preprocessing. We considered three settings to compute the HOOSC descriptor:
- two rings and eight radial bins; see Figure 3(d);
- one ring and eight radial bins; see Figure 9
- case (2) with added position information, i.e., the HOOSC descriptor is augmented with the relative position (defined within \([0,1] \times [0,1]\)) of the pivot point within the glyph bounding box.

Furthermore, for each of the three settings, we considered five spatial contexts (defined by the radius of the outer ring in HOOSC computation): 1/16, 1/8, 1/4, 1/2, and 1, all defined as a proportion to the mean of the pairwise distance between pivot points (see the gray circles in Figure 9), as we are interested in studying the impact of the spatial scope used to compute the HOOSC descriptor on the classification performance. Indeed, while large scopes used in previous works (and our retrieval experiments in the “Retrieval System” section) led to good results when dealing with clean glyph inputs, there are situations where smaller scopes would be useful, e.g., when dealing with damaged glyph shapes (the damage will affect most of the descriptors when using a large scope), or if we wanted to identify which local part of the glyph is a “diagnostic” feature, i.e., a discriminant visual element that scholars rely on to distinguish a glyph.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Figure 10 shows the classification results obtained using the BoW method and the proposed method (“glyph-based” results, denoted GB) for different spatial context sizes and partition settings. To better understand the proposed method, we also show the “pivot-based” (denoted PB) classification accuracy, i.e., the percentage of pivot points whose descriptor is correctly classified as the category of its associated glyph.

First, from the results of the “pivot-based” method (blue bars), we can notice that the performance degrades almost linearly as the spatial context decreases, but remains well above chance level (10%) even for a small spatial extent (1/16). Interestingly, as this context gets smaller, the incorporation of the spatial position (PB one-ring with position) allows to boost performance by 10% as compared to the case without position (PB one-ring). Furthermore, while two rings are useful as the spatial context is large, it is not superior than one ring in terms of PB performance and actually degrades the GB performance when smaller spatial context is considered (e.g., 1/4 to 1/16).

Second, the performance with respect to spatial context at the glyph level (red bars) does not decrease as dramatically as at the pivot level, indicating that misclassified points, even if they dominate, usually get distributed over all other classes rather than a single one. Hence, the pivots predicted with true labels may win in the voting phase. For GB one-ring with position, the classification remains as high as 94% with a spatial context of 1/8. Note that this is not the case in the BoW approach (green bars), whose performance degrades as the spatial context decreases, performing worse than the proposed approach with spatial radius larger than 1/4, and cannot keep up with the one-ring with position results at smaller spatial scopes.

Figure 9 illustrates the pivot classification results for two glyphs over three spatial context levels. We can see that the number of pivots classified correctly increases with the spatial context. It also shows that while some local structures are recognized at most scales (diagonal lines for the top glyph, hatches for the bottom one), there are structures that still remain confusing among glyph classes, even at the larger contexts (e.g., the pivots near the “ears” in the bottom glyph).

We can conclude that a two-step approach where class-information is used to categorize the descriptor (rather than simple quantization in BoW) brings more robustness as the spatial context decreases (and may bring even more robustness when dealing with partially damaged glyphs), and that incorporating the relative position of pivots is important, as the same local shape structure might be observed at different positions for different glyph categories.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK
This article presented an approach to capture and produce high-quality multimedia data from valuable historical codex data sources, upon which we propose algorithms for automatic Maya hieroglyph analysis.

We defined consistent conventions to generate high-quality representations of the ancient Maya hieroglyphs, as well as a data
model that not only provides a convenient platform for epigraphers to annotate and analyze data, but also serves as a bridge between epigraphers and computer scientists for data parsing and analysis. A novel codex data set is contributed under the proposed system.

We then addressed two automatic glyph analysis tasks with value to support epigraphers’ daily work, namely glyph retrieval and glyph classification. Regarding retrieval, two Maya language models were extracted from different data sources and incorporated into a shape-based automatic glyph retrieval framework. Our study showed that glyph co-occurrence encode valuable information of the Maya writing system, which can be used to complement the visual automatic analysis. The retrieval results also showed that the Maya writing on codices and monuments follows different glyph co-occurrence conventions. Finally, we studied the effect of shape representation choices in the classification task.

Our future work includes automatic Maya text area detection, as well as detection and segmentation of blocks and glyphs, which will facilitate the daily work of scholars when more data becomes available. In another direction, we are working on designing a visualization interface to allow manipulation of Maya data in a systematic and flexible way. Data will be displayed as clusters in various feature spaces (from low-level visual features to high-level semantic spaces); analyzed with different levels of context information (within block co-occurrence, surrounding text, icons); and visualized in various resolutions and positions by zooming and panning. We expect the traditional Maya hieroglyph decipherment to benefit from such functionalities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
We thank the Swiss National Science Foundation and the German Research Foundation for their support, through the Multimedia Analysis of Ancient Yucatan Annotations project.

AUTHORS
Rui Hu (rhu@idiap.ch) is a postdoctoral researcher at the Idiap Research Institute in Switzerland.

Gulcan Can (gc@idiap.ch) is a Ph.D. candidate at the Idiap Research Institute and EPFL in Switzerland.

Carlos Pallán Gayol (scapall@uni-bonn.de) is a research associate in the Department of Anthropology of the Americas at the University of Bonn, Germany.

Guido Krempel (guidokrempel@gmail.com) is a research associate in the Department of Anthropology of the Americas at the University of Bonn, Germany.

Jakub Spotak (spotak.jakub@gmail.com) is a Ph.D. candidate in the Faculty of Arts at Comenius University in Bratislava, Slovakia.

Gabrielle Vall (gvail@ncf.edu) is a research scholar in the Division of Social Sciences, New College of Florida in Sarasota.

Stephane Marchand-Maillet (stephane.marchand-maillet@unicge.ch) is an associate professor at the University of Geneva, Switzerland.

Jean-Marc Odobez (odobez@idiap.ch) is the head of the Perception and Activity Understanding Laboratory at the Idiap Research Institute, Switzerland, and Maitre d’Enseignement et de Recherche at EPFL, Switzerland.

Daniel Gatica-Perez (gatica@idiap.ch) is the head of the Social Computing Group at the Idiap Research Institute, Switzerland, and Professeur Titulaire at EPFL, Switzerland.

REFERENCES
This article explores the feasibility of face-recognition technologies for analyzing works of portraiture and, in the process, provides a quantitative source of evidence to art historians in answering many of their ambiguities concerning identity of the subject in some portraits and in understanding artists' styles. Works of portrait art bear the mark of visual interpretation of the artist. Moreover, the number of samples available to model these effects is often limited. Based on an understanding of artistic conventions, we show how to learn and validate features that are robust in distinguishing subjects in portraits (sitters) and that are also capable of characterizing an individual artist's style. This can be used to learn a feature space called portrait feature space (PFS) that is representative of quantitative measures of similarities between portrait pairs known to represent same/different sitters. Through statistical hypothesis tests, we analyze uncertain portraits against known identities and explain the significance of the results from an art historian's perspective. Results are shown
INTRODUCTION

Renaissance portraits were depictions of various important people of those times. These encompass a wide range of art works such as sculptures, death masks, mosaics, etc. Apart from being used for a variety of dynastic and commemorative purposes, they were used to depict individuals often to convey an aura of power, beauty, or other abstract qualities [1]. A large number of these portraits, however, have lost the identities of their subjects through the fortunes of time.

The analysis of faces in portraits can offer significant insights into the personality, social standing, etc. of the subject they represent. However, this is not a simple task, since a portrait can be “subject to social and artistic conventions that construct the sitter as a type of their time” [1], thus resulting in large uncertainty regarding the identity of many of these portraits. Traditionally, identification of many of these portraits has been limited to personal opinion, which is often quite variable. The project Faces, Art, and Computerized Evaluation Systems (FACES) was conceptualized to evaluate the application of face recognition technology to portrait art and, in turn, aid art historians by providing a quantitative source of evidence to help answer questions regarding subject identity and artists’ styles. This article will describe the challenges inherent in face recognition in art images, and summarize the results obtained in this project over the last two years. Some preliminary results have been presented in [12].

There have been lingering ambiguities about the identity in some portraits—henceforth referred to as test images. The question has been whether they might represent a certain known identity, which we call reference images. As an example, the test image in Figure 1 is a portrait painted perhaps around 1590, and is believed by some to represent Galileo. Through computerized face recognition technologies, we try to provide an alternate and quantitative source of evidence to art historians in answering such questions.

We leverage upon a number of portrait pairs that are known to represent a certain person as shown in Figure 1(a). The task then is to train the computer in identifying discriminative features that can not only distinguish one sitter from another, but also learn the importance of the chosen features depending on the amount of emphasis given to that feature by an artist. Using the learned features, quantitative measures of similarity between portrait pairs known to represent the same person can be computed to yield what we call match scores. Analogously, similarity scores between portrait pairs not known to represent the same person yield non-match scores. The resulting match (blue curve) and nonmatch scores (red curve) together constitute what we refer to as the PFS. Subsequently, using hypothesis tests, the similarity score between test and reference image, shown by the brown ball amid...
the similarity score distributions in Figure 1(b), is analyzed with respect to the learned PFS to arrive at appropriate conclusions of a possible match or nonmatch. If both match or nonmatch happen to be likely, then no decision can be made.

We begin by describing the challenges involved in the face recognition of portraits. Apart from the typical challenges associated with face recognition systems such as variations in pose, expression, and illumination, face recognition in portraits comes with additional challenges. Some of these are described below.

- **Modeling artists’ styles:** Since portraits bear the mark of the visual interpretation of an artist, styles of individual artists characterizing their aesthetic sensibilities (often biased by their sociocultural backgrounds) have to be modeled. Thus, portraits of the same sitter can vary from artist to artist. This results in considerable variability in the renditions, which has to be accounted for by the face recognition algorithms.

- **Lack of sufficient training data:** Many existing feature selection methods rely on the availability of a significant amount of training data. This is rarely the case in our problem domain due to the following reasons: 1) lack of a significant body of images, the authenticity of which is well established; and 2) we need to logically choose a set of related images directed toward a particular demonstrative end and adhering to a particular period style.

- **Choice of features:** Given the aforementioned constraints, we need to choose features that best justify an artist’s rendition and possess high discriminative power in distinguishing the sitter from others. Although there has been some preliminary work on this [2], there is little to no elaborate work on understanding how to model style in face portraiture. This leads to interesting questions in machine learning on combinations of various algorithms that are pertinent here.

**RELATED WORK**

We review some image processing techniques employed for art analysis and also provide a survey of the state of the art in computerized face recognition.

**IMAGE ANALYSIS IN ARTWORKS**

The analysis of paintings using sophisticated computer vision tools has gained popularity in recent years [5]. Computer analysis has been used for identifying the artist [25] and for studying the effect of lighting in artworks [26], among others. A recent paper has explored application of computer-based facial-image analysis [6] using three-dimensional (3-D) shape information to identify one subject, Leonardo da Vinci, in four artworks. The present work involves multiple sitters (both genders) by different artists portrayed across different media such as paintings, death masks, etc. Some preliminary results have been presented in our earlier paper [12] on a small set of data. In this article, extensive results are shown on a much richer data set and using a more sophisticated feature-extraction algorithm. Also, for the present analysis, shape information was found to be less discriminative when compared to other features such as anthropometric distances (ADs) and local features (LFs). This can be partly attributed to the evidence that artists often focused on LFs and took some liberties with shape [13].

**COMPUTERIZED FACE RECOGNITION**

A survey of still- and video-based face recognition research is provided in [3]. A vast majority of face recognition applications address surveillance and entertainment. These approaches can be classified into three categories: holistic methods, feature-based structural matching methods, or a combination of both depending on the representation in feature space. Three-dimensional modeling approaches such as [24] have also been studied. Recent research efforts have focused on cross spectral face recognition for comparing images taken in heterogeneous environments [8]. Such methods are not applicable for our study. First, since the images in the present scenario are obtained from museums across the world, we have no control on the kind of sensors used to capture them. Second, the quality of the image is not an issue here; the challenge is choice of appropriate features.

Some works [9], [28] model style factors such as a facial pose, and expression and separate it from content, i.e., the identity of the person, and show promising results for face pose estimation, among others. In [11], the authors use attributes like “chubby,” “attractive,” etc. for face verification tasks. While models for separating style (e.g., an artist’s rendition) from content (sitter’s identity) can be useful for the present study, all of the existing methods require hundreds of images. Some works have looked at face recognition from sparse training data [10]. In [7], the authors leverage upon much larger mug shot gallery images or composite sketches for training. In [27], the authors evaluate the probability that two faces have the same underlying identity cause for recognition. However, these methods do not model style. In this article, we explore artist’s style from the available sparse data.

**DISCRIMINATIVE FEATURE SELECTION**

A portrait is a visualization of an artist’s aesthetic sensibilities blended with the sitter’s personality. We therefore begin by understanding the relevant features for analysis based on a study of artistic trends during the period under study.

**FACE AS SEEN BY ARTISTS**

It is evident from [13] that while drawing a human body, much emphasis was laid upon maintaining the proportions of various
parts. It is purported that the principles for the canons of human body may have been defined by Egyptian artists, who divided the entire body into different parts and provided baselines for their measurement. The importance of anthropometric ratios/distances was preserved even during the Renaissance era. According to da Vinci, in a well-proportioned face, the size of the mouth equals the distance between the parting of the lips and the edge of the chin, whereas the distance from chin to nostrils, from nostrils to eyebrows, and from eyebrows to hairline are all equal, and the height of the ear equals the length of the nose [14].

A historical appraisal of facial anthropometry from antiquity unto Renaissance has been provided in [15] to compare artists’ concept of human profile. A flattened nose, tilted forehead, and prominent upper lip were some of the features prevalent in Renaissance art works. In fact, prominent facial landmarks of a person were retained in works of the sitter by different artists as illustrated in [1].

**CHOICE OF FEATURES**

From the examples described above, it is clear that ancient Renaissance artists laid emphasis on two aspects in their renderings, which we use for our analysis.

**LOCAL FEATURES**

We use a set of 22 fiducial points to represent each face, these being (1, 2) forehead tips (left, right), (3) forehead center, (4) chin bottom, (5) nose top, (6) nose bottom, (7, 8) points on temple (left, right), (9, 10) chin and ear corners (left and right), (11, 12) points on chin (left and right), (13, 14) cheekbones (left and right), (15, 16) mouth corners (left and right), (17, 18) iris (left and right), (19, 20) left eye corners (right and left eye), and (21, 22) right eye corners (right and left eye). The precise location of these points is determined by registering a generic mesh on the face. Gabor jets corresponding to five frequencies and eight orientations are evaluated at each of these fiducial points. At a fiducial point \( n \) and for a particular scale and orientation \( j \), the corresponding jet coefficient \( J_{n,j} \) is given by

\[
J_{n,j} = a_{n,j} \exp(i\phi_{n,j}),
\]

where \( a_{n,j} \) is the magnitude and \( \phi_{n,j} \) is the phase.

**ANTHROPOMETRIC DISTANCES**

All images are normalized with respect to scale and orientation. A set of 11 salient distances is used to represent each face:

- distance between forehead tips
- distance between forehead center and chin bottom
- distance between nose top and bottom
- distance between points on the temples
- distance between chin and ear corners
- distance between points on the chin
- distance between each iris
- distance between cheekbones
- distance between mouth corners
- the width of the nose
- distance between the center of the forehead and bottom of the nose.

**FEATURE EXTRACTION**

Different artists are likely to depict and emphasize the aforementioned features in different ways. We wish to learn those features that are characteristic of an artist’s style. We employ a method called the random subspace ensemble learning as it is capable of handling deficiencies of learning in small sample sizes [16]. Small sample sizes is very relevant to the present problem as we have very few works by an artist at our disposal (see the “Introduction” section). The random subspace method randomly samples a subset of the aforementioned features and performs training in this reduced feature space.

More specifically, we are given \( Z \) training portrait pairs and \( D \) features. Let \( L \) be the number of individual classifiers in the ensemble. We choose \( d_i \leq D \) (without replacement) to be the number of features used in the \( i \)th classifier. For each classifier, we determine the match and nonmatch scores (as appropriate) using the \( d_i \) features as follows. We compute

\[
s_{i,J}(J, J') = \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{n=1}^{d_i} s_{n}(J, J'),
\]

where \( s(J, J') \) is an average LP similarity measure between \( n \) corresponding Gabor jets computed across salient points in image pair \((J, J')\). To compute \( s_{a}(J, J') \), we use the normalized similarity measure mentioned in [4] given by

\[
s_{a}(J, J') = \frac{\sum a_{n,j}a'_{n,j}}{\sqrt{\sum a_{n,j}^2} \sqrt{\sum a'_{n,j}^2}}.
\]

Similarly, we compute AD similarity between image pairs \((J, J')\) using the equation

\[
s_{AD}(J, J') = e^{-\beta d},
\]

where \( y \) is the two-dimensional Euclidean distance between the AD vectors \( \hat{m}, \hat{m}' \) that characterize images \( J, J' \) respectively (we use only those distances as selected by the random subspace classifier) and \( \beta \) is a coefficient that is chosen suitably to obtain a discriminative dynamic range of values. In our experiments, we set \( \beta \) to be five.

To identify features that give the highest separation between match and nonmatch scores, we then compute the Fisher linear discriminant function for each classifier. We choose the union of features from those classifiers that give the top \( k \) Fisher linear discriminant values as our style features.

**IMPORTANCE OF THE CHosen FEATURES**

Not all features identified by the previously mentioned method are equally important in representing an artist’s style. To understand the importance of the chosen features, we consider the nonparametric statistical permutation test [17]. The permutation test helps in assessing what features are the same across all the instances belonging to an artist. Thus, features that are more invariant across the portraits by an artist can be perceived
to be more characteristic of that artist and hence be assigned greater importance. Permutation tests have been used to determine invariant features in artworks [2].

**PERMUTATION TEST**

The null hypothesis \( (H_0) \) is chosen to indicate that two portrait groups \( G1, G2 \) have the same average value in a particular feature; the alternate hypothesis \( (H_1) \) indicates that the average value of that feature is different in the two groups. Thus,

\[
H_0: \mu_{G1} = \mu_{G2}; \quad H_1: \mu_{G1} \neq \mu_{G2},
\]

where \( \mu \) is the average value of a particular feature \( v \) under consideration in the two groups.

If the null hypothesis is true, then it should not matter when this feature \( v \) is randomly assigned among images in the group. For instance, let us assume that there is a certain way that the mouth corner is portrayed by Italian artist Bernini, whose works are included in our data set. On an average, if this appearance is the same across all images by Bernini, then the principle behind this test is that there will not be a significant difference if the mouth tips are randomly assigned across images in the group, i.e., assigning the feature of one sitter to the corresponding feature of another sitter.

Specifically, if there are \( N_i \) images by an artist \( Y_i \), then we can divide these \( N_i \) images into two subgroups consisting of \( N_{i1} \) and \( N_{i2} \) images depicting different sitters. Let the feature values for the first group be \( [v_{i1}, v_{i2}, ..., v_{Ni}] \) and in second group be \( [v_{Ni1}, v_{Ni2}, ..., v_{NNi}] \). The permutation test is done by randomly shuffling \( [v_{i1}, ..., v_{Ni}] \) and assigning the first \( N_{i1} \) values, \( [v_{i1}, v_{i2}, ..., v_{Ni}] \) to the first group and the rest \( N_{i2} \) values, \( [v_{Ni1}, ..., v_{NNi}] \) to the other group.

For the original two groups we compute,

\[
\delta_0 = \left| \frac{1}{N_{i1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{i1}} v_j - \frac{1}{N_{i2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{i2}} v_{S_{nj}} \right| \quad (6)
\]

where \( \delta_0 \) denotes the variation in the feature \( v \) by artist \( Y \) as exhibited by various instances \( I_1, ..., I_N \) in the two groups \( G1 \) and \( G2 \). Thus, \( \delta_0 = |\mu_{G1} - \mu_{G2}| \). For any two permuted groups we compute

\[
\delta_1 = \left| \frac{1}{N_{i1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{i1}} v_0 - \frac{1}{N_{i2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{i2}} v_{S_{nj}} \right| \quad (7)
\]

where \( \delta_1 \) denotes the variation in the feature \( v \) by artist \( Y \) after assigning \( v \) as depicted in \( I_i \) to an image not necessarily depicting the sitter in \( I_i \).

We repeat this random shuffling of features among the images under consideration multiple times. The proportion of times \( \delta_1 > \delta_0 \) is the \( p \) value. This value reflects the variation of the feature in the two groups. Smaller \( p \) values stronger evidence against

the null hypothesis, meaning that the feature differed considerably in the two groups and thus less characteristic of the artist’s style. We compute \( p \) values for each feature as described above.

The computed \( p \) values are used as scaling factors (weights) in estimating the similarity scores \( s_{ps} \) in (2) and (4). It is to be noted that this method can be employed when we have \( \geq 12 \) images by an artist [21]; in cases where enough images/artists are not available or when the artist is unknown, we use all 22 LF and 11 AD features with equal weight (of one assigned to all the features) in obtaining the LF/AD similarity scores.

**FEATURE COMBINATION**

The similarity scores obtained from LF and AD features may not be equally important in determining the similarity between portrait pairs. Furthermore, since the number of LF/AD features used are different, the scores need to be fused in a way such that the resulting distribution of match and nonmatch scores are as peaked and disjoint as possible. We employ the following algorithm toward this.

1) We consider a convex combination of the scores from the two measures LF and AD, i.e.,

\[
score = \lambda s_{LF} + (1 - \lambda) s_{AD}, \quad (8)
\]

with \( \lambda \) being varied from 0 to 1 in steps of 0.1.

2) For every \( \lambda \), we evaluate the mean and standard deviation of match and nonmatch scores using the random sampling consensus algorithm [18] to prune outliers.

3) At each \( \lambda \), we evaluate \( J = (S_{M}/S_{N}) \), where \( S_{M} \) is between class variance and \( S_{N} \) is within class variance. We choose that value of \( \lambda = \lambda_{opt} \) that gives the maximum value of \( J \). This is essentially computing the Fisher linear discriminant [20].

Using the procedure described above, we compute similarity scores between portrait pairs that are known to depict the same sitters and different sitters to get match and nonmatch scores respectively. The resulting set of match and nonmatch scores, computed across various artists and sitters, are modeled as two Gaussians distributions (one for match scores and another for nonmatch scores). The mean and standard deviations of these distributions are estimated from training data. We refer to these match/nonmatch score distributions as the PFS.

**VALIDATION OF THE LEARNED FEATURES**

We wish to ascertain if the learned features are good representations of the portraits considered. To verify this, we perform twofold cross validation of the similarity scores.

**VALIDATION OF ARTIST-SPECIFIC SIMILARITY SCORES**

If the chosen features are robust representations of an artist \( Y \), then the obtained match/nonmatch scores divided into two folds (groups),
We set the level of significance, \( \alpha \), and compute the test statistic using one independent nonparametric test, such as the Siegel–Tukey statistical test [23].

**Siegel–Tukey Test**

This is a nonparametric statistical method to test the null hypothesis of two independent samples (e.g., artist) against the alternative hypothesis that the populations differ in variability or spread. Thus, it is a test of behavioral research standard.

\[
H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2, \quad M_{1}\alpha = M_{2}\beta \quad \text{and} \quad H_1: \sigma_1^2 \geq \sigma_2^2, \tag{9}
\]

where \( \sigma^2 \) and \( M_{\alpha} \) are the variance and medians for the groups A and B. The test is entirely distribution-free. The absence of any normality assumption is an important feature of the test, because its parametric alternative, the \( F \) test for variance differences, is quite sensitive to departures from normality [22].

The \( p \) value obtained from this test, \( p_\alpha \), is given by

\[
p_\alpha = \Pr\{X \leq U\}, \tag{10}
\]

where \( U_{\alpha}, U_\beta \) are the \( U \) statistics for groups \( A, \beta \) and \( X \sim \text{Wilcoxon} (r, m) \) [21]. This is a measure of the confidence associated with the scores. Thus, if the learned features are good representations of an artist's style, they should be associated with a higher \( p_\alpha \) value than the \( p_\beta \) value associated with scores obtained using all features.

**VALIDATION OF THE PORTRAIT FEATURE SPACE**

To validate the PFS computed across various artists/sitters, we randomly divide the known instances into two groups to perform two-fold cross validation. In fold 1, we use group one to learn the PFS and use group 2 to validate and vice versa in fold 2. Ideally, the learned PFS from the two folds should have the same statistics.

**IDENTIFICATION FRAMEWORK**

The goal of this article is to aid art historians by providing an alternate source of evidence in verifying uncertain portraits against a reference image by providing a quantitative measure of similarity. We use hypothesis testing for this purpose.

**HYPOTHESIS TESTING**

This is a method for testing a claim or hypothesis about a parameter in a population [19]. Next, we summarize it with respect to the learned PFS.

- A Null hypothesis claims that the match distribution accounts for the test's similarity score with reference better than nonmatch distribution. The alternate hypothesis is that nonmatch distribution models the score better.
- We set the level of significance \( \alpha \), i.e., the test's probability of incorrectly rejecting the null hypothesis, as 0.05, as per the behavioral research standard.
- We compute the test statistic using one independent non-directional \( z \) test [19], which determines the number of standard deviations the similarity score deviates from the mean similarity score of the learned match/nonmatch distributions.
- We compute \( p \) values, which are the probabilities of obtaining the test statistic that was observed, assuming that the null hypothesis is true. If \( p < \alpha \), we reject the null hypothesis.

**IDENTITY VERIFICATION**

To examine the validity of the chosen approach, we consider similarity scores of the test image with artworks known to depict persons different from the one depicted in the reference image. We call these images *distractors*. In cases where enough works of the same artist are not available, we consider similar works of other artists. If a test image indeed represents the same sitter as in the reference image, not only should its score with the reference image be modeled by the match distribution, but also its scores with distracter faces should be modeled by the nonmatch distribution.

**ANALYSIS SCENARIOS**

Following the procedure outlined previously, we compute the similarity scores of test cases with the corresponding reference image and with distractors. Table 1 lists various hypothesis test scenarios that can arise [19] and the corresponding conclusions that one can infer. Match and nonmatch cases are straightforward to infer from Table 1. In cases where both match and nonmatch distributions are likely to account for the score in the same way as in third and fourth rows of Table 1, it can be said that the learned PFS cannot accurately describe the test data. If the match distribution is more likely to account for both test as well as distractors (bottom row in Table 1), it can be inferred that the chosen features do not possess sufficient discriminating power to prune outliers. Thus, in these scenarios, it is not possible to reach any conclusion.

**DATA SET**

**CHOICE OF IMAGES**

We have employed a set of images belonging to Western Europe between the 15th and early 18th centuries. These images have been logically chosen by art historians to address different tasks such as 1) to test the relation of an unmediated image of the subject, e.g., a death mask to a work of portrait art like a painting, 2) to analyze a number of portraits of different sitters by the same artist, e.g., a death mask to a work of portrait art like a painting, 3) to verify if the identity of the ambiguous subject in a given image is same as that of a known subject in a reference image. The images belong to different media such as drawings, prints, paintings, sculptures,
death masks, etc. The data set consists of works by over 35 artists including Gian Lorenzo Bernini, Alessandro Algardi, and François Clouet, among others.

DESCRIPTION
The data set consists of approximately 271 images where the identity of the subject is known beyond a doubt. There are 20 test paradigms (with each having multiple image pairs to be compared) where the identity of the subject is in question and has to be compared against the reference image given in that paradigm. Table 2 provides a detailed description of the distribution of images in terms of the specific sitter and artist. Figure 2 provides an illustration of the data set. We encourage the interested reader to refer to the supplementary material in IEEE Xplore (http://ieeexplore.ieee.org) for a description of the sources for the portraits shown throughout this article.

EXPERIMENTS

STYLE MODELING RESULTS
We first extracted the 22 LF and 11 AD for all the images. For those artists for which we had enough images to model their style, we learned the features characteristic of their style. In Figure 3(a), characteristic LFs with dots denoting the relative importance of the feature as per the \( p \) value of permutation test are depicted. AD features representative of the style was similarly determined for these artists; these being AD features 4, 8, 3, 7, and 2 for Algardi (see the section “Choice of Features” for a description of numbers); 1, 10, 7, 5, and 8 for Bernini; 2, 1, 8, 9, 10, 5, and 4 for Godfrey Kneller; 5, 11, 2, and 7 for Clouet; 4, 6, 11, 7, and 3 for Michiel Jansz. van Mierevelt; and 2, 8, 11, and 3 for Hans Holbein The Younger. Features are listed in decreasing order of importance for each artist. We verified the validity of these features using the \( p_{st} \) value computed from Siegel–Tukey test. As illustrated in Figure 3(b), for almost all cases, the confidence of the similarity scores increased upon using only the style features, thus validating the chosen LF. Similar results were obtained for AD features. It is to be noted that the Siegel–Tukey test validates both style-specific match and nonmatch.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ARTIST</th>
<th>NUMBER OF IMAGES</th>
<th>ARTIST</th>
<th>NUMBER OF IMAGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALGARDI</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>GIOTTO</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANDINI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HANSEN</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERNINI</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>HOLBEIN</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOTTICELLI</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>KNELER</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRONZINO</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>LANGEL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUGGIANO</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LAURANA</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAFA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>MANTENGA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMPIN</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>MASACCIO</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLOUET</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>RAPHAEL</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA FIESOLE</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>SIGNORELLI</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA VINCI</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>SITOW</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE CHAMPAIGNE</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>STRINGA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE BENINTENDI</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>THRONHILL</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEL CASTAGNO</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>TORRIGIANO</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELLA FRANCESCA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>VAN MIEREVELT</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VASARI</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>VAN MUSCHER</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHIRLANDAIO</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>VERRACCHIO</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
scores; whenever there are not enough images to obtain match scores, only the available nonmatch scores are validated. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve shown in Figure 4 compares the performance for pairwise sitter validation upon using 1) style features and 2) all LF/AD features. The ROC demonstrates the improvement in pairwise validation upon using style features.

**SIGNIFICANCE OF STYLE MODELING**

These results could possibly aid art historians in attributing works to an artist that was not attributed to him/her before. It could also help in identifying unrecognized portraits by these artists more confidently. It might also be possible to understand the adherence to artistic canon and individual variations in art practices.

**VALIDATION WITH KNOWN SITTERS**

From the set of known identities, we obtained match and nonmatch scores. It is to be noted that wherever an artist’s style could be modeled, we used only those (weighted) features in obtaining the LF/AD similarity scores and otherwise used all the LF/AD features followed by the feature combination strategy to fuse the similarity scores. The weight for the LF similarity score was found to be 0.55 and the weight for the AD similarity score was 0.45. Experiments showed that there was improvement in the performance upon fusing scores from LF and AD as against using any one of them. The values of mean of the PFS were 0.7246 (match) and 0.5926 (nonmatch) with standard deviations 0.043 and 0.052 respectively (see Figure 5).

**IDENTITY VERIFICATION**

We want to provide quantitative measures of similarity to uncertain test paradigms provided to us by art historians. In this article, we do not claim to provide the incontestable identity of the sitter...
in question, but to only provide a complementary viewpoint, which could serve the art history community.

**SIGNIFICANCE OF RESULTS FROM ART PERSPECTIVE**

In these identification tests, support was given to previous scholarly opinion on a number of important cases. Among these were the posthumous bust of Battista Sforza by Laurana in the Bargello and a death mask cast also by Laurana in the Louvre Museum in Paris, France, shown in column 1 of Figure 6. A match suggests that, as was thought, the mask was that of Battista. It also supports the idea that the cast was quite closely followed by Laurana as a model, rather than, say, Piero della Francesca’s profile portrait of Battista. A match was also indicated for Botticelli’s *Portrait of a Lady at the Window* (c. 1475; widely thought to be a rendering of Smeralda Brandini) and Verrocchio’s *Lady with Flowers* (c. 1475), the two portraits also sometimes being suggested by some to represent the same siter, thus lending objective support to this position despite the two distinctly different personas conveyed in the images.

Tests strongly support the traditional supposition that Nicholas Hilliard’s *Young Man Among Roses*, said to be perhaps the most famous miniature ever painted, represents Robert Devereux, second earl of Essex. The results of test scores between a portrait of a woman at the National Portrait Gallery in London thought by some to represent Mary Queen of Scots and eight other portraits known to be of Mary were almost startling in their support for the identification of the unknown portrait as Mary. Results also lend new support to previous opinion that the portrait at the National Portrait Gallery thought by some to depict James Scott, Duke of Monmouth, First Duke of Buccleuch, does portray Monmouth lying in bed after his beheading for treason.

The portrait shown in the bottom row of column six in Figure 6 was sent to us by the Italian astronomer Paolo Molaro, of what he believes may be the earliest known likeness of Galileo Galilei, perhaps painted around 1590. When tested against a chronological spectrum of eight other known portraits of Galileo, the results gave decreasing similarity scores within the match range for the chronologically three closest likenesses (1601–c. 1612). Thus, the test gives support to the identification of a previously unrecognized portrait as Galileo—possibly the earliest known portrait of Galileo. While age remains a challenge for FACES and requires more research, age differences of around ten years or so have not been too much of an obstacle.

A comparison between an unknown painting attributed to de Neve and a known portrait of George de Villiers, First Duke of Buckingham (column 7 of Figure 6), and a comparison between an unknown portrait and a known portrait of Lady Arabella Stuart (column five of Figure 6) gave nonmatch scores. A list of identification paradigms with results is provided in the supplementary material in IEEE Xplore. For a detailed description of these results from the art perspective, see [29].

The results of FACES are only as dependable as the images tested. Areas that would benefit from further research include modeling wide age differences, strong angle views (including profile images), and even the use of different media (e.g., terracotta as opposed to marble, chalk in contrast to oil, etc.).

![FIG5](image5.png) The PFS showing the distribution of match and nonmatch scores along with their standard deviations.

![FIG6](image6.png) Illustrations of identification tests with conclusions in the center. The bottom row shows images whose identity is uncertain; the numbers refer to corresponding images in the supplementary material supplied for this article in IEEE Xplore.
CONCLUSIONS
We presented a work that explores the feasibility of computer-based face analysis for portraiture. After a careful understanding of artistic conventions, we arrived at relevant features for analysis. Subsequently, using machine-learning tools, we learned a feature space describing the distribution of similarity scores for cases known to match/not match and also validated the same. We proposed a novel method to model artists’ styles and to analyze uncertain portrait pairs. We believe that these results can serve as a source of complementary evidence to the art historians in addressing questions such as verifying authenticity, recognition of uncertain subjects, etc. For future work, we would like to explore modeling age variations in portraits and building family trees of artists/sitters.
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Cultural heritage collections are being digitized and made available through online tools. Due to the large volume of documents being digitized, not enough manpower is available to provide useful annotations. In this article, we discuss the use of automatic tools to both automatically index the documents (i.e., provide labels) and search through the collections. We detail the challenges specific to these collections as well as research directions that must be followed to answer the questions raised by these new data.

INTRODUCTION
Digitization of cultural heritage collections has recently become a topic of major interest. Large campaigns of digitization have been launched by several institutional and private entities to allow instant access to billions of documents. Thanks to these new portals, anyone can see these collections that were usually stored in
archives under restricted access [see, e.g., the online collection of the Library of Congress (http://www.loc.gov/pictures), or that of the Metropolitan Museum of Art (http://www.metmuseum.org/collection/the-collection-online)]. These campaigns are both rich in the number of digitized documents and targeted subjects. Together, these points make them appealing as a research topic and as a new tool for the final user.

While the idea of an open access to digital copies of all kinds of historical contents is very appealing, the size and variety of these new data lead to a wide range of new problems. In particular, the pace at which historical artifacts are digitized greatly exceeds the manpower needed to manually index them. By indexing, we mean labeling using carefully chosen keywords for all documents so as to ease the search through the entire collection.

This section examines the problem of indexing cultural heritage collections. For this purpose, we first present the example of a labeled subset of the Bibliothèque Nationale de France (BnF) image collection. Based on this presentation, we then detail the expected difficulties that are to be tackled when indexing such collections.

In this article, we consider automatic labeling and interactive search challenges. In automatic labeling, the goal is to automatically infer a set of keywords for each newly digitized artifact. The thesaurus of all possible keywords can be very large and can contain concepts with varying semantic degrees. The main goal of automatic labeling is to ease the work of specialists searching throughout the entire collection by querying very precise keywords. In an interactive search, results of a query (either starting from an example or from a keyword) are graphically shown to the user. These results can be refined thanks to user feedback, for instance, through the highlighting or the removal of some elements. The goal of an interactive search is to find documents that cannot be retrieved using keywords in a minimum amount of interaction.

CULTURAL HERITAGE DIGITAL IMAGE COLLECTIONS

Examples of images digitized by the BnF and their associated labels are shown in Figure 1. The collection, which is currently online, contains an estimated 275,000 images. Approximately 14% of them are labeled with one or several keywords. The images are pictures from any kind of cultural heritage artifact such as paintings, coins, tapestry, a manuscript, etc. The corresponding labels vary from very generic terms [e.g., “animal representation” in Figure 1(a) and (d)] to very specific ones [e.g., “Cuir Ornemental” in (b) and “Ptolémée V” in (c)].

In this article, we consider automatic labeling and interactive search challenges. In automatic labeling, the goal is to automatically infer a set of keywords for each newly digitized artifact. The thesaurus of all possible keywords can be very large and can contain concepts with varying semantic degrees. The main goal of automatic labeling is to ease the work of specialists searching throughout the entire collection by querying very precise keywords. In an interactive search, results of a query (either starting from an example or from a keyword) are graphically shown to the user. These results can be refined thanks to user feedback, for instance, through the highlighting or the removal of some elements. The goal of an interactive search is to find documents that cannot be retrieved using keywords in a minimum amount of interaction.

CULTURAL HERITAGE DIGITAL IMAGE COLLECTIONS

This section examines the problem of indexing cultural heritage collections. For this purpose, we first present the example of a labeled subset of the Bibliothèque Nationale de France (BnF) image collection. Based on this presentation, we then detail the expected difficulties that are to be tackled when indexing such collections.
the hierarchy is divided in broad categories stating the image acquisition geometry, the picture genre, its time and geographical information, and its subject in terms of objects and people.

In this article, we focus on a small subset of about 4,000 labeled images. In Figure 2, we show the distribution of the number of labels per image. As we can see, only around 120 images have only one label. The vast majority of images have between two and 15 labels.

In Figure 3, we show the distribution of the number of images per class. There are many classes with only one corresponding image, and these classes usually correspond to very specific labels. Examples of classes with one image are: Philippe IV le Bel, époque Louis XIII, Papouasie-Nouvelle-Guinée, la guerre, électricité, marteau d’armes, générosité. The greater the number of images per class, the fewer the number of classes (the peak at 100 in Figure 3 refers to the cumulative tail of the distribution). This distribution gives hints to the specificity of the classes found in cultural heritage collections.

Contrary to the Rijksbureau voor Kunsthistorische Documentatie (RKD) challenge presented in [1], indexing the BnF is much more complex. The tasks in the RKD challenge are to predict the author, the type of work, the material, and the time of creation. The number of samples available for these classes fairly outnumber the one for the classes in the BnF. Furthermore, apart from the author identification, the classes of the RKD challenge are based on physical properties and not on semantic visual content. Nonetheless, the RKD provides a Web search engine allowing users to search its entire digitized collection with keywords (http://www.rkd.nl). Although these keywords are highly semantic like those of the BnF, they were not retained for the RKD challenge. Therefore, there is a need for a public data set encompassing the full difficulty of indexing cultural heritage collections and allowing researchers to assess their tools.

**OPEN QUESTIONS**

Compared to the generic image collections used in current computer vision benchmarks, labeling cultural heritage collection is much more difficult, due to the wide range of expected labels and to the very specific knowledge required to understand them. As we can see in Figure 1, some of the labels are sufficiently common to be inferred by everyone, but other ones require specific knowledge in history or in material science. To better evaluate the difficulty of labeling such collections, we propose to divide the labels in several domains:

- visual characteristic (shape, color, etc)
- semantic content (objects within the image, category of art: portrait, landscape, manuscript, etc)
- physical properties (canvas, marble, wood, paper, etc)
- historical information (production period, name of a character, style, etc.)
- geographical information (geographic name, towns, regions, map, etc.).

In this list, only the visual characteristic is effectively tackled by current content-based indexing methods. Semantic content has currently promising results thanks to the recent development in computer vision. There is, as far as we know, very few works on the remaining domains. The main difficulty induced by this list is that methods need to be based on specific properties of the signal to perform well on some very specific classes. For example, predicting the type of paper might use different image characteristics (different scales, different modalities) than predicting the style. Designing a generic system that can automatically select the signal properties adapted to each specific class, without being a complex combination of ad hoc methods, is the main challenge of the proposed tasks.

The second problem induced by the wide class diversity is the scarcity of labeled samples. Indeed, some labels have by nature very few examples (e.g., “King Louis XI of France”) and very few of them
are labeled. Unlike generic image collections, as the cultural heritage image collections grow, it is unlikely that the number of samples per class increases much for the vast majority of classes. Instead, it is more likely that the number of classes grows, while the number of samples per class remains almost constant. This arises challenging learning problems, since we have to build a large set of similarity measures and classifiers with very few samples.

**CONTENT-BASED IMAGE SIMILARITY**

The main technical challenge associated with the indexing of image collections is to be able to compute a numerical representation of each image and its associated similarity measure. This similarity measure aims at being as close as possible to human visual perception.

Generally speaking, the design of such representations and their associated metric is not an easy task, as it has to bridge the semantic gap [2]. Several families of similarity measure have been defined in recent years, in many cases with a very specific goal in mind. Indeed, the design of such measures is highly dependent on the application (e.g., object recognition, scene understanding), since it allows the incorporation of prior knowledge which usually boosts the performances. In the following, we list most of the existing families of content-based image similarity, detailing their original application, and showing some of their adaptations to art collections.

**GLOBAL APPROACHES**

Historically, the first approaches in defining a similarity between images were using a global index. A global index means that the numerical representation computes statistics on the properties of the signal at the scale of the whole image. Simple examples of such techniques include color histograms [3] or texture histograms [4].

With respect to cultural heritage images, global descriptors such as GIST have been used for image alignment and registration [5]. However, they suffer the same drawbacks as for the general image labeling and retrieval tasks: They are not able to handle classes discriminated by local visual properties. In particular, when the goal is to retrieve a specific instance of an object (e.g., a specific Roman emperor coin from the category coins), it becomes obvious that statistics at the image level are not sufficient to discriminate this specific instance from the other of the same category.

**LOCAL DESCRIPTORS**

To solve the precision problem of global descriptors, local keypoint matching techniques have successfully been proposed. The key idea behind the keypoint matching techniques is to select a set of salient regions in the image [denoted region of interest (ROI)], to compute a description of the content of the region and then to perform a pairwise matching of the keypoint descriptions between two images. The more keypoints match between images, the more they are considered similar.

The ROI detection step is based on salience measures like corner detectors [6] or a blob detector [7]. A good overview of the keypoint detection techniques can be found in [8]. Recently, it has been found that a dense extraction of keypoints leads to even better similarity measures, at the cost of a more complex matching step.

The ROI description is, in a sense, very similar to that of the global indexes, except that it is only computed on a small region of the image. The most used descriptors in current systems are scale invariant feature transform (SIFT) [7] or histogram of oriented gradients (HOG) [9], which basically computes a histogram of the gradient orientations in cells spanning the ROI. With such descriptors, the shape of the edges in the ROI is encoded.

Once descriptors are extracted, measuring the similarity between two images is akin to counting the number of matching pairs of descriptors. Given a descriptor $d$ of the first image, its nearest neighbor $\text{NN}(d)$ in the second image is considered as a match if their distance is less than a threshold relative to the distance with the second nearest neighbor $2\text{NN}(d)$:

$$d(x, \text{NN}(x)) \leq \lambda d(x, 2\text{NN}(x)) \quad (1)$$

with typically $\lambda = 0.6$ [7]. The assumption is that a given descriptor in the first image has a unique corresponding descriptor in the second image; together these form the closest pair.

To extend this matching scheme to an entire image collection, we consider the set $B = \bigcup B_i$ of all descriptor sets $B_i$ of all images in the collection. Then, for each descriptor from the query $q$, its $k$-nearest neighbors are retrieved from the entire collection. Every image receives as many votes as nearest neighbors it contains. Votes are summed up for all descriptors of the query and the image with the highest number of votes is the most similar.

$$s(q, i) = \sum_{d \in B_i} (k\text{NN}_B(d) \cap B_i). \quad (2)$$

However, such a matching scheme is unable to scale with a larger collection and larger sets of extracted descriptors. To run scalable searches, most of the accelerating schemes are based on an approximate nearest neighbor search in high-dimensional spaces, such as inverted files [10] or locality sensitive hashing [11].

With respect to cultural heritage collections, the main assumption driving local descriptor matching is relevant for duplicate or near duplicate retrieval. Searching for a seal, a coin, or a specific printed pattern are clear examples where the assumption holds. More semantic queries, such as author identification or time estimation can also be tackled using these approaches, depending on the scale of the images. For example, the brush stroke of a painter creating a specific pattern, such as the ear of a character, is a highly distinctive ROI that can be matched in another painting. In [12], the authors proposed a matching scheme to perform object detection in paintings while training on natural images and show matching local parts of an object improve the performances. However, they stay with very generic categories such as “dog” or “chair.”

The main challenge of these approaches in art-related collections is the selection of the right detector/descritor couple to obtain satisfying results for a specific application. Unfortunately, no generic local detector/descritor couple is able to tackle all of the similarities that can be defined in such collections due to the large variability of scale (from canvas threads to scene layout) and materials (parchment, canvas, marble, metal, etc.).
AGGREGATING METHODS

While local descriptors matching usually leads to very high performances, accelerating schemes are not sufficiently efficient to deal with very large collections. In particular, since all descriptors are kept, the amount of data to be stored grows with the number of extracted descriptors per image and the number of different modalities.

To overcome this problem, aggregating methods have been proposed to reduce the representation of an image from a set to a single vector. To perform the aggregation, most methods use a dictionary $D$ of $M$ prototypical descriptors $D = \{ \mu_i \}_{i=1}^M$. The set of descriptors $B_i$ of an image $i$ can then be described in terms of statistics over $D$. The first such method, called a bag of visual words (BoW), assigns each descriptor of the image to its closest entry in the dictionary and computes the histogram of such assignments $[10]$. Formally, the assignment corresponds to a quantization function $q$ that returns a vector filled with zeros, except for one at the component corresponding to the closest prototype,

$$ q(x) = [\delta_{\mu_i}], k = 1\text{NN}(x). \quad (3) $$

The signature is simply the sum of all these vectors

$$ x_i = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} q(x). \quad (4) $$

The interesting part of the BoW method is that it corresponds to a matching function between two sets of descriptors, where a match is found if and only if the two descriptors are assigned to the same prototype

$$ s_{\text{BoW}}(q, l) = \left( \sum_{d \in B_i} q(d) \right)^{l} \left( \sum_{p \in B_l} q(p) \right) \quad (5) $$

$$ = \sum_{d \in B_i} \sum_{p \in B_l} [\delta_{d} (d - \mu_i) p - \mu_i], k = 1\text{NN}(d), l = 1\text{NN}(p). \quad (6) $$

More recently, refinement in the encoding of the descriptors have been proposed. For instance, instead of simply quantizing each descriptor to its closest prototype, sparse coding and related methods $[13]$ propose viewing the encoding as a constrained reconstruction problem

$$ q(x) = \arg\min_{\alpha} \| x - D\alpha \|^2 + \lambda \Omega(\alpha), \quad (7) $$

where $\Omega(\alpha)$ is a regularizer, typically the $\ell_1$ norm to enforce a sparsity pattern in the coefficients $\alpha$ or a locality constraint to ensure descriptors are encoded by nearby prototypes in the case of locality constraint linear coding $[13]$. The incentives behind such encoding schemes are that the reconstruction term reduces information loss when compared to hard quantization approaches. Furthermore, the aggregation of codes introduces a minimum averaging effect due to the sparsity pattern in the codes. Since $D$ is an overcomplete dictionary and using the generalized Parseval identity, there is a relationship between the dot product of two descriptors in the descriptors space and the dot product of their coding coefficients. As a consequence, the dot product of two signatures is related to a matching scheme where the descriptors are compared using the dot product.

The idea of using a matching scheme that can be linearized into a single vector has been proposed in several methods. In vectors of locally aggregated descriptors (VLADs) $[14]$, the authors assign each descriptor to its closest prototype and then encode the differences between the descriptor and the prototype

$$ q(d) = [\delta_{\mu_i} (d - \mu_i)], k = 1\text{NN}(d). \quad (8) $$

The aggregation is simply the sum of all codes, like in BoW. The corresponding matching scheme compares only descriptors assigned to the same prototype and computes the match using the dot product of the descriptors centered on their respective prototypes

$$ s_{\text{VLAD}}(q, l) = \sum_{d \in B_i} \sum_{p \in B_l} [\delta_{d} (d - \mu_i) p - \mu_i], k = 1\text{NN}(d), l = 1\text{NN}(p). \quad (9) $$

By looking at VLADs, we can clearly see the bridge between matching schemes and the comparison of different statistics over $D$. In that sense, the BoW is a piecewise constant matching scheme and corresponds to a zero-order statistic, while VLADs are a piecewise linear matching scheme and corresponds to a first-order statistic.

To improve the discriminative capability of the similarity measure, higher orders have been proposed. In particular, Fisher vectors $[15]$ consider second-order information by computing the dictionary as a Gaussian mixture model. Then, it assigns the descriptors to all components of the mixture proportionally to their likelihood. Finally, it computes the first- and second-order moments of the descriptors with respect to each component. Using a hard assignment, vectors of locally aggregated tensors (VLTs) $[16]$ computes higher-order moments using the tensor products of descriptors. The final signature is then the concatenation of all orders. The authors show that the dot product between the signatures is equivalent to a matching scheme using the dot product between the descriptor raised to the power $l$, which in turn is an approximation of a Gaussian matching kernel between the descriptors using a Taylor expansion of order $l$.

Considering cultural heritage images, aggregating approaches are likely to generalize in the labeling task, as shown with Fisher vectors in $[17]$. In an interactive search, their relation to keypoint matching is also likely to obtain a good accuracy thanks to the discriminative power of such schemes.

DEEP ARCHITECTURES

In contrast with the two steps of the local descriptor aggregation approaches, deep architectures stacking several layers of encoding have also been proposed. While deep neural networks have been proposed for a long time $[18]$, their recent success in generic image classification benchmarks revived recent development of such methods.

The greatest advances were made with the use of convolutional neural networks (CNNs), which alternate layers of convolutional filters and layers of pooling operations $[19]$. The weights of the neurons on the convolution layers correspond to the coefficient of filters and can be trained in two steps. First, a pretraining step minimizing the reconstruction error trains a preliminary set of autoencoding filters. Second, the filters coefficients are tuned by...
back propagation of the classification error. This second step helps locate the right combination of filters.

This repetition of convolution, nonlinear operation, and pooling bears some similarity with the multiscale analysis performed by wavelets. Indeed, the authors of [20] propose a deep architecture composed of layers of wavelet filters combined with a nonlinear operator (modulus) to obtain invariance to certain transforms.

Although most CNNs are used directly in classification tasks, it was empirically shown that the layers before the classification provide very good image representation that can be used in almost any image similarity related task [21]. It has also been recently shown that stacking many layers [22] further improves the performances, which raises the question of the structure rules to follow when designing a deep neural network.

Since CNNs provide a strong baseline for image features, they were already used with paintings in [17]. The authors propose classifying paintings using a training set of natural images taken from Google images. They achieve surprisingly good performances considering the discrepancy between the objects in natural images and their depiction in paintings. However, the experiments are limited to a small number of generic classes (e.g., boat, car, horse), and cannot be easily extended to the very specific classes we consider in this work.

APPLICATIONS AND EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we present baseline results on the BnF collection to show the complexity of the challenging applications. We set up a benchmark with rigorous evaluation procedure allowing the comparison of different visual features (the benchmark can be downloaded at http://perso-etsi.ensea.fr/~picard/bnf_bench/). First, we present results on automatic labeling and then on an interactive search.

AUTOMATIC LABELING

In these experiments, we only considered classes with more than ten images to be able to compute relevant statistics. We found 569 classes corresponding to this criterion, with the following partition: Semantic (459), visual (62), historical (26), geographical (14), and physical (8). We used a standard classification approach consisting in a single midlevel feature per image combined with a linear support vector machine (SVM). We trained the SVM on a one-versus-rest mode for each class. Using fivefold cross-validation, we computed the average precision (AP) for each class.

### Table 1: Results (% mAP) of the Labeling Task for Different Features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Fisher Vectors</th>
<th>CPVLAT</th>
<th>CNNs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semantic</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographical</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[A table showing the results of the labeling task for different features.]
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We compare three different types of midlevel features: Fisher vectors [15], compact preprocessed vector of locally aggregated tensors (CPVLAT) [23], and deep CNN-based features taken from the penultimate layer of CNNs in [24]. These three different features allow to assess the behavior of different families of methods, in particular probabilistic models for Fisher vector, keypoint matching for CPVLAT, and deep neural networks for CNNs. Contrary to other methods, CNNs are trained on a much larger data set (ImageNet) not related to cultural heritage.

Table 1 shows the results of each feature with respect to the different strategies. Fisher vectors almost consistently outperform the other methods, which shows that classical computer vision methods provide a strong baseline for visual similarity even with a large variety of classes. As we can see, the combined mean AP (mAP) is less than 28%, which shows the complexity of the task. Semantic is the most difficult category, whereas visual is the easiest, although these categories are the ones containing most classes and thus most samples.

We show in Figure 4 detailed results for some of the best and worst classes for each feature. While it is easy to understand why some classes are difficult, like “drum” or “whip,” which are tiny, blurry details combined with few training samples (between ten and fifteen), it is worth remarking that most of the easy classes obtain good results mainly for statistical reasons. For instance, all the images with the label “Danish” are from the same data set of sketches representing the Danish army during the 18th century. Likewise, the sewer class contains only maps of the sewers of Paris that are visually very similar.

INTERACTIVE SEARCH

In an interactive search, we consider the scenario where a user is searching for a subset of the collection corresponding to a specific concept. This can be the case when a new class is inserted in the thesaurus, for example. In that case, the user wants to retrieve all of the images belonging to the concept with the minimum amount of interaction with the system (i.e., the minimum number of clicks). A typical session is as follows: starting from a single image belonging to the class, we interactively select five images, label them and add them to the pool of known images; retrain the classifier; and present the results for the next round of interaction until 50 images are labeled.

To evaluate performances in this setup, we compared the performances of two strategies of interaction by measuring the mAP against the number of labeled images (averaging ten sessions per class). The “BestSample” strategy selects the most relevant sample as evaluated by the current classifier (i.e., max., f(x) with f the current classifier), while the “simple” strategy [25] selects the sample closest to the margin (i.e., min. |f(x)|). Note that the labeled images are counted when computing the mAP, which biases the results compared to the classical labeling task. However, counting the labeled samples is coherent with the end-user application that should provide all correct results to the user, including those seen during the interaction.

We show in Figure 5 the mAP against the number of labeled images. As we can see, both strategies perform about the same regardless of the features, with a slight advantage for the BestSample strategy. This can easily be explained by the nature of our data, where most classes are very small and diverse. In such a case, samples close to the margin are likely to be negative ones mainly due to the low appearance probability of the relevant class. Fisher vectors also outperform other features in this task. Contrary to the labeling task, CPVLAT offers better performances than CNNs, which means this feature is more able to discriminate and less likely to generalize, which is consistent with the retrieval task. This is probably due to the strong relation of CPVLAT with keypoint matching schemes.

Furthermore, it is interesting to note that the best mAP performance after 50 labeled images is only around 17%. Considering that there are few classes with more than 50 images and recalling that the labeled images are counted in the mAP, a good active learning strategy should be able to obtain much higher mAP.

CONCLUSIONS

The main conclusions of this article are threefold:

1) We discussed the availability of large cultural heritage image collections that are currently being digitized, and which we believe will be a major topic of interest in the content-based indexing community.

2) By carefully looking at how these collections are currently manually indexed, we detailed specific tasks that are out of the scope of current content-based indexing problems, although they are of great interest for the users of these cultural heritage collections.

3) By performing a review of currently available techniques of content-based indexing, and testing a baseline method on the BnF collection, we show that there is still a lot of research to be done to achieve satisfactory results.

![The mAP against the number of labeled images for two active strategies.](image-url)
The main open questions concerning the design of similarity measures specifically tailored for cultural heritage collections are with respect to the wide range in type and scale of signal properties to be encoded in the signature. In particular, it is very difficult with the presented techniques to design a numerical representation that can encode both microscopic properties, such as canvas patterns or brush strokes in painting, and macroscopic properties, such as a scene layout or a direction of illumination. Designing a similarity measure that can tackle all these different types and scales of similarities is probably the biggest challenge in the indexing of cultural heritage collections.

The second problem arises from the paradoxical scarcity of the data. While data are massively available, including cultural heritage images, examples of specific categories may not. For example, only a few examples of an antic coin may be available. In such circumstances, methods that require a large amount of data to train their parameters are hindered and may not be able to obtain satisfactory results. Designing methods able to perform well on very precise similarity tasks with only few relevant training examples is the second challenge in the indexing of cultural heritage collections.
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REFERENCES
with the advance of three-dimensional (3-D) scanning technology, the cultural heritage community is increasingly interested in 3-D scans of cultural objects such as antiques, artifacts, and heritage sites. Digitization of these objects is commonly aimed at heritage preservation. Since 3-D color scanning has the potential to tackle a variety of traditional documentation challenges, the use of signal processing techniques on such data can be expected to yield new applications that are feasible for the first time with the aid of captured 3-D color point clouds. Our contributions are twofold. First, we propose a simple method to solve partial differential equations (PDEs) on point clouds using the framework of partial difference equations (PdEs) on graphs. Second, we survey several applications of 3-D color point cloud processing on real examples for which signal processing researchers can develop tools that can be valuable for museum specialists. The results of these methods have been reviewed by experts in the arts and found promising.

INTRODUCTION

Historians, archaeologists, and museum curators are interested in the preservation of pieces of art and want to make them available
The PdEs framework on weighted graphs can be applied to solve PDEs on 3-D point clouds. Some objects from World War II acquired with our 3-D scanner at the Mémorial of Caen. (a) A lamp. (b) A canteen. (c) Part of a destroyed church.

WITH THE ADVANCE OF 3-D SCANNING TECHNOLOGY, THE CULTURAL HERITAGE COMMUNITY IS INCREASINGLY INTERESTED IN 3-D SCANS OF CULTURAL OBJECTS SUCH AS ANTIQUES, ARTIFACTS, AND HERITAGE SITES.

3-D COLOR POINT CLOUDS

This section details how 3-D images of historic or art items can be acquired. We first provide a review of the benefits and advantages of each of the current technologies. In cultural heritage, two main approaches are used to digitize a 3-D object.

The first approach is photogrammetry. This is an image-based modeling technique where multiple photos are taken to retrieve 3-D data coordinates. Photogrammetry requires only cameras and is the least expensive approach. However, it works only with small objects that have regular geometric shapes. The second approach is laser scanning. In this approach, the scanner acquires many 3-D points of the object to digitize. The precision is much better but both the cost and the acquisition time are greater. In this article, we focus on this latter type of 3-D raw point clouds.

Figure 2 shows the acquisition of several World War II items, acquired by a Konica Minolta VIVID-9i noncontact 3-D laser scanner.
scanner with a lens of \( \ell = 25 \text{-mm} \) focal length at a distance of 0.6 m. According to the specification of the scanner, this corresponds to an accuracy of digitizing of \( \pm 0.05 \text{ mm} \).

A point cloud is composed of raw data \( D = \{d_1, \ldots, d_n\} \). One associates to each \( d_i \in D \) a 3-D coordinate vector \( P^i = \{p_{x_i}^i, p_{y_i}^i, p_{z_i}^i\} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), and a color vector \( C = \{c_{x_i}, c_{y_i}, c_{z_i}\} \) with \( c_{x_i}^i, c_{y_i}^i, c_{z_i}^i \in \mathbb{R}^3 \). We define for a given point cloud a function \( f^i: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \), which is either \( f^i: D \rightarrow P \) or \( f^i: D \rightarrow C \). The function \( f \) is difficult to process and to analyze with classical signal processing techniques because the underlying point cloud lacks of any topological structure. Therefore, classical 2-D signal processing techniques cannot be considered and new dedicated graph signal processing techniques are needed.

**GRAPH CONSTRUCTION FROM POINT CLOUDS**

The proposed approach to process point clouds starts by creating a weighted graph from a given point cloud. This section explains how to build such a graph. The latter method is composed of two parts: first the topology of the graph is defined and then the edges of the graph are weighted.

**GRAPH CONSTRUCTION**

This section discusses how to build a weighted graph from 3-D point clouds. The creation of a graph requires several steps.

1. **Vertices** are created from the raw data.
2. The vertices are connected with edges to build a proximity graph based on geometrical structure of the point cloud.
3. **Weights** associated with each edge are calculated.

Weights of edges are deduced from values associated to vertices (the graph signal) and patches can be used to compute a better similarity value that takes account of local neighborhood similarities.

Graph creation from point clouds is challenging. Indeed, the structuring information is lacking, and the data is not naturally organized in a manifold. Therefore, the set of edges cannot be easily determined. Given a point cloud \( P \) with associated coordinates \( \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), there are many ways of associating a graph to such a data set. Since point clouds data exhibit a geometrical structure, proximity graphs are preferable: if two data points satisfy certain geometric requirements, the corresponding vertices in the graph are connected by an edge. To each raw data point \( d_i \in D \), one associates a vertex of a proximity graph \( G \) to define a set of vertices \( V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\} \). Then, determining the edge set \( E \) of the proximity graph \( G \) requires defining the neighbors of each vertex \( v_i \) according to its embedding \( p_i \) using the Euclidean distance. Among many possible choices, we choose to consider the symmetric \( k \)-nearest neighbor graph. An undirected edge \( (v_i, v_j) \) is added between two vertices \( v_i \) and \( v_j \) if the distance between \( p_i \) and \( p_j \) is among the \( k \) smallest distances from either \( p_i \) or \( p_j \) to all the other data points. The construction of such a graph being computationally expensive for large point clouds, a \( k \)-dimensional tree is used [8] to speed up the \( k \)-nearest neighbor search.

**IN CULTURAL HERITAGE, TWO MAIN APPROACHES ARE USED TO DIGITIZE A 3-D OBJECT.**

**GRAPH WEIGHTS**

Once the graph has been created, it has to be weighted. If one wants to ignore the vertex similarities, the weight function \( w \) can be set to \( w(v_i, v_j) = 1, \forall (v_i, v_j) \in E \). To account for the similarities between the graph signals associated with the vertices, it is possible to use similarity functions based on distances to define the edge weights. Given an initial function \( f^i: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \), computing distances between vertices consists of comparing their features (as a function of \( f^i \)). To this end, each vertex \( v_i \) is associated with a feature vector \( P(v_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n \). From this, a usual similarity measure is provided by the Gaussian kernel

\[
    w(v_i, v_j) = \exp \left( -\frac{\|P(v_i) - P(v_j)\|^2}{\sigma^2} \right).
\]

Traditionally, one has simply \( P(v) = f^i(v) \). However, in image processing an important feature vector is provided by image patches [9]. In [10], we have proposed a new definition of patches that can be used with any graph representation associated to meshes or point clouds. We detail the latter.

**PATCH DEFINITION**

Around each vertex we build a 2-D grid (the patch) describing the neighborhood. This grid is defined on the tangent plane of the point (i.e., the vertex). The patch is oriented and finally filled in with a weighted average of the graph-signal values in the local neighborhood. We detail these two steps next.

**Orientation**

The first step consists of estimating the orientation of each patch. The algorithm first deduces a tangent vector \( t(v) \) from the normal vector \( n(v) \). We use a local principal component analysis on the coordinates \( p_i \) to estimate this normal vector: \( t_k(v) = n(v) \). Let \( x, y, z \) be the three axes of a 3-D space, the first tangent vector \( t_1(v) \) is computed with

\[
    \begin{align*}
    t_1(v) &= \frac{x \times t_0(v)}{|x \cdot t_0(v)|} & \text{if } |x \cdot t_0(v)| \neq 1 \\
    t_0(v) &= x \times t_1(v) & \text{otherwise}
    \end{align*}
\]

(2)

with \( \times \) the cross product and \( \cdot \) the dot product. The condition on the first line of (2) checks if the \( t_1 \) and \( z \) axis vector are collinear. If they are not collinear, \( t_1 \) is calculated from the \( z \) axis, otherwise the \( x \) axis is used. Then a bitangent vector \( t_2(v) \) is computed by \( t_2(v) = t_0(v) \times t_1(v) \). The orientation vectors \( o_0(v), o_1(v), o_2(v) \) are then respectively assigned to \( t_1(v), t_2(v), t_3(v) \).

**Patch Construction**

The second step consists of constructing the patches. Given a point \( p_i \), a patch is defined for this point by constructing a
Then, all the neighbors of \( v_i \) are associated with the cells with the closest center. The set of values inside the patch of the vertex \( v_i \) projected onto the patch cell. This value is a spectral value (the poset patch construction process, define the set

\[ V_i(v) = \{ v_j | p_j \in C_i(v) \} \]

as the set of vertices \( v_j \) that were projected onto the patch cell. The value of the cell is then deduced from a weighted average of the values \( f^P(v_j) \) associated with the vertices \( v_j \) that were projected onto the patch cell. This value is a spectral value (the point’s colors). The set of values inside the patch of the vertex \( v_i \) are denoted \( P(v) \). Let \( C_i(v) \) denote the \( k \)th cell of the constructed patch around \( v_i \) with \( k \in [1, n^2] \). With the proposed patch construction process, define the set

\[ V_i(v) = \{ v_j | p_j \in C_i(v) \} \]

as the set of vertices \( v_j \) that were associated with the \( k \)th patch cell of \( v_i \). Then, the patch vector is defined as:

\[
P(v_i) = \left\{ \frac{\sum_{v_j \in V_i(v)} w_P(c_i, p_j) f^P(v_j)}{\sum_{v_j \in V_i(v)} w_P(c_i, p_j)} \right\}^T_{k \in [1, n^2]},
\]

with \( w_P(c_i, p_j) = \exp(-\|c_i - p_j\|^2/\sigma^2) \) where the \( c_i \) are the coordinate vectors of the \( k \)th patch cell center. This weighting takes into account the distribution of the points in the cells of the patch by computing their mean feature vector. Figure 3(a) summarizes the method of patch construction. Figure 3(b) and (c) shows that points with similar geometric configurations are close with respect to the patch distance. With the following (parameters are respectively \( \sigma = 0.3 \) and \( n = 5 \)).

**GRAPH SIGNAL PROCESSING**

This section provides the mathematical definitions needed to understand the construction of weighted graphs from point clouds.

**PDEs ON WEIGHTED GRAPHS**

The definitions presented here provide the basis on which it is possible to translate PDEs on graphs into PDEs on graphs. Most of these definitions are from [6].

**DEFINITIONS**

A weighted graph \( G = (V, E, w) \) consists of a finite set \( V = \{ v_1, \ldots, v_N \} \) of \( N \) vertices and a finite set \( E \subset V \times V \) of weighted edges. Assume \( G \) to be undirected, with no self-loops and no multiple edges. Let \( (v_1, v_2) \) be the edge of \( E \) that connects two vertices \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) of \( V \). Its weight, denoted by \( w(v_1, v_2) \), represents the similarity between its vertices. Similarities are usually computed by using a positive symmetric function \( w: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \) satisfying \( w(v_1, v_2) = 0 \) if \( (v_1, v_2) \notin E \). The notation \( v_1, v_2 \) is also used to denote two adjacent vertices. The degree of a vertex \( v_i \) is defined as \( \delta_i(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} w(v_i, v_j) \).

The Hilbert space of real-valued functions defined on the vertices of a graph. A function \( f \in \mathcal{H}(V) \) assigns a real value \( f(v_i) \) to each vertex \( v_i \in V \). We define the internal border of a set \( A \subset V \) as \( \partial A = \{ v \in A \exists v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2 \in \partial A \} \).

Similarly, we define the space \( \mathcal{H}(E) \) of functions that are defined on the set \( E \) of edges. Given a function \( f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), its \( \ell_p \) and \( \ell_\infty \) norms are given by

\[
\| f \|_p = \left( \sum_{v \in V} |f(v)|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \text{with } 1 \leq p < \infty,
\]

\[
\| f \|_\infty = \max_{v \in V} |f(v)| \quad \text{for } p = \infty.
\]

**DIFFERENCE OPERATORS ON WEIGHTED GRAPHS**

Let \( G = (V, E, w) \) be a weighted graph and \( w: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \) a weight function that depends on the interactions between the vertices. The difference operator [6], denoted \( d_w: \mathcal{H}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(E) \), is defined for all \( f \in \mathcal{H}(V) \) and \( (v_1, v_2) \in E \) by

\[
d_w(f)(v_1, v_2) = \sqrt{w(v_1, v_2)} \left( f(v_2) - f(v_1) \right).
\]

The weighted gradient operator of a function \( f \in \mathcal{H}(V) \), at a vertex \( v_1 \in V \), is the vector defined by

\[
(\nabla w f)(v_1) = (d_w f)(v_1, v_2)^T_{v_2 \in \mathcal{V}}.
\]
The $\ell_p$ norm of this vector is defined, for $p \geq 1$, as
\[
\|\nabla f(v)\|_p = \left(\sum_{(v_i, v_j) \in E} w(v_i, v_j) a_p^2 (f(v_i) - f(v_j))^p\right)^{1/p}.
\] (8)

The external and internal morphological directional difference operators are defined as in [11] to be $((d_a\odot f)(v_i,v_j))^m$, with $(x)^+ = \max(x,0)$ and $(x)^- = -\min(x,0) = \max(-x,0) = (-x)^+$. The corresponding discrete upwind weighted gradients are
\[
(\nabla z f)(v) = \left((d_a\odot f)(v_i,v_j)^m\right)_{(v_i,v_j) \in E}.
\] (9)

The $\ell_p$ and the $\ell_\infty$ norms of these gradients are
\[
\|\nabla z f(v)\|_p = \left(\sum_{(v_i, v_j) \in E} w(v_i, v_j) a_p^2 (f(v_i) - f(v_j))^p\right)^{1/p},
\] (10)
\[
\|\nabla z f(v)\|_\infty = \max_{(v_i, v_j) \in E} w(v_i, v_j) |f(v_i) - f(v_j)|^p.
\] (11)

**p-LAPLACE OPERATORS ON WEIGHTED GRAPHS**

The isotropic weighted $p$-Laplace operator, with $p \in [1, +\infty]$, at a vertex $v_i \in V$ is defined on $\mathcal{H}(V)$ by [6] as
\[
(\Delta_{w, p} f)(v) = \sum_{v_j \in v_i} \psi_{w, p}(v_i, v_j) (f(v_i) - f(v_j)),
\] (12)
where
\[
\psi_{w, p}(v_i, v_j) = \frac{1}{2} w(v_i, v_j) \left(\|\nabla_w f(v_i)\|^{-2} + \|\nabla_w f(v_j)\|^{-2}\right)^{1/p}.
\] (13)

The $\infty$-Laplacian is defined by [12] as
\[
(\Delta_{w, \infty} f)(v) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\nabla_w f(v)\|_w - \|\nabla_w f(v)\|_w\right).
\] (14)

From the definitions of these discrete difference operators on graphs, we are now in position to translate any PDEs that involves gradient, $p$-Laplacian or $\infty$-Laplacian in their continuous formulation onto Euclidean domains. In the sequel, we will consider directly the discrete formulation on graphs; see [6] for further details.

**REGULARIZATION ON GRAPHS**

Here we present some PDEs on graphs and show a methodology to regularize the functions defined on the vertices of graphs. Let $f^0 \in \mathcal{H}(V)$ be a given function defined on the vertices of a weighted graph $G = (V, E, w)$. In a given context, this function represents an observation of a clean function $h \in \mathcal{H}(V)$ corrupted by an additive noise $n \in \mathcal{H}(V)$ such that $f^0 = h + n$. Regularizing functions on graphs using either isotropic or anisotropic $p$-Laplacian, was proposed in [6] and [13]. Recently, a new family of $p$-Laplace operators based on a divergence formulation, which unifies both the isotropic and anisotropic $p$-Laplacian on graphs, has been proposed in [10].

To recover the uncorrupted function $h$, the processing task is to remove the noise $n$ from $f^0$. A commonly used method is to seek a function $f \in \mathcal{H}(V)$, which is regular enough on $G$, and also close enough to $f^0$. This can be formalized by the minimization of an energy functional, that involves a regularization term (or penalty term) plus an approximation one (or fitting term). This article considers the following model:
\[
h \approx \arg\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}(V)} J_{w, p}^f + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|f - f^0\|_E.
\] (15)

where $J_{w, p}^f = \sum_{v \in V} \phi_{\|\nabla_w f(v)\|_w}$ is a gradient-based functional, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a regularization parameter, called the Lagrange multiplier, that controls the tradeoff between the penalty term and the fitting term. The function $\phi$ is a positive convex function that penalizes large variations of $f$ in the neighborhood of each vertex.

To solve (16), we consider the Euler–Lagrange equations
\[
\frac{\partial J_{w, p}^f}{\partial f(v)} + \lambda (f(v) - f^0(v)) = 0, \forall v \in V, \tag{17}
\]
where the first term denotes the variation of (16) with respect to $f$ at a vertex $v$. In [10], we have proven that the solution of (17) can be obtained with the following iterative algorithm:
\[
f^{n+1} = \frac{\lambda f^n + \sum_{v \in V} \beta_{n, v} f^n}{\lambda + \sum_{v \in V} \beta_{n, v}} 
\] (18)
with
\[
\beta_{n, v} = a^n_{h,v} (\|f(v_i) - f(v_j)\|_{w}^{-2})
\] (19)
and
\[
a^n_{h,v} = w(v_i, v_j) \rho z \left(\frac{\phi_{\|\nabla_w f(v)\|_w}}{\|\nabla_w f(v)\|_w^{-1}} + \frac{\phi_{\|\nabla_w f(v)\|_w}}{\|\nabla_w f(v)\|_w^{-1}}\right).
\] (20)

Figure 4 shows such color filtering of a given 3-D colored point cloud (obtained from a laser scan of a Mayan temple wall).

**APPLICATIONS**

This section gives an overview of 3-D color point cloud applications. We illustrate the abilities of the proposed methods and algorithms
for signal processing on point clouds. (All of the 3-D point clouds we used are available at https://lozes.users.greyc.fr/.) The typical graph signals are point (respectively vertices) coordinates or colors. Given a weighted graph $G = (V, E, w)$ associated to a point cloud, consider an initial graph signal $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ with $m = 3$. This signal will be either the vertices’ coordinates, in which $f(v) = p_v$, or the vertices’ colors, in which $f(v) = (f^R(v), f^G(v), f^B(v))^T$, where $f^X(v)$ denotes the $X$ color component of the color at the vertex $v$.

The approach we developed can be interesting within a cultural heritage documentation, analysis, and dissemination perspective (according to the evaluation done by art expert Livio De Luca, as mentioned in the “Acknowledgments” section). First, the idea to introduce a method for structuring color/texture information within a point-based 3-D representation is particularly relevant within the 3-D digitization and documentation purposes. Points clouds (especially if enriched by color information) include the essential geometric information useful for several applications scenarios related to the heritage artifacts analysis (measurement, visualization, semantic annotation, etc.). The point clouds processing methods we suggest (colorization, filtering and simplification, and inpainting of missing data and segmentation) can find several effective applications within the digital driven process for documenting heritage buildings, archaeological sites, and museums’ objects.

![FIG5](a) (b) (c) (d) The colorization of the bishop castle (approximately 1.5 million points) and a Bas-relief (with 506,000 points): (a) the original point cloud with annotations, (b) the colored point cloud, (c) the colorless Bas-relief with annotations, and (d) the colorized Bas-relief.

![FIG6](a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) The colorization of some cultural heritage statues [sizes of point clouds: (a) and (b) 253,000, (c) and (d) 254,000, (e) and (f) 791,000 points]. (a) Scribbles, (b) result, (c) scribbles, (d) result, (e) scribbles, and (f) result.
COLORIZATION

Colorization is the process of adding colors on an uncolored object. Let \( f^0 : V \rightarrow C \) be a function that assigns colors to vertices. Let \( A \subset V \) be the subset of vertices with unknown colors and \( \partial A \) the subset of vertices with known values. The purpose of interpolation is to find a function \( f^* \) approximating \( f^0 \) in \( V \) minimizing the following isotropic total variation energy for \( v_i \in A \):

\[
\min_{f^* \in H^1(V)} \left\{ \sum_{v_i \in A} \| \nabla f^*(v_i) \| \right\}
\]

with \( f(v_i) = f^0(v_i) \), for \( v_i \in \partial A \). This can again be solved using the Euler–Lagrange equations

\[
\begin{align*}
(\Delta_{w,v} f)(v_i) &= 0 \quad \forall v_i \in A, \\
f(v_i) &= f^0(v_i) \quad \forall v_i \in \partial A.
\end{align*}
\]

The solution of (22) can be obtained with the iterative algorithm in (18) with \( \lambda = 0 \), \( \forall v_i \in \partial A \). The similarity function \( w : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is computed from the comparison of patches of a geometric feature. This geometric feature is computed from the degree at a vertex using local height weights. These later weights are obtained from the similarity of height patches. Since the colorization starts from initial color annotations, not all the points are considered simultaneously. The colorization starts from the points that are neighbors to the annotated colors and the set of points to be colorized grows as the algorithm iterates. The colorization process stops when the set of vertices to colorize is empty and has converged to a stable solution. The use of adaptive geometrical weights relying on a geometric feature maps patches enables to better take into account the geometry of the point cloud during the color diffusion and blending.

Figure 5 shows the colorization of the bishop castle and of a Bas-relief (a type of sculpture in which shapes are carved). Figure 6 shows the colorization on some uncolored statues. Both cases show that the colorization allows restoration of colors not captured by the 3-D scanner (the bishop castle case), but also allows placement of colors on an initially uncolored object (statues cases) for a more realistic rendering.

FILTERING AND SIMPLIFICATION

Modern 3-D scanners can generate large point clouds with several million or billion points. The processing of such data is difficult. Instead of downscaling the point clouds and losing details, we propose a way to remove fine details without modify the appearance of the point cloud. It can be interesting to filter and simplify complex and redundant point clouds for Web publication purposes.

Let \( \mathbf{f}^0 : V \rightarrow \mathbb{P} \) be the vertices coordinates. The iterative algorithm of (18) allows filtering the geometry of 3-D objects using \( \lambda = 0 \). Figure 7 shows the simplification of a point cloud of the Saint Eligius statue with a reduction in the number of points by 77.6% using parameters \( p = 0.1 \) and \( \phi(s) = s^2 \). With such a simplified point cloud, the processing of any data on this point cloud, like colors, becomes computationally feasible. Indeed, reducing the quantity of data to process makes algorithms and renderings faster. Finally, the simplification could be leveraged in...
a meshing process by producing more regular polygons.

**INPAINTING OF MISSING DATA**

Inpainting consists of constructing new values for missing data in coherence with a set of known data. This can be the reconstruction of deteriorated parts of a 3-D object represented as a point cloud. This can be of interest for extending color information to missing parts (e.g., for virtual restoration purposes). Recent inpainting work tends to unify local and nonlocal approaches under a variational formulation (see [14] and references therein for more details). We consider that data are defined on a general domain represented on a graph \((G, V, E, w)\).

Let \(f \in \mathbb{R}^V\) be a function that assigns colors to vertices. Let \(A^1 \subset V\) be the subset of vertices with unknown values and \(A^2\) the subset of vertices with known values. The purpose of the interpolation is to find a function \(f^t\) approximating \(f^0\) in \(V\) and that corresponds to solving

\[
\begin{align*}
(\Delta_{w,v}) f(v) &= 0 \quad \forall v \in A^1, \\
 f(v) &= f^0(v) \quad \forall v \in \partial\mathcal{A}.
\end{align*}
\]

The infinity Laplacian is used here for interpolation since it provides better inpainting results than the isotropic \(p\)-Laplacian interpolation (see [15]). Works in [10] have shown that this interpolation problem has a unique solution that can be obtained using the iterative algorithm presented in [12]. At the end of each iteration the set \(\partial\mathcal{A}\) is updated by \(\partial\mathcal{A}^{n+1} = \partial\mathcal{A}^n \cup \partial^*\mathcal{A}^n\), and \(\partial^*\mathcal{A}^{n+1}\) is updated from \(\partial\mathcal{A}^{n+1}\). The algorithm stops when the set of vertices to inpaint is empty. Figure 8 shows the restoration of a broken vase. The geometric part is corrected first, then the hole is filled; finally, the color is inpainted.

One major objective of the cultural heritage is the preservation of an object. This inpainting algorithm provides a plausible virtual reconstruction of the original state of an object. The algorithm can also be used to remove and subvert parts of a damaged object, as in Figure 9.

**SEGMENTATION**

Segmentation is the process of partitioning a 3-D object into multiple regions. Let \(f : V \to \mathbb{R}\) be the function on a graph \(G(V, E, w)\) to segment. The segmentation problem can be formulated as a PDE to be solved on weighted graphs. This latter formulation is based on front propagation using the eikonal equation to compute general distances on graphs. Let \(L = \{1, \ldots, L\}\) be the set of labels and let \(S^0 = S^1 \cup \ldots \cup S^n\) be the corresponding set of labeled vertices. The goal of label propagation is to label each vertex \(u \in V\) under the condition that \(u\) is a neighbor of \(S^0\). Such a label propagation can be formulated as solving the eikonal equation on a graph \(G\) as

\[
\begin{align*}
\|\nabla_x \phi(x, v)\|_2 &= P\phi(x) \quad \forall v \in V, \\
f(v) &= \phi(v) \quad x \in \gamma \subset V.
\end{align*}
\]
where $F: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is a speed function, $P_i(v_i) = (1/F_i(v_i))$, and $\phi: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{R}$ represent the set of initials labels. The resolution of the eikonal equation (24) on a weighted graph has been investigated in [16].

To segment a mesh or a point cloud according to the vertices colors, compute the similarity function $w: \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{R}$ by taking into account the colorimetric distance as $w = e^{-\|F(v_i) - F(v_j)\|^2}$, where $F: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{C}$ are the colors associated at the node $v_i \in \mathbb{V}$. The function $\phi: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{R}$ associates an initial label to each vertex $v_i$. The parameters are set as follows: $p = 2, F_i(v_i) = 1, \forall v_i \in \mathbb{V}$. Figure 10 shows some segmentation results on point clouds after the resolution of the eikonal equation. Segmentation results are 3-D subsets of the original points clouds. These subsets can then be processed by applying the previous graph signal techniques such as filtering, simplification, inpainting, and colorization.

CONCLUSIONS

This article has proposed an approach for the processing of functions on point clouds represented as graphs. We have shown how to translate PDEs using the framework of PDEs. The approach allows processing of signal data on point clouds (e.g., spectral data, colors, coordinates, and curvatures). We have applied this approach for cultural heritage purposes on examples aimed at restoration, denoising, hole-filling, inpainting, object extraction, and object colorization. The results demonstrate the many potentials of the point cloud approach to the processing of cultural heritage 3-D scanned objects.
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Digital Image Processing of The Ghent Altarpiece

[Supporting the painting’s study and conservation treatment]

Hanging in the Saint Bavo Cathedral in Ghent, Belgium, is The Ghent Altarpiece, also known as The Adoration of the Mystic Lamb (see Figure 1). According to an inscription on the outer frames, it was painted by brothers Hubert and Jan van Eyck for Joos Vijd and his wife Elisabeth Borluut in 1432. It is one of the most admired and influential paintings in the history of art and has given rise to many intriguing questions that have been puzzling art historians to date [11]. Moreover, the material history of the panels is very complicated. They were hidden, dismantled, moved away, stolen, and recovered during riots, fires and wars. The recovery of the panels by the U.S. Army in the Nazi hoards deep in the Altaussee salt mines has particularly marked memories. One panel was stolen in 1934 and never recovered. Besides varying conservation conditions, the panels underwent numerous restoration treatments and were even partially painted over.

One of the most important unresolved questions related to this painting goes back to its creation: the division of hands between the two brothers and their respective workshops. The meticulous study of the painting technique, its different layers and materials, as well as the underdrawings and perhaps even numerous intriguing palm
and fingerprints could bring us closer to answering that question. However, *The Ghent Altarpiece* hides many other secrets, like the meaning of inscriptions that are difficult to decipher, such as the text in the book depicted in the panel *Virgin Annunciate*.

A major conservation and restoration campaign carried out by the Royal Institute for Cultural Heritage (KIK-IRPA), which is expected to take at least six years, started in October 2012. One of the questions of the treatment, supported by an international commission of experts, concerns uncovering van Eyck’s original paint to the extent which can be safely carried out. Indeed, the paintings were covered over centuries with disfiguring retouchings, overpainting, and varnishes. Certain decisions regarding the restora-
tions benefit from multidisciplinary research and signal processing could help in this regard.

In this article, we show progress in certain image processing techniques that can support the physical restoration of the painting, its art-historical analysis, or both. We first introduce a multi-modal crack detection algorithm, which gives a clear improvement over earlier reported crack detection results on *The Ghent Altarpiece*. We then show how a relatively simple analysis of the crack patterns could indicate possible areas of overpaint, which may be of great value for the physical restoration campaign, after further validation. Next, we explore how digital image inpainting can serve as a simulation for the restoration of losses (missing areas in one or more layers of the painting, often caused by abrasion or mechanical fracture and revealed after the cleaning process). As a separate problem, we address crack inpainting by outlining the main chal-
lenges and proposing a solution that improves upon earlier reported results on this painting [7]. Finally, we explore how the statistical analysis of the relatively simple and frequently recurring objects (such as pearls in this masterpiece) may characterize the consistency of the painter’s style and thereby aid both art-historical interpretation and physical restoration campaign. We carry out our analysis on a recently released high-resolution data set and on some images taken during the current treatment of the altarpiece.

**DATA SET: “CLOSER TO VAN EYCK”**

Until 2012, digitized scans of old photographic negatives, acquired by Alfons Dierick [12] and kept in the archives of Ghent University, were the only available high-resolution data set of *The Ghent Altarpiece*. The development process of these negatives was mainly undocumented, which resulted in a data set where the images vary strongly in quality. Earlier reported results of digital image processing on *The Ghent Altarpiece*, such as crack detection, virtual crack inpainting [7], [24], and pearl analysis [23], were all based on images from that old data set.

We report the results on extremely high-resolution images that are publicly available in [31]. This data set is the result of an interdisciplinary research project that ran from April 2010 until June 2011, with the goal to assess the structural condition of *The Ghent Altarpiece* and determine whether a full restoration of van Eyck’s polyptych was necessary. The surfaces of the altarpiece were documented with the following imaging modalities: digital macrophotography (with a pixel size of 7.2 μm; full panels, 140 extreme close-ups, and some cleaning tests), infrared macrophotography (in the same resolution), infrared reflectography, and X-radiography. New acquisitions will be added to this data set in the scope of the current conservation-restoration campaign.

**IMAGE PROCESSING IN SERVICE OF PAINTING RESTORATION**

We address two potential applications of image processing to support restoration of paintings: 1) detecting possible areas of overpaint based on the analysis of crack patterns and 2) virtually inpainting losses.

---

*FIG1*  *The Ghent Altarpiece*: (a) open and (b) closed. Image copyright Ghent, Kathedrale Kerkfabriek, Luksaweb.
CRACK DETECTION IN PAINTINGS

Being able to accurately detect cracks can be very relevant to painting conservation, since cracking is one of the most common forms of deterioration. Fluctuations in humidity, causing the wooden support to shrink or expand, is the main reason for crack formation. Because the way in which cracks develop and spread partly depends upon the choice of materials and methods used by the artist, assessing cracks is useful for judging authenticity [4]. Cracks can also assist conservators by providing clues to the causes of degradation of the paint surface. An in-depth study of the factors contributing to their formation can support preventive measures [1]. Furthermore, the analysis of crack patterns provides noninvasive means of identifying the structural components of paintings [4].

Visually, cracks can be categorized into bright cracks on a dark background or dark cracks on a bright background. One can further distinguish between different types of cracks such as ageing cracks, premature cracking (generally due to drying defects related to the painting materials or their application), or cracks formed only in the varnish layer when it becomes brittle through oxidation. The literature discusses mainly dark cracks; they are typically considered as having low luminance and being local (gray scale) intensity minima with elongated structure [14]. Different crack-detection techniques include simple thresholding, line detectors, and various morphological filters (see [1] for an overview). The method in [7] operates on a single image modality (visible image) and combines by means of a voting scheme three-crack detection techniques: oriented elongated filters, a multiscale orientation filter banks, as described in [8]. The resulting transformation is then applied to the original images.

Simply applying the methods described in [7], which were designed for a single image, requires choosing an additional set of parameters per modality, which would be too cumbersome. Here we adopt a semisupervised Bayesian approach that estimates for each pixel a posterior probability of belonging to the “crack” category given a large set of feature vectors extracted over all modalities. These feature vectors are obtained by processing each image modality with a number of different filters, commonly used in image processing, ranging from morphological filters to multiorientation filter banks, as described in [8]. The conditional probability $P(Y|X_1,\ldots,X_p)$ is a $d_1 \times \ldots \times d_p$ dimensional tensor, with $d_j$ the number of quantization bins of the $j$th predictor $X_j$.

THE BAYESIAN CONDITIONAL TENSOR FACTORIZATION METHOD FOR MULTIMODAL DATA

The newly acquired multimodal data set (see Figure 2 for an example) allows for new crack detection techniques that are able to make use of the information provided by each modality, thereby yielding a more reliable detection scheme. However, a pixel-perfect registration is required prior to using all modalities together. The panels of The Ghent Altarpiece were already roughly registered for adjacent viewing in [31], but the spatial alignment of these preregistered images is not sufficient in the current context, as the images can be shifted by a few pixels or even exhibit local inconsistencies due to the different acquisition modalities. The nature of the different modalities and the stringent requirements for crack detection make direct registration a challenging task. However, since the cracks themselves are a more or less consistent component throughout all modalities, we used them for the registration process. Crude crack maps are first obtained by filtering the unregistered images with elongated filters and subsequent thresholding (more details of the exact procedure can be found in [7]). It should be noted at this point that the presence of false positives is not a nuisance as long as the locations of most of the cracks in each modality are identified. The crude crack maps obtained from the X-radiograph and the visual and infrared images are mutually registered using the algorithm described in [5] (using the infrared crack map as the reference). The resulting transformation is then applied to the original images.
Using the Bayesian conditional tensor factorization (BCTF) of \cite{30}, inspired by higher-order singular value decomposition \cite{10}, the conditional probability tensor can be decomposed as

\[
P(Y = y | X_1 = x_1, \ldots, X_p = x_p) = \sum_{j_1 = 1}^{k_1} \cdots \sum_{j_p = 1}^{k_p} \alpha_{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_p}(y) \prod_{m=1}^{p} \pi_m^{(m)}(x_m),
\]

with the parameters subject to

\[
\sum_{j_m = 1}^{k_m} \pi_m^{(m)}(x_m) = 1,
\]

for every combination of \((m, x_m)\). The factorization coefficients \(\alpha_{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_p}(y)\) can be seen as the latent class allocation probabilities and \(\pi_m^{(m)}(x_m)\) as the response class probabilities, which control in a probabilistic manner how the levels of each predictor are clustered. The \(k_m \in \{1, \ldots, d_m\}\) value impacts the number of parameters used to characterize the \(m\)th predictor. In the special case where \(k_m = 1\), (2) yields \(\pi_m^{(m)}(x_m) = 1\), which means that \(P(y | x_1, \ldots, x_p)\) will not depend on \(x_m\) and the \(m\)th predictor can be excluded from the model. If \(k_m = 1\) for most \(m\), the categorical predictor model becomes sparse. In practice, we do expect that only a few features have a significant impact on the classification results. More details on the exact posterior computation can be found in \cite{30}. The resulting conditional probability tensor can be used as a lookup table where each entry contains a crack probability for a specific combination of predictor values. If this probability exceeds 0.5, we label the pixel as being part of a crack.

A comparison between the multimodal BCTF method and the crack detection method introduced earlier in \cite{7} on the same part of the painting is depicted in Figure 3. It can be observed that the older method fails to detect some thin cracks, while it falsely labels some thin dark brushstrokes as cracks. It is clear that the multimodal BCTF method detects more cracks with fewer false positives.

**IDENTIFYING OVERPAINT FROM CRACK PATTERNS**

Some features of the detected crack patterns may have potential to guide the restorers to places of interest such as retouchings or heavily damaged areas. As an example, we applied the BCTF method, described previously, on the upper left corner of the Joos Vijd panel. Figure 4(a) shows that part of the painting and its corresponding crack map. A rather simple analysis consists of counting the number of crack pixels in a sliding window of 100 × 100. In doing so we obtain a crack density map (see Figure 4) where we can identify low crack density zones as well as high crack density zones. The lowest (and highest) crack density zones are obtained automatically by hysteresis thresholding, where the first chosen threshold is chosen to be very close to the minimum (respectively maximum) value of the crack density. Painting conservators confirmed that the areas of lowest density marked in white in Figure 4(d) are old losses covered with retouching that also overlap on the surrounding original paint. Other zones of low crack density however,
such as the ones seen in the upper left corner of the density map, correspond to thinner original paint that developed a different crack pattern over time. The interpretation of the crack maps, like any other diagnostic tool in art conservation, needs to be checked by conservators using other examination techniques and linking the evidence by their critical and material skills.

VIRTUAL INPAINTING

During the ongoing physical restoration of The Ghent Altarpiece, deteriorated retouching and overpaint are removed, revealing underlying losses in the original (see Figure 5). Digital image inpainting can virtually fill in these areas and provide a “simulation” for the impact of certain actions to be taken during the physical restoration process.

A recent overview of inpainting methods is given in [15], and applications to virtual restoration of paintings include [21] and [22]. Patch-based methods are capable of replicating both structure and texture by filling in the missing region patch-by-patch. In general, for each patch of the missing region (target patch), a well-matching replacement patch is found in the available part of the image (source region) and copied to the corresponding location. Preserving structures is achieved by defining the filling order [9], which gives priority to the target patches containing object boundaries and fewer missing pixels. The so-called global methods, like [17] and [25], allow the choice of multiple candidate patches (instead of choosing just one best match in a “greedy” manner) and define inpainting as a global optimization problem.

Figure 5 shows a part of the John the Evangelist panel that has been cleaned in the current restoration campaign of The Ghent Altarpiece. Removing overpaint revealed many losses that will be carefully inpainted by the conservators, using stable and reversible materials, to restore the visual coherence of the original image. In contrast to losses and abrasions, age cracks are not inpainted in actual conservation unless they severely interfere with the painted form. For this reason, the cracks are not inpainted intentionally in this experiment (unless they are inside a larger loss and assigned, therefore, to a missing region). Here we provide a virtual inpainting simulation of some parts obtained with the patch-based algorithm of [25] (see Figure 5 and the enlarged part in Figure 6(a)). We have chosen to inpaint several figurative parts that contain structure and texture. The results show how challenging this problem is even for state-of-the-art inpainting methods. None of the methods tested so far produced a satisfying result that fully (albeit virtually) restored the painted form. Experienced conservators master a knowledge of the physical characteristics of the paint layers and of the painted forms that call upon complex visual perception and interpretation skills. Virtual inpaintings do not provide alternatives to their work on the original but test the potential of the methods that need to be further developed.

DOES CRACK FILLING HELP YOU READ THAT BOOK?

While cracks are rarely inpainted in the actual, physical restoration, virtual crack removal can be of interest in certain aspects.

[FIG5] (a) A part of the cleaned John the Evangelist panel. The marked regions are inpainted in (b). Image copyright Ghent, Kathedrale Kerkfabriek; photo courtesy of KIK-IRPA, Brussels.

[FIG6] The results on a small part of the cleaned John the Evangelist panel. (a) The original image, (b) overlay with damaged regions marked in red, and (c) the result of the algorithm from [25]. Image copyright Ghent Kathedrale Kerkfabriek; photo courtesy of KIK-IRPA.
For example, crack inpainting may improve the legibility of the text present in parts of the polyptych, which can be of great importance to art-historical and iconographical studies. Virtual inpainting of the book in the Virgin Annunciate panel was reported in [7] on the scans from the Dierick collection. Here we identify some limitations of state-of-the-art inpainting techniques for this type of problem and introduce an improved method and report the results on the new high-resolution scans from the data set in [31].

**CHALLENGES IN THE BOOK OF VIRGIN ANNUNCIATE**

Since cracks typically appear in images as very thin and elongated regions, crack inpainting methods are often based on rather simple, pixel-wise operations, including median filtering [14], [26], interpolation [2], and controlled anisotropic diffusion [14]. In cases where high-resolution scans are available, such that the width of some cracks spans multiple pixels, patch-based inpainting methods [9], [17], [24] typically yield better results [7], [27].

The book in the panel Virgin Annunciate (Figure 2) is a very challenging case for virtual inpainting because the width of cracks varies greatly and some cracks are difficult to distinguish from parts of the letters. Moreover, as the cracks are typically surrounded by bright clouds of background matching color, due to the lifting and abrasion of the surrounding paint and thereby imposed light reflections, the immediate areas around the cracks are also unreliable. The crack inpainting method from [7] specifically tailored to this application already generally improved the legibility of the text and was shown to outperform some “general-purpose” patch-based inpainting methods like [9] and [17]. However, some problems are still present, e.g., parts of the letters through which wide cracks are passing are occasionally deleted after virtual inpainting. To alleviate this problem, a better approach to handling continuation of image structures is needed. We discuss a possible solution with encouraging initial results next.

**CRACK INPAINTING**

In cases where painted structures, like the characters in Figure 3, are relatively small compared to the crack width, it is very difficult for the inpainting algorithm to infer the correct structure locally. Patch-based inpainting methods typically handle structure propagation by defining the right filling order [9], [15]. Once the filling order is determined, most of the methods choose plausible candidates for replacement patches based solely on the agreement with the undamaged part of a single target patch [see Figure 7(a)], and concentrate on defining effective distance metrics between the known portion of the target and the candidates [20]. Matching only against a small part within the target patch increases the risk of propagating wrong textures and wrong colors into the missing region. Global methods, such as [17] and [25], allow multiple candidates and optimize their mutual agreement in the overlap regions [Figure 7(b)], but even this cannot ensure agreement with surrounding undamaged structures: the optimization that takes care that neighboring replacement patches mutually agree cannot “undo” the damage done by selecting wrong candidates in the first place. Ideally, undamaged areas around the target patch should be taken into account in the candidate selection as well, ensuring that plausible candidates agree with true structures [see Figure 7(c)].

![FIG7](a) Patch-based inpainting and the proposed improvement. (a) A greedy approach chooses one replacement patch based on the known part of the damaged patch. (b) A global approach considers multiple candidates and their mutual agreement. (c) Our approach in addition adapts the candidate selection according to the locally detected structures.
Guiding the selection of candidate patches by the agreement with undamaged areas is related to the idea of global visual coherence introduced in [29] for video completion. The approach of [29] is very effective for replicating larger missing areas and textures, but it does not treat continuation of curvilinear structures. Alternative solutions that propagate structures along user-specified lines [19], [28] showed excellent results in photo editing, but for our application the amount of the user intervention required by such methods would be prohibitive.

The main idea of our approach is to simultaneously detect directions of local structure propagation and adapt the candidate selection accordingly. We propose a fully automatic and low-complexity method for selecting the candidate replacement patches based on their agreement with the undamaged part of the target patch and with the neighboring undamaged areas, along directions where the structures are likely to propagate. Let \( \phi_i \) denote an image patch centered at position \( i \), \( S(\phi_i, \phi_j) \) a certain measure of similarity between \( \phi_i \) and \( \phi_j \), and denote by \( N_{i,k} \) a directional neighborhood of \( \phi_i \) along direction \( k \). We define prior preference \( P_{i,j} \) for selecting a source patch \( \phi_j \) as a candidate replacement for the damaged target patch \( \phi_i \) as follows:

\[
P_{i,j} = S(\phi_i, \phi_j) + \max_k \sum_{l \in N_{i,k}} S(\phi_i, \phi_l).
\] (3)

The first term measures, as usual, the similarity with the known part of the target patch. The novelty is in the second term, which takes care of the agreement with the wider context around the target patch. In particular, the more the candidate patch \( \phi_i \) fits with the neighborhood of the damaged patch \( \phi_j \) in any direction where structures of interest are likely to propagate, the more preference it will get in the selection process. Common measures of patch similarity are defined in terms of the sum of squared differences among the patches \( D(\phi_i, \phi_j) = |\phi_i - \phi_j| \), calculated over the known pixels. We used \( S(\phi_i, \phi_j) = -D(\phi_i, \phi_j) \) for the candidate selection in (3). With this improved candidate selection process in combination with simple greedy inpainting (selection of one replacement patch at each position), we already obtain a clear improvement over the earlier method from [7], as is visible in Figure 8 (notice, in particular, that the effect of deleting parts of letters is less severe). One can also select multiple candidates, with several largest values of \( P_{i,j} \) in (3) and subsequently solve the resulting “puzzle” using a global optimization method like in [17] and [25]. It would be interesting to explore also alternative solutions, like the statistics of patch offsets [16] or hierarchical, superresolution-based inpainting [18].

**WHAT CAN PEARLS TELL US?**

Painted pearls, which are abundant in *The Ghent Altarpiece*, provide a nice case study for the statistical analysis of the consistency of the painterly execution. Spatial histograms, or spatiograms [3], were employed in [23] as digital signatures of painted pearls and showed potential to distinguish pearls painted by different artists. In particular, the pearls in the copy of the panel *Just Judges*, made by J. Van der Veken between 1939 and 1951 to replace the panel stolen in 1934, showed clearly different spatiograms than those from other panels of the altarpiece. Similar conclusions were drawn when comparing the spatiograms of the recent reconstructions by other artists. However, this earlier analysis in [23] was performed on the old scans of the altarpiece, with varying resolutions, which may have affected to some extent the numerical findings. It is important to verify the main conclusions of this earlier analysis in the light of the new high-quality photographic material. We also go a step further, extending the study to different panels of the altarpiece and making a hypothesis that the consistency of the painted pearls could provide an additional support for the division of hands between the painters or within the workshop, as well as for detecting possible areas of former restorations and overpainting campaigns.

**DIGITAL PEARL SIGNATURES FROM SPATIOMGRAMS**

An image spatiogram [3] is a generalized histogram, with second-order spatial moments. Suppose an image consists of \( N \) pixels and denote the spatial position of the \( n \)th pixel \( n \in \{1, ..., N\} \) by...
$p_n = (x_n, y_n)^T$ and its intensity by $I_n$. Let $b$ denote a histogram bin, being a range of pixel intensities and let $1_b(x)$ denote the indicator function (returning one if $x \in b$ and zero otherwise). The spatiogram triplet for bin $b$ is then computed as follows:

$$

c_b = \eta \sum_{n=1}^{N_b} 1_b(I_n),
$$

$$
\mu_b = \eta c_b^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N_b} p_n 1_b(I_n),
$$

$$
\Sigma_b = \eta c_b^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N_b} (p_n - \mu_b)(p_n - \mu_b)^T 1_b(I_n). \quad (4)
$$

The normalizing constant $\eta$ is chosen such that $\sum_{b=1}^{N_b} c_b = 1$. For bins with $c_b = 0$, the values of $\mu_b$ and $\Sigma_b$ are also set to zero (not of interest). To enable comparison between images of different sizes, we normalize all spatial coordinates to the range $[-1, 1]$.

For the purpose of visualization of the highly dimensional spatiogram data, [23] proposed spatiogram triple-plots (S1, S2, S3) illustrated in Figure 9:

- **S1**: connected centers of bins, $\mu_b = (x_b, y_b)$
- **S2**: $\mu_b$-positioned counts of bins (the radii of the circles are proportional to bin counts)
- **S3**: $\mu_b$-positioned variances in the $x$- and $y$-direction.

Figure 9 demonstrates that mutually similar pearls produce similar spatiogram triple-plots.

**CONSISTENCY OF THE PEARLS IN THE ALTARPIECE**

Here, we evaluate the consistency of painted pearls in *The Ghent Altarpiece* on the data set in [31]. We measure similarity between two painted pearls with the spatiogram similarity index SSim [6], where $0 \leq \text{SSim} \leq 1$. In particular, we select 12 sets of pearls from five different panels, as marked in Figure 10(a). The size of each set and the average radius of the pearls (in pixels) are given in parentheses: A1(4, 576), A2(12, 265), A3(24, 273), A4(12, 144), B1(7, 177), B2(20, 129), C1(5, 138), C2(6, 111), C3(8, 180), D1(3, 276), D2(6, 224), and D3(3, 239). We make a comparative analysis of the similarity of painted pearls within each set (within-set similarity) and between different sets (cross-set similarity).

Figure 10(b) shows within-set similarity for the 12 pearl sets, computed from 64-bin spatiograms. Clearly, the largest pearls (A1) are the most similar, which agrees with the findings of [23], but now we can also see that the consistency within each set depends also on the panel and the position of the pearls. For
Exploring the consistency of the painted pearls in different panels. (a) The selected sets of pearls: A–clothing decoration of God the Father; B–the hat of Cumaean Sibyl; C–the diadem and brooch of The Virgin Annunciate; D1–the decoration in Prophet Zachary; and D2, D3–the diadem and brooch in The Archangel Gabriel. (b) The corresponding SSim values. The central marks show the medians, the boxes indicate 25th and 75th percentiles, the extreme vertical lines (whiskers) are 1.5 times the interquartile range, and + marks denote the outliers. (c) Some cross-set and within-set SSim histograms.
example, C2 shows much higher within-set similarity than D1, even though D1 pearls are twice as large. This could be attributed to the position of D1 pearls (very high in the altarpiece, where they are less visible to viewers). Similarly, SSIm values are less consistent for B2 than for B1 of the Camaean Sibyl, in line with the fact that B2 pearls are smaller, as well as less densely/nearly arranged than the B1 pearls. Sets C1–C3 (from The Virgin Annunciata) and D2 and D3 (from The Archangel Gabriel) are on equally important places in the altarpiece: two outer panels in the middle row of the closed view; see Figure 1(b). Our analysis suggests that C3 pearls are more consistent than C1 and C2, which agrees with the fact that they are larger, more visible, and have a more central place in the panel. Furthermore, D2 pearls are almost twice as large as C1 (which appear in the same relative position in the other panel) and indeed give more consistent spatiograms. However, there are some interesting exceptions that deserve extra attention. D3 pearls seem less consistent than D2, even though they are larger and at a more visible place (closer to the viewer). It is still unclear whether this could be (partly) attributed to less careful execution, the state of varnish, possible retouching or overpaint, or simply to having a rather small set (three pearls).

It is also interesting to assess consistency of the painted pearls of similar sizes in different panels. Figure 10(c) shows cross-set SSIm for three different combinations of pearl sets in comparison to within-set SSIm for the same sets. The SSIm histograms show a high similarity between B1 and C3 sets, and much less between B2 and C2. This can be attributed to the fact that B2 and C2 pearls are relatively small. Moreover, B2 is a large set of pearls decorating a hat, and executing them more consistently would not change the overall visual impression much. Also, the cross-set similarity between A3 and D3 is much smaller than the similarity within A3, which is not surprising since A3 pearls are in the central panel of God the Father in the open view and are brimming with jewels and reflections, while the D3 ones are in the closed view, figuring different type of lighting, possibly executed by different hands within the workshop and have quite likely undergone different conservation treatments in the past.

CONCLUSIONS
Signal processing shows promise in helping in the decision-making process that is involved in a painting’s conservation and restoration. Our initial results show that analysis of crack patterns could indicate certain areas of overpaint, even though the processed crack maps still need to be interpreted by conservators using other examination techniques. State-of-the-art inpainting techniques still do not succeed in fully restoring the painted form at a level that would match the criteria of a conservator, but the interaction between the two communities provides already a crucial feedback for improving virtual inpainting techniques in this challenging application. The use of statistical analysis to assess the consistency of the painting style can be of interest for art-historical interpretation of the content. Our analysis of the consistency of the painted pearls in The Ghent Altarpiece points to some instances (specific painted objects) that might be of interest to art historians and conservators to examine in more detail.
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Undergraduate Students Compete in the IEEE Signal Processing Cup: Part 1

Signal processing is the key to success for the efficient storage, transmission, and manipulation of information. The liveliness of signal processing relies on having a large number of students who undertake this research and embark on this career path. Signal processing is part of the curriculum in many undergraduate engineering programs. Some of the students also do their capstone or final-year projects on signal processing. To increase students’ interest in signal processing and to get them to better appreciate its applications in real life, the IEEE Signal Processing Society (SPS) has created an undergraduate competition, referred to as the Signal Processing Cup (SP Cup) [1], which provides undergraduate students with an opportunity to form teams and work together to solve a challenging and interesting real-world problem using signal processing techniques and methods. The first competition was held at the International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP) 2014. Based on its success, it has now been instated as an annual event at ICASSP conferences.

This column will offer a series of articles that introduce the SP Cup, outline how graduate students are supported in the competition, how they apply their signal processing knowledge, what they have learned from the competition, and relay their feedback and experience. It is articulated into three parts, two of which will be published in upcoming issues of IEEE Signal Processing Magazine. In this issue, Part 1 introduces the SP Cup and the competition topics for the first and second editions. Parts 2 and 3 will describe the signal processing techniques used by the teams in the first and second competitions, respectively. We will describe how the students work together on their projects and present their feedback on key aspects of both competitions.

STUDENT TEAMS AND SUPPORT
To join the competition, undergraduate students are required to form a team. Each team must be composed of one faculty member (to supervise the team members), one graduate student (to assist the supervisor), and at least three but no more than ten undergraduate students. The teams can choose the programming language they prefer for the competition. As the competition is sponsored by MathWorks, each team that registers for the SP Cup is provided with complimentary software, including MATLAB and some selected toolboxes. The three top teams are selected to participate in the final competition at ICASSP, where the prizes are awarded. The teams are awarded prizes of US$5,000, US$2,500, and US$1,000 for first, second, and third places, respectively.

The three selected teams are also supported by the SPS with travel grants to attend the final competition at ICASSP. Each team member is offered up to US$1,200 for continental travel or US$1,700 for intercontinental travel. A maximum of three members per team are eligible for travel support. Furthermore, all attending members are given a complimentary registration so that they can also attend the conference, meet other researchers and professors, and experience the signal processing research world.

Through these SP Cup competitions, more undergraduate students can put their signal processing knowledge into practice in a real-world project. They will learn how to cooperate with other team members and develop their interest in signal processing research. The top teams also have the opportunity to expand their horizon by attending the largest signal processing conference—ICASSP. So far, two editions of the competition have been run, and both of the competition themes were proposed by the Bioimaging and Signal Processing Technical Committee of the IEEE SPS. In the first edition, students were challenged to enhance the resolution of a three-dimensional (3-D) model of macromolecular structures obtained by transmission electron microscopy and used in structural biology. Approximately 100 undergraduate students from all over the world registered for the competition and were grouped into 26 different teams. Finally, 12 teams submitted their work.

The theme for the second competition was “Heart Rate Monitoring During Physical Exercise Using Wrist-Type Photoplethysmographic (PPG) Signals.” About 270 undergraduate students split among 66 teams registered for the competition. Ultimately, 49 teams submitted their work. Three teams were selected to participate in the final competition at ICASSP 2015. The following sections will briefly introduce the two competition themes, and how they were designed for undergraduate students.

THE COMPETITION THEME OF THE FIRST EDITION
The first edition of the SP Cup offered students the opportunity to design an algorithm to improve the resolution of biological macromolecules as observed in a transmission electron microscope. The goal was to provide structural biologists with tools capable of better showing the atomic structure of proteins, which determine the physiological and pathological
behavior of our cells. By being able to analyze the 3-D structure of protein targets, pharmaceutical companies can design highly specific drugs that strongly interact with the target molecule of interest.

Students dived into the realm of image restoration, deconvolution, deblurring, denoising, superresolution, and sparse coding to produce state-of-the-art algorithms that allowed the resolution of the reconstructed volumes to increase by about 0.5 Å (an atom has a radius between 0.5 and 2 Å). This increase in resolution is another step forward in the scientific quest of being able of analyzing the structure and function of each of the pieces that comprises life.

THE COMPETITION THEME OF THE SECOND EDITION

In the second edition of the SP Cup, students were asked to design algorithms to estimate heart rate using PPG signals recorded from subjects’ wrists during physical exercise. These algorithms can potentially be used in smart watches and wristbands for health monitoring and fitness tracking.

The challenge in this task was to overcome strong interference caused by body movements in exercise to accurately estimate heart rate. A successful system architecture to solve this challenging problem generally consists of three components: motion-artifact removal, power spectrum estimation, and selection of spectral peaks corresponding to heart rate. Signal processing techniques for the first two components are generally taught in undergraduate signal processing courses, such as adaptive filtering for noise removal and nonparametric power spectrum estimation. For the third component, students have a lot of flexibility to design selection algorithms with full considerations to overcome various practical situations. In this competition, students can separately work on each of the parts. However, since the three components interplay with each other, students also need to collaborate in close relationship to design a successful system as a whole.

This competition not only provided an opportunity for students to solve an important practical signal processing problem tapping into their knowledge taught in class, but it also prepared them for entering related industries especially in the field of wearable health care.

COMPETITION RESULTS

The First SP Cup competition was held at ICASSP 2014 on 8 May. The judging panel found it difficult to rank the final placement. Based on the performances of the algorithms developed and the presentations made by the three selected teams (Figure 1), the final results were as follows:

- **First place**: EPOCH (Anik Khan, Forsad Al Hossain, Tawab Ullas, Md. Abu Rayhan, and Mohammad Ariful Haque) from Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology
- **Second place**: NtUeLS (Kai-Wen Liang, Yen-Chen Wu, Guan-Lin Chao, Kuan-Hao Huang, Shao-Hua Sun, Ming-Jen Yang, Po-Wen Hsiao, Ti-Fen Pan, Yi-Ching Chiu, Wei-Chih Tu, and Shao-Yi Chien) from National Taiwan University
- **Third place**: Uchihas (Emroz Khan, Sheekh Zia Uddin, Mukhlisur Rahman Tanvir, and Md. Kamrul Hasan) from Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology.

The second SP Cup competition was held during ICASSP 2015 on 20 April (Figure 2). The final results were as follows:

- **First place**: Signal Processing Crew Darmstadt (Alaa Alameer, Bastian Alt, Christian Sledz, Hauke Radtki, Maximilian Huettenuauch, Patrick Wenzel, Tim Schaeck, and Michael Muma) from Technische Universitaet Darmstadt
Second place: Supersignal (Sayeed Shafayet Chowdhury, Rakib Hyder, Anik Khan, Md. Samzid Bin Hafiz, Zahid Hasan, and Mohammad Ariful Haque) from Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology

Third place: SSU (Gyehyun Baek, Minkyu Jung, Hyunil Kang, Jungsub Lee, Baeksan Ohn, Sunho Kim, and Sungbin Im) from Soongsil University.

From the first to the second edition of the competition, the number of undergraduate students participating in the event has increased from about 100 to 270. We foresee that students will become increasingly aware of this competition, and more and more students will get involved.

FORTHCOMING COMPETITIONS

In Parts 2 and 3, we will describe the approaches developed by the students and interview some who participated. We will report their feedback and the secrets of their successes. The third edition of the SP Cup will be held at ICASSP 2016. The theme of the 2016 competition will be announced in September of this year. We hope that this series of columns will help undergraduate students who are interested in the future competitions to gain a solid understanding of the SP Cup and help them prepare for it.
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Image Analysis: The New Bottleneck in Plant Phenotyping

Plant phenotyping is the identification of effects on the phenotype $P = G \times E$ (i.e., the plant appearance and performance) as a result of genotype differences (i.e., differences in the genetic code) and the environmental conditions to which a plant has been exposed [1]–[3]. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, large-scale experiments in plant phenotyping are a key factor in meeting the agricultural needs of the future to feed the world and provide biomass for energy, while using less water, land, and fertilizer under a constantly changing environment due to climate change.

Working on model plants (such as Arabidopsis), combined with remarkable advances in genotyping, has revolutionized our understanding of biology but has accelerated the need for precision and automation in phenotyping, favoring approaches that provide quantifiable phenotypic information that could be better used to link and find associations in the genotype [4]. While early on, the collection of phenotypes was manual, currently noninvasive, imaging-based methods are increasingly being utilized [5], [6]. However, the rate at which phenotypes are extracted in the field or in the lab is not matching the speed of genotyping and is creating a bottleneck [1].

While the bottleneck was previously the equipment (the hardware), it is now the analysis (the software). There is a need to develop accurate, robust, and automated analysis algorithms that can extract phenotypic information from experiments on the small (cell) or large (field), in two or three dimensions, in the lab but more importantly in the field on real crops. These algorithms should be coupled with affordable platforms and should deal with an immense amount of data produced in these experiments. Experts (from biology as well as data analysis) now agree that the analysis of imaging data is currently the weakest, or even the missing, link due to the major challenges in computer vision and image processing we are currently facing.

Algorithms must deal with the aforementioned complexity, and the following sections describe unique challenges by illustrating typical applications. Clearly, the list of applications can never be complete, but we present some of the major themes.

**CELLS AND ORGANS: DETECTION, TRACKING, AND STRUCTURAL BREAKS**

One of the earliest forms of phenotyping where imaging-based setups were used is in the context of microscopy [2]. Plant tissue samples are excised and imaged under a microscope to reveal the cellular structure [cf. Figure 1(a)]. From an image processing perspective, the automated delineation of cell walls to establish cell morphology and cellular content is typically needed.

However, more interesting problems arise from the use of recent techniques such as confocal microscopy, optical projection tomography, and optical coherence microscopy, which permit the noninvasive quantification of cellular morphometry at a variety of scales and depths. These techniques enable the observation of plant tissue dynamics on a short (and long) timescale, therefore tracking problems arise. These become particularly challenging when cell genesis needs to be observed and quantified, since cell division and expansion impose high spatiotemporal fidelity requirements. From a computer vision perspective, this problem, which also occurs in other biomedical applications, entails the inference of time and location of when and where such events occur within the scene, a task radically different from the typical tracking of objects entering or leaving the scene.

Over the last decade, several controlled setups [see Figure 1(c)–(e)] have emerged...
that image top-down views of small rosette plants, e.g., Arabidopsis or young tobacco, acquiring either one plant per image or several plants at once [see Figure 1(f)–(g)], housed in so-called growth chambers, where environmental conditions are controlled. Even in this very restricted imaging scenario, fully automatic segmentation of single plants can be a challenge due to, e.g., background clutter from moss growing on the soil, plant-to-plant overlap, heavy contrast changes due to (self-)shadowing, leaf color changes due to stress (e.g., drought), different light conditions and pathogen infections, and plant shape or size variation due to genotypic differences (cultivars or mutants) and treatments.

Segmenting single leaves is a typical multi-instance segmentation task [see Figure 1(g) and (h)]; however, even though all the objects share a wide range of features (e.g., they are mostly green with similar brightness distributions), they show rich variations. Leaves differ in size over several orders of magnitude, introducing a structural break due to resolution limitations, and algorithms need to deal with leaves emerging in the scene.

In addition, leaves vary in shape, and while they do share a certain basic shape, they overlap, bend, and vary in pose. Even for the same species, leaves may differ substantially, as leaf shape, size, color, and overall appearance of a plant depend on the genotype (e.g., there are thousands of mutants available for Arabidopsis alone), environmental factors (drought, low or high light, and temperature), and the age of each leaf. Readily apparent approaches based on learning shape from a labeled data set reveal their limitations when having to deal with such shape diversity and different acquisition conditions. While counting and segmenting leaves from such images can be simple for a human, no automated algorithmic solution is yet available that comes close to human performance.

WHOLE PLANTS:
ANATOMICALLY CORRECT 3-D GEOMETRIC MODELING

For larger plants, reconstruction from a single image and viewpoint is not sufficient. Most approaches aim at obtaining an as complete three-dimensional (3-D) shape reconstruction as possible, geometrically
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**[FIG1]** An example of plant phenotyping setups and images. (a) At the smallest scale, microscopy can image cells. (b)–(d) Movable imaging setups [15] or a (e) single overview camera setup can be used to image (f) many plants or (g) single plants, where (h) leaf segmentation is a sought-after outcome yielding growth measurements. Roots can be imaged in (i) rhizotrons [15], requiring (j) delineation. (k)–(l) Optical flow tracking [15] can measure finer leaf level growth. Airborne vehicles, e.g., (m) drones, can provide information on fields, e.g., (n) hyperspectral images [15]. (a) is adapted from [7] and reproduced by permission of Elsevier. (b), (c), and (m) are courtesy of Alexander Putz, (i) and (j) are courtesy of Kerstin Nagel, and (n) is courtesy of Uwe Rascher.]
modeling the overall above-ground part of a plant, i.e., the shoot. However, details of parts are also investigated, such as grains on an ear (e.g., of corn), berries on wine grapes, flower development, etc. Imaging becomes more and more automated using conveyor-belt or robotized systems [see Figure 1(b)–(d)], allowing high throughput with thousands of plants. Automation of image analysis is then a must.

A variety of 3-D measuring strategies is currently being investigated, e.g., correspondence-based triangulation methods, silhouette-based carving, time-of-flight cameras, or light detection and ranging laser scanning (see [8] for a comprehensive overview). Setups are usually tailored to a particular species and conditions. This is, for example, due to size and image resolution constraints, or self-occlusion and self-similarity hampering triangulation.

A major challenge for all 3-D measuring methods is plant motion during acquisition. Time delays due to scanning or sequential image acquisition lead to notable geometric distortions, especially for outdoor measurements with wind. The data then cannot be described by a static model and all current approaches doing so fail one way or another.

From the 3-D data, quantitative information about plant traits need to be extracted. Simple summary traits, such as covered volume or plant height, could be estimated from images alone without 3-D reconstruction. But organ-wise traits, e.g., accurate leaf size or branching angle, require interpretation of 3-D data and plant part models. Simple models are used today (e.g., fitting two-dimensional surfaces to patches and merging them), but for most species new anatomically correct models are required.

### WHOLE PLANTS BELOW GROUND: CLUTTERED IMAGES OF ROOTS

It is not possible to look through soil with the naked eye. Thus, classical root system analysis is invasive, meaning that plants are dug out and the roots washed and imaged. Usual image analysis then applies threshold-based segmentation, connected component labeling, and skeletonization, followed by estimation of traits such as overall graph length, branching angles, and others. All solutions available to date have only limited effectiveness when root systems are heavily entangled. Obviously, no time-series analysis can be performed when plants are dug out.

In soil, roots can be imaged noninvasively using so-called rhizotrons [9], i.e., flat pots with large vertical windows, such that parts of the roots visibly grow along the window [see Figure 1(i) and (j)]. In dark soil and at high spatial resolution, segmentation of bright roots may be done with solutions developed, e.g., for angiograms in medicine; but under realistic conditions this is difficult: even with high-resolution cameras (in the 30 mega-pixel range) fine roots may be only few pixels wide, blurred and with poor contrast to the surrounding soil. Many current segmentation solutions are slow or even break down when applied to such large images. Thus, computational efficiency is an issue. In addition, windows can get scratched by frequent use and soil contains all sorts of clutter. To date, reliable segmentation of such images can only be done semiautomatically, requiring user assistance. Even learning-based methodologies yield unimpressive results, which point to the need for finding (or learning) better feature representations.

Using penetrating radiation or modalities such as MRI, PET, and X-ray computed tomography, roots can be imaged in soil in 3-D, where different imaging techniques yield complementary contrast information and metabolic function (e.g., with PET). Challenges are similar to medical applications including proper (co)registration of time series of deforming objects of potentially different modalities, disentangling objects, measuring geometric traits, etc. However, artifacts and structures are different.

### ADDING DYNAMICS: TRACKING, FLOW, AND GROWTH ESTIMATION

For many plant traits, temporal dynamics are of high relevance. Growth analyses on the local tissue level are typically performed on image sequences with frame rates in the range of one per minute. A long-established technique restricts the leaf of interest to a plane by pulling it flat and images it using a single camera. Growth is then calculated as divergence of an estimated optical flow field. Unfortunately, with this simple engineering solution, gene expression analyses have shown that “tension-stress genes” are turned on during such experiments, and thus the observed growth may be influenced on the molecular level. For non-fixed leaves moving in 3-D, calculating scene flow from multicamera “light-field” image sequences has been investigated [see Figure 1(k) and (l)]. This allows precise translation and rotation field estimation. Local growth can also be estimated from divergence, however, signal-to-noise-ratio is relatively poor. To date, no reliable local growth measuring technique without fixing leaves is available.

When aiming for growth analysis (in terms of summary growth over an organ), segmentation or reconstruction techniques as described earlier are needed. For simple plant architectures, e.g., young tobacco with up to eight leaves, leaf-wise tracking in temporally sufficiently high-resolved data sets has been demonstrated [10]. No reliable method for leaf-wise tracking has been reported in the literature so far for when time intervals become larger, or plant complexity is higher.

### THE GREENHOUSE, FIELD, AND FARM: MORE VARIABILITY

While experiments in the laboratory do advance our knowledge of biological systems and their functioning, ultimately phenotyping must translate the knowledge to the society and stakeholders, such as breeders and farmers [3]. Phenotyping investigations must then be conducted under “real” (or realistic) conditions in the greenhouse or field, on crops that carry agricultural importance, such as corn, wheat, rice, barley, etc. [11].

Starting with the greenhouse, automated systems that are able to water and image plants, either move the plant to the imaging station or move the imaging apparatus to the plants. Independent of setting, any positioning differences, either of the camera or the plant, radically complicate the process of establishing temporal correspondences between consecutive measurements. Taking the imaging apparatus
outside and in the field introduces additional challenges. Several approaches exist that mount sensors on specialized carriers: human-controlled tractors or other ground vehicles, or in the air with unmanned aerial vehicles [Figure 1(m)] operated either remotely or in an automated fashion. Image data differ tremendously in resolution, detail, motion blur, or clutter, severely affecting subsequent analysis tasks, thus, more robust algorithms are necessary. Computational efficiency is an issue, as the amount of imaging data produced is enormous [cf. Figure 1(n)], and analysis tasks can be significantly complex. Efforts in directly using analysis results for cultivation practices are the central theme in precision agriculture [3], which aims at tailoring treatment at the individual plant level. Thus, computer vision becomes crucial in supporting the whole process and evidently there is now the additional challenge of identifying low-complexity approaches to robust vision.

**AFFORDABILITY: COPING WITH RESTRICTIONS**

Currently, most versatile solutions are expensive, and many labs instead develop highly customized (hardware and image analysis) solutions tailored to their experimental setting that are capable of addressing only specific phenotyping problems. Even when they are affordable, this variability in methods and setups creates standardization problems.

The use of off-the-shelf commercial equipment (such as commercial cameras [12] or the Kinect [5]) could facilitate standardization across experiments, lower the entry barrier, offer affordable solutions, and help many labs adopt the image-based approach to plant phenotyping.

Our recent project [16] aims to provide a universal turnkey and modular platform based on a distributed sensing and analysis framework [13], as shown in Figure 2. This distributed approach presents several key advantages. Affordable and easy-to-install sensors can be deployed in laboratories (growth chambers), the greenhouse, or the field to cover wide areas, before resorting to more costly and complex solutions based on robotics and automation. It is easy to become accustomed to a cloud-based storage and analysis application that is always up to date. It relieves users from maintaining a computing infrastructure and, importantly, it also permits consistency in experiments among different labs by standardizing equipment and analysis.

This centralized design, particularly when combined with an open architecture, can benefit the entire community, providing a modular and expandable architecture (by changing or adding new camera sensors), favoring software reuse (e.g., user-contributed algorithms can be adopted by other labs), and knowledge sharing (e.g., a common repository of acquired data and meta-data, and also the analysis application itself learning on the user’s feedback).

Affordability and remote processing, however, pose technical challenges. The choice of optics and the fixed field of view restrict the quality (in resolution and sharpness) of the acquired images and the plants this setup can image (e.g., it may not be suitable for not coplanar plants). An affordable sensor will have limited computational power and knowledge access, thus, it requires low-complexity algorithms to perform some of the tasks outlined in previous sections, and as such remote processing is necessary. Then the transmission of (possibly) large volumes of image data necessitates compression to meet bandwidth constraints. While this loss of information will affect the accuracy of the analysis algorithm, recent advances in application-aware compression can tune compression parameters to meet analysis accuracy needs [13], [14].

From a software engineering perspective, backward compatibility of the analysis framework and of the computational backbone has to be ensured, such that experimental protocols and results obtained previously remain valid.

![Diagram](image-url)

**FIG2** (a) Affordable camera sensors (e.g., based on the Raspberry Pi [17]) acquire time-lapse sequences of the scene, including one or multiple plants. (b) Images are compressed and transmitted to the cloud, where high computational power and a broad knowledge base enable sophisticated computer vision tasks (e.g., leaf segmentation and tracking, optical flow analysis). Additionally, information is fed back to the sensor. Relying on Web-based graphical user interfaces, (c) phenotyping results are presented to the user for interpretation.
A TIMELY AND UNIQUE CHALLENGE

A quantitative description of plant phenotypes is a key ingredient for a knowledge-based bioeconomy, and this not only literally helps in the efforts to feed the world but is also essential for fiber and fuel production, the so-called Green Revolution 2.0. In fact, comparing the “Top 10 list of Emerging Technologies” in 2012 according to the world economic forum, the top 1, 2, 3, and 5 technologies are directly addressed by plant phenotyping research [18]. Recently, we have even witnessed direct investments in helping the translation of agricultural technology in farming. For example, Farm2050 [19] includes the information extraction powerhouse Google and drone company 3-D Robotics among its partners.

There is not only growing interest from the application side, both scientifically and commercially, but exciting computer vision and image processing problems exist that differ from other biomedical applications. While medicine focuses on the status of a single species (i.e., humans) in a diagnostic capacity, plant phenotyping addresses a large number of different plant species with hundreds to thousands of genotypes (cultivars) per species, usually in group-wise experiments. It addresses the development over time in addition to static snapshots and under a wide range of environmental conditions, using various imaging setups (as opposed to medical imaging where predefined protocols are in place and equipment variability is relatively limited). Thus, even within a single application, diverse conditions need to be addressed, to ascertain a robust image-based measurement of the phenotypic trait. Plant phenotyping at a high throughput requires reliable image processing algorithms that could batch process many data accurately, and an integration with genetic databases and other frameworks.

The previous sections outlined a series of challenges (e.g., dealing with structural breaks in tracking/detection), for which our community can get involved. In this article, although we focus on extracting information from images, data mining and combing the information from genotyping, environmental, and phenotyping sources are by themselves a big undertaking as well. Jointly, we must make the effort to solve these problems and push the envelope further, and by including the resources in Table 1, we hope to help facilitate this. We need to cooperate with different disciplines to integrate expertise across the spectrum and provide biologically or agronomically meaningful and technologically robust solutions [3], [7] to help resolve this bottleneck.
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**TABLE 1** GET INVOLVED: A COLLECTION OF ONLINE RESOURCES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPECIALIZED SCIENTIFIC EVENTS</td>
<td>THE FIRST AND SECOND WORKSHOP FOR COMPUTER VISION PROBLEMS IN PLANT PHENOTYPING, ALSO HOSTING CHALLENGES SUCH AS THE LEAF SEGMENTATION CHALLENGE (LSC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAMPS [22]</td>
<td>INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM INVOLVING SEED INDUSTRY, BREEDING INSTITUTES, AND ACADEMIC BREEDING GROUPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHENODAYS [23]</td>
<td>INTERNATIONAL PLANT PHENOTYPING SYMPOSIUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPPS [24]</td>
<td>INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON PRECISION AGRICULTURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPCA [25]</td>
<td>IMAGE DATABASES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSC CHALLENGE [26]</td>
<td>IMAGES AND LEAF-BASED SEGMENTATION MASKS AS PART OF THE FIRST LSC CHALLENGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAIZEGOB [27]</td>
<td>IMAGES OF MAIZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWFD [28]</td>
<td>THE CROWPWEED FIELD IMAGE DATA SET (CWFD) CONTAINS IMAGES WITH CROWPWEED DELINEATIONS FOR A CLASSIFICATION TASK IN PRECISION AGRICULTURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHENOPSIS DB [29]</td>
<td>ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA PHENOTYPING DATABASE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSORTIA AND ORGANIZATIONS</td>
<td>IMPLANT COLLABORATIVE [30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPPN [31]</td>
<td>INTERNATIONAL PLANT PHENOTYPING NETWORK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPPN [32]</td>
<td>EUROPEAN PLANT PHENOTYPING NETWORK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPSO [33]</td>
<td>EUROPEAN PLANT SCIENCE ORGANISATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FESPB [34]</td>
<td>FEDERATION OF EUROPEAN SOCIETIES OF PLANT BIOLOGY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE RAS [35]</td>
<td>AGRICULTURAL ROBOTICS AND AUTOMATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISPA [36]</td>
<td>INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY OF PRECISION AGRICULTURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-AGRICULTURE [37]</td>
<td>ICT FOR SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA [38]</td>
<td>BOTANICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA, LISTING FURTHER PLANT SOCIETIES AND ORGANIZATIONS [39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOFTWARE DATABASES</td>
<td>PLANT IMAGE ANALYSIS [40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THIS DATABASE CURRENTLY PROVIDES A COLLECTION OF APPROXIMATELY 120 ANALYSIS TOOLS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REFERENCES
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[40] [Online]. Available: http://www.plant-image-analysis.org
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Understanding Game Theory via Wireless Power Control

In this lecture note, we introduce the basic concepts of game theory (GT), a branch of mathematics traditionally studied and applied in the areas of economics, political science, and biology, which has emerged in the last 15 years as an effective framework for communications, networking, and signal processing (SP). The real catalyst has been all of the blooming issues related to distributed networks in which the nodes can be modeled as players in a game competing for system resources. Some relevant notions of GT are introduced by elaborating on a simple application in the context of wireless communications, notably the power control in an interference channel (IC) with two transmitters and two receivers.

RELEVANCE
Recently, the mathematical tools of GT [1] have attracted a significant interest by the wireless communications and SP engineering communities [2, Part II] due to the need for designing autonomous, distributed, and flexible systems in which the available resources are allocated through low-complexity and scalable procedures. Games are appealing, owing to some characteristics that are not common in classical optimization: as an example, GT can handle interactive situations in which each player can only have a partial control over the optimization variables while using its own performance metric. It is true that commonalities can be found with other disciplines, such as multiobjective optimization [3], convex optimization [4], and learning theory [5], but GT possesses many distinguishing features that make it essential for the standard current toolbox of communication as well as SP engineers.

PREREQUISITES
The readers require basic knowledge in linear algebra, wireless communications, and signal processing theory.

WHAT IS A GAME?
To take advantage of GT and its associated theoretical tools, the first step is to model the problem at hand as a game. In doing so, three ingredients must be identified:

- players who represent the main actors in the problem, having conflicting interests and affecting the performance of everyone else in the game
- a set of strategies available to each player that determines what each player can do
- a utility function for each player that measures its degree of satisfaction as a function of the combination of all player’s choices.

This description may encompass a large number of situations: to mention a few examples, players in a game can be base stations (BSs) allocating the resources in a cellular network to increase the system throughput, or watermarking devices choosing algorithms to face potential attackers.

The objective of the modeling effort is to describe the game using its strategic-form representation: a triplet \( \langle K, \{S_i\}_{i \in K}, \{u_i\}_{i \in K} \rangle \), where

\[ K = \{1, \ldots, K\} \]

is the set of players, where \( K \) is the number of players; \( S_i \) is the set of strategies for each player \( k \); and \( u_i(s) \) is the utility function (also known as reward or payoff) associated to player \( k \) for a combination of choices \( s = [s_1, \ldots, s_K] = [s_k, s_{-k}] \), where \( s_{-k} = [s_1, \ldots, s_{k-1}, s_{k+1}, \ldots, s_K] \) denotes the strategies taken by all other players except player \( k \) (the opponents).

In general, the game outcome \( u_i(s) \) for player \( k \) depends on all players’ choices through \( s \), which stems out from the interaction of the players with possibly conflicting interests. This brings forth a couple of distinguishing features of GT:

- each player \( k \) can have a different performance metric; this feature is captured by a per-player specific function \( u_i(s) \), which accounts for the player’s nature
- each player \( k \) has partial control \( (s_k \in S_k \) only) over the optimization variables.

The first property is strictly tied with multiobjective optimization [3], although a clear difference exists in the scope of the optimization variables, as in multiobjective optimization we have full control over all variables. The second property is tightly related to the framework of distributed optimization [4], with which it shares many intersections, although there are specific differences: one of the most important is that, while in distributed optimization the agents follow some common given rules, in GT the players act as independent decision makers.

THE NEAR–FAR EFFECT GAME
To picture the meaning of the strategic-form representation, let us consider an example taken from a very common wireless communications scenario: the IC, represented in Figure 1(a), in which the two transmitters interfere with each other in the attempt to reach their own receiver. This simple scheme encompasses many scenarios: it can be used to model
a multicellular system with red and blue nodes belonging to two different cells
- a heterogeneous network, where the red and blue nodes belong to a macro-cell and a small cell, respectively
- a cognitive radio system, where the red and blue nodes are primary users (PUs) and secondary users (SUs), respectively
- a device-to-device system, where the receivers are also network nodes.

Using GT, we can model the problem at hand in a suitable manner and provide the theoretical tools to solve it. In this case, solving means devising the optimal transmission strategy to be selected by the two wireless terminals of Figure 1(a). In particular, we assume that the two nodes are allowed either to transmit at a certain power level \( p \) or to stay idle. This situation can be modeled as a game, with \( K = 2 \) players and strategy sets \( S_k = \{0, p\} \) for \( k \in K = \{1, 2\} \). For simplicity, we also assume that the two terminals choose their strategies simultaneously (i.e., without being informed of the other's choice) once and for all (i.e., they cannot make any changes after observing the outcome of the game)—in GT parlance, we call this a static game. Finally, since players and strategy sets are both countable, the game is termed finite.

As depicted in Figure 1(a), player 1 (the far terminal) is located much farther away from both receivers than player 2 (the near terminal). To describe this situation in a mathematical fashion, we introduce the power gains \( h_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) experienced by terminal \( k \)'s signal when propagating to receiver \( j \). For simplicity, let us assume \( h_{jk} = h_k \) for \( j = 1, 2 \) with \( h_1/h_2 \ll 1 \) (we will better quantify this ratio at the end of this section), thereby giving rise to the so-called near–far problem.

We now need to define a utility function, and to do so we consider that each terminal achieves a degree of satisfaction that depends both on the success of its transmission and on the energy spent to transmit at power \( s_k \). Mathematically, this translates into a (dimensionless) utility \( u_k(s) = t_k(s) - c_k(s) \), where \( t_k(s) \) accounts for the outcome of the transmission and \( c_k(s) \) measures the cost associated with using \( s_k \). We assume that the cost scales linearly with the transmit power, and that it is independent of the other terminal's strategy: \( c_k(s) = c_k/p \). Measuring \( t_k(s) \) is more complicated, as it has to capture the interaction between the players as a function of the selected strategies \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \). In practice, successful reception of a signal in a multiple-access scenario (such as the one considered here), be it in the time, frequency, space, or code domain, depends on the signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR) \( \gamma_k \), which measures the ratio of the useful received signal power to the amount of undesired power collected at the receiver. Under the assumption of additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) with power \( \sigma^2 \), we get

\[
\gamma_k(s) = \frac{Th_k s_k}{\sigma^2 + h_{kk} s_k} = \mu_k(s) s_k \geq 0,
\]

where \( h_k = h_k \) for \( j = 1, 2 \), \( \gamma_k = 1 \) if \( k = 2 \), and \( \Gamma \geq 1 \) is the processing gain, which depends on the multiple access technology and the receiver processing. For the time being, let us assume the transmission to be successful if and only if \( \gamma_k \geq \gamma_{\text{min}} \), where the minimum SINR \( \gamma_{\text{min}} \) depends on some system parameters. So, when \( \gamma_k < \gamma_{\text{min}} \) the transmitted message cannot be decoded at receiver \( k \), and \( t_k(s) = 0 \). On the contrary, when \( \gamma_k \geq \gamma_{\text{min}} \), receiver \( k \) can correctly receive the information associated to user \( k \)'s signal, and \( t_k(s) = t \), where \( t \) is a dimensionless parameter that accounts for the throughput achieved at destination. To properly capture the cost–benefit analysis that regulates any practical wireless system, it makes sense to assume \( t \gg c \).

A profitable way to investigate finite static games in their strategic form, such as our NFE game, is through the so-called payoff matrix [Figure 1(b)] in which player 1's strategies are identified by the rows, player 2's strategies by the columns, and the entries of the matrix (the pair of numbers in the box) represent the utilities \( (u_1(s), u_2(s)) \) achieved by the players. Under the assumptions that \( p = \sigma^2 \gamma_{\text{min}}/(h_1 \Gamma) \) and \( (h_1/h_2) < 1/(1 + \gamma_{\text{min}} \Gamma) \) (see [6] for more details), it is easy to fill out each box of the matrix based on the hypotheses listed above.

Once the game is in its strategic form, we have to solve it, i.e., to predict its outcome. In the NFE game, we assume that both players
- are rational
- only control their own strategies
- know each other's payoff.

The first assumption means that each player is a utility-maximizer decision maker. The second hypothesis casts this problem as a noncooperative game in which the players compete unilaterally maximize \( u_i(s) \). Finally, the third hypothesis involves the concept of complete information that each player has about the game. By inspecting the payoff matrix in Figure 1(b), it is apparent that player 2's best strategy is represented by \( s_2^* = p \) whatever \( s_1 \) is, since \( t - c > 0 \) under the assumption \( t > c \). For this reason, the strategy \( s_2 = 0 \) is said to be strictly dominated by \( s_2 = p \), as \( u_2(\{s_1, 0\}) < u_2(\{s_1, p\}) \) \( \forall s_1 \in S_1 \). This is known to player 1 as well, who rationally chooses to play \( s_1^* = 0 \). As a conclusion, the predictable outcome of the NFE game is \( s^* = [s_1^*, s_2^*] = [0, p] \), as...
highlighted by the shaded box in Figure 1(b). In GT parlance, this game has been solved by applying the iterated elimination of dominated strategies, or iterated dominance for short [1, Ch. 1].

**THE IC GAME**

Let us now slightly modify the scenario represented in Figure 1(a). Assume, for example, that player 1 is moved closer to its receiver, such that the distance between player 1 and both receivers becomes the same as the distance between player 2 and both receivers. For simplicity, let us also suppose that for both players, representing two stable states, where stable here means that such states are attained by some multiple agents with conflicting interests that compete through self-optimization, and they eventually reach a point where none of them has any incentive from which to unilaterally deviate.

A point that possesses such properties is termed a *Nash equilibrium (NE)* of the game, which is defined as a strategy profile \( s^* = (s^*_1, s^*_2) \) such that, for all \( k \in \mathcal{K} \),

\[
\forall s_k \in S_k, \quad u_k((s^*_1, s^*_2)) \geq u_k((s^*_1, s_k))
\]

or, equivalently, \( s^*_k \in b_k(s^*_k) \). As an exercise, check that \( s^* = [0, p] \) is the unique NE of the NFE game.

The notion of NE encompasses many interpretations of GT (not discussed here for brevity) that interested readers can find in many textbooks (e.g., [7, Ch. 1]). Modeling the players as self-optimizing decision makers finds a suitable application especially in the context of SP in which the devices can be programmed to do so. Since each player has only a partial control of the game, the concept of NE is tightly coupled with the application of distributed algorithms and machine-learning techniques [7, Part III].

Due to space constraints in this lecture note, we will not discuss theorems on equilibrium existence [1, Ch. 1], which establish the existence of the NE in particular classes of games, and on equilibrium uniqueness [2, Ch. 3]. When uniqueness cannot be ensured, like in the case of the IC game, we face the problem of equilibrium selection. One solution to this issue is the concept of correlated equilibrium (CE) [1, Ch. 2], a generalization of the NE, where an arbitrator helps the players to correlate their strategies, so as to favor a decision process in the interplay, e.g., letting them adopt \( s^* = [p, 0] \).

**INTRODUCING CONTINUOUS POWERS**

The solutions of NFE and IC games, in which at most one terminal can successfully transmit, directly stem out of choosing a binary strategy set \( S_k = \{0, p\} \) for both players. Let us see what happens if any power level in the continuous interval \([0, p]\) can be selected. This amounts to setting \( S_k = \{s_k \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq s_k \leq p\} \). Within this setting, the power control problem can be studied as a continuous game [7, Ch. 2]. In our attempt to get closer to a realistic scenario, let us also modify the utilities to better model how real data networks work in practice. A good approximation for the effective throughput in a packet-oriented transmission is \( T(s) = t(1 - \exp(-\gamma(s)))^f \) [8], whose behavior is depicted in Figure 3. In our expression, \( L \) denotes the number of information bits per packet (here, \( L = 20 \)), and \( t \) is the...
communication rate (in bits/second). To properly capture the tradeoff between obtaining a satisfactory throughput and saving transmit power—similar to what is considered for the NFE and IC games—we will adopt a “green” approach based on improving each player’s energy efficiency [8]. This can be done by defining player k’s utility as the ratio between throughput and power expenditure, thus accounting for the number of bits correctly delivered per joule of energy consumed:

\[ u_k(s) = t_k(s)/s_k \quad [b/J], \]

whose normalized behavior is reported (blue line, right axis) in Figure 3, with \( \mu_k(s) \) defined as in (1).

Using straightforward manipulation, player k’s BR (2) turns out to be

\[ b_k(s_i) = \min(p, \gamma_k^*/\mu_k(s_i)), \]

where \( \gamma_k^* \) is the “optimal” SINR such that

\[ \partial t_k(s_i)/\partial \gamma_k^*(s_i) \mid_{s_i=0} = \frac{1}{\gamma_k^*} t_k(s_i) \mid_{s_i=0}. \]

For instance, when \( L = 20 \), we get \( \gamma_k^* = 4.5 \) for \( k = 1, 2 \) (see Figure 3). Based on such BR, the continuous IC game presents a unique NE, represented by the fixed point \( s_k^* = b_k(s_k^*) \) for \( k = 1, 2 \).

How can the NE be “visualized”? Let us consider a particular realization of the network sketched in Figure 1(a), with the following parameters:

\[ h_{11}=0.75, h_{21}=0.25, h_{12}=0.5, h_{22}=1; \quad \Gamma = 4; \quad p/\sigma^2 = 5; \quad L = 20. \]

The MATLAB code for all examples presented in this lecture note is available for download in [9]. The solution of this game is given by

\[ u_k(s) = \frac{t_k(s)}{s_k} \]

The normalized utilities for all achievable states are the shadowed region, for any strategy profile \( s \in S_1 \times S_2 \) (the utility plan can be found via a numerical search using [9]). Note that \( s^*_k > s_k^* \), and \( u_1(s^*) < u_2(s^*) \); this is due to the better channel conditions experienced by player 2 (both in the direct and the interference links), that make it achieve the optimal SINR \( \gamma_k^* \) with a lower power consumption than player 1. However, unlike the finite version of the NFE game, where \( s^*_k = 0 \) (and thus \( t_1(s^*_k) = 0 \)), now player 1 can successfully connect to its receiver, getting a throughput \( t_1(s^*_k) \approx 0.8 t \) (the same as \( t_2(s^*) \)), at the cost of a slightly higher power consumption \( (s^*_k/s^*_k) \), and thus with a lower energy efficiency.

**IS THE NE EFFICIENT?**

A natural question that arises regards the actual efficiency, or, the performance, of the NE. Is the NE efficient? To address this question, we first need to agree upon our performance metric. In GT, a convenient way to assess how desirable a solution is involves the concept of efficiency, evaluated in terms of Pareto optimality. A profile \( s^* \) is Pareto-optimal (PO) if there exists no other s such that 1) \( u_k(s) \geq u_k(s^*) \) for all \( k \in K \), and 2) \( u_k(s) > u_k(s^*) \) for some \( k \in K \). In our continuous IC game, the performance achieved by the PO profile set is represented by the contour of the shaded area in Figure 4, which is called the Pareto frontier. Clearly, if we increase \( u_1(s) \) (i.e., if we move rightward along \( x \)), then \( u_2(s) \) decreases, and the same happens if we increase \( u_2(s) \) (by moving upward along \( y \)). Still, Pareto optimality does not qualify as our performance metric.

We have to further introduce the notion of social welfare (SW), that is often used as a convenient measure for the efficiency of a strategy vector [7, Ch. 2]. Formally, the social-optimal (SO) profile \( \tilde{s} \) is the PO profile that maximizes the SW, defined as the weighted sum-utility

\[ \sum_{k \in K} w_k u_k(s), \]

where the weights \( (w_1, w_2) \) allow us to account for different classes of service: as an example, unequal weights can be useful to model PUs (higher \( w_1 \)) and SUs (lower \( w_2 \)) in a cognitive network. In our two-player game, we can identify \( \tilde{s} \) as the tangent point between the Pareto frontier and a line with slope \(-w_1/w_2\). As an example, if we consider \( w_1 = w_2 = 0.5 \) (i.e., if the two players have the same priority), \( \tilde{s}/\sigma^2 = [2.20, 1.55] \), thus yielding \( (\sigma^2/t)u_1(\tilde{s}) = 0.278 \) and \( (\sigma^2/t)u_2(\tilde{s}) = 0.446 \) (Figure 4).

We have zoomed in on a section of Figure 4 (see inset) to show that the NE \( s^* \) is socially inefficient since its performance is distinct from (more specifically, poorer than) that achieved by \( \tilde{s} \). In general, \( \tilde{s} \) cannot be achieved by distributed algorithms, rather, it is the result of a global optimization and, in our case, it turns out to be unbalanced toward player 2 (the one with better channel conditions): this is...
modifying the utility functions

letting the players interact more than once

letting the players cooperate.

**PRICING THE STRATEGIES**

The simplest method to improve the efficiency of the NE while maintaining the game structure is by modifying the utility function. This can be done, for instance, by introducing some form of externality. This approach is, in spirit, close to mechanism design [1, Ch. 7]. For the power control games studied so far, one might think of charging the players for the powers they consume by introducing a pricing factor $\alpha$ (in $\text{bJ/}$-W): $u_k(s) = u_k(s) - \alpha s_k = t_k(s)/s_k - \alpha s_k$. [10]. The rationale behind this approach is the following: if each transmitter is discouraged from being aggressive (due to power taxation), the multiple access externality. This approach is, in spirit, represented by the black asterisk, coincides with the SW, under the assumption $\delta \geq \delta^*$. As a drawback, players must have knowledge of $s_k$; this only occurs if each player $k$ knows all channel gains $h_{kj}$. $a \in \mathbb{R}$, which might not be viable for all scenarios (e.g., in a cognitive network). Repeated games are a subclass of dynamic games, which are often used in SP problems to account for time evolution (see [7, Ch. 3] for more details).

**REPEATING THE GAME**

The inefficiency of the NE is mainly due to the selfish behavior (in the sense of self-optimization) of the players. An effective method to induce cooperation—while maintaining the noncooperative nature of the interaction—is forcing the players to interact more than once. A typical example of this approach is a repeated game, in which a static game is repeated $N$ times. For instance, assume that the two transmitters of Figure 1(a) interact a number of times $N$. At each stage $n$, each time selecting their optimal transmit powers $s_k(n)$, where $n$ is the time index [11]. When introducing the notion of time, each strategy set $S_k$ becomes a complete plan of actions that depends on the unfolding of the game through time.

Similarly, the utility functions must account for 1) the partial utilities $u_k(s(n)) = t_k(s(n))/s_k(n)$ received at each stage $n$ of the game, with $s(n)$ denoting the profile selected by the players at time $n$, and 2) how much past utilities should be weighted (i.e., decay) compared to present utilities. A simple example is the exponential decay, where the utility at time $n$ is weighted by the factor $\delta^n$, $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$, and the total utility after $N$ repetitions of the game is $u_k^{(N)}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \delta^n u_k(s(n))$. By letting $N \to \infty$, we further define a normalized utility $u_k(s) = (1-\delta)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta^n u_k(s(n))$ [11]. The parameter $\delta$ is the so-called discount factor, and its meaning is borrowed from microeconomics: a payoff received at the present time $n$ is larger by a factor $1/\delta$ than the payoff of the next stage, and smaller by a factor $\delta$ than that of the previous one. This means that, if players are patient (in the SP and communications context, delay tolerant), $\delta$ is typically close to 1. Conversely, if players are impatient (i.e., delay sensitive), $\delta$ is typically close to 0.

Extending the concept of NE to repeated games [1, Ch. 5], we can show that the optimal strategy $s^* = \left\{ s^*(n) \right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is for both players to select $s^*_k(n) = \hat{s}_k$ if $(s^*_k(n+1) - s_k) > 0$, and $s^*_k(n+1) = \hat{s}_k$. Otherwise, $s^*_k(n+1) = 0$, where $\hat{s}_k$ and $\hat{s}$ are the SO and NE points, respectively, provided that $\delta \geq \delta^*$ (i.e., if they are delay-tolerant enough), where $\delta^*$ is a function of the network parameters [11].

In other words, in the repeated IC game, cooperation is enforced by letting the players interact an indefinite number of times: this is successful due to threatening future punishments for the player(s) who defect.

The effectiveness of this approach is apparent in Figure 4, where the performance of $s^*$, represented by the black asterisk, coincides with the SW, under the assumption $\delta \geq \delta^*$. As a drawback, players must have knowledge of $s_k$; this only occurs if each player $k$ knows all channel gains $h_{kj}$. $a \in \mathbb{R}$, which might not be viable for all scenarios (e.g., in a cognitive network). Repeated games are a subclass of dynamic games, which are often used in SP problems to account for time evolution (see [7, Ch. 3] for more details).

**INTRODUCING COOPERATION AMONG THE PLAYERS**

In the techniques considered so far, we have focused on improving the efficiency of the solution without considering any issues of fairness. In the aforementioned example, the SW is obtained by favoring player 2 to the detriment of player 1’s performance, as is apparent in the inset of Figure 4, where the SW is far away from the projection of the NE over the Pareto frontier—obtained by intersecting it with the “fair” line with slope 1 and passing through $(u_1(s^*), u_2(s^*))$. We can balance efficiency and fairness by explicitly introducing cooperation among the players, assuming some explicit exchange of information. The fundamental difference of a cooperative approach is that, while in the games assumed so far, cooperation can only be induced as the result of matching it with self-optimization (i.e., unilateral deviations are not beneficial anyway), now that the players are willing to cooperate, as they know that they can mutually benefit from reaching an agreement. In GT parlance, this is called a bargaining problem [2, Ch. 7], whose analytical tools are tightly related to...
SP techniques, such as consensus algorithms [12].

Consider again the continuous IC game and assume that the players can collaborate to select a satisfactory profile \( s \in S_1 \times S_1 \). In case they fail to reach an agreement, each player \( k \) gets \( u_k(s^*) \), where \( s^* \) is the NE of the noncooperative game studied before. On the contrary, the players now strive to attain the Nash bargaining solution (NBS), i.e., the (unique) PO profile that satisfies

\[
\hat{s} = \arg\max_{s \in S} \sum_{k=1}^{K} (u_k(s) - u_k(s^*)),
\]

where the subset \( S \subset S_1 \times S_1 \) is such that \( u_k(s) \geq u_k(s^*) \) for all \( k \in K \) and \( s \in S \). Interestingly, the NBS has close analogies with proportional fair allocation mechanisms, as discussed in [2, Ch. 7]. As an apparent, the NBS tries to increase as much as possible the utilities of the players with respect to the NE in a fair manner.

The graphical interpretation of the NBS is shown in Figure 4: the NBS \( \hat{s} \) corresponds to the profile such that \( u_1(\hat{s}) \geq u_2(\hat{s}) \) is the point of tangency between the Pareto frontier and the hyperbola with vertex in \( x = u_1(s^*) \) and \( y = u_2(s^*) \). Hence, the point of tangency lies by definition in the Pareto improvement region, as illustrated in Figure 4 using a blue dot. In our usual network configuration, \( \hat{s} \sigma^2 = [2.26, 1.52] \), yielding in this case \( (\sigma^2/|u_1(s)| = 0.288 \) and \( (\sigma^2/|u_2(s)| = 0.434 \). The performance of \( s \) lies between the SW and the maximum-fairness projection of the NE performance, thus trading off efficiency and fairness. The reason why the NBS is unbalanced toward player 2 lies again in its better channel and positioning. He is a Fellow of the IEEE.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

In this lecture note, we introduced the very basic notions of GT by using a power control problem for a wireless interference channel as the leitmotiv: by further detailing and adding features to this “toy example,” we presented the concepts of players, strategies, utilities, NE, and Pareto and social optimality, among others. Interested readers who want to deepen their knowledge of GT are encouraged to review specific textbooks, such as general ones (e.g., [1]), and those specifically tailored to an SP audience (e.g., [2] and [7]).

**REFERENCES**


Signal Processing and Automation in Anesthesia

To anyone who has seen or been to an operating room (OR) or an intensive care unit (ICU), it is obvious that monitoring technology plays an increasingly important role in such clinical settings. This proliferation of monitors and devices means that clinicians in the OR or the ICU must absorb, integrate, and interpret a large amount of data to make clinical decisions. This often results in an information overload that may be detrimental to the effectiveness of decision making under critical conditions. In other areas of activity, such as in the aviation industry, advances in technologies such as signal processing, feature extraction, smart alarm systems, human–computer interfaces, and automation have helped the human operator deal with critical events by increasing situation awareness. In the OR, and particularly in the ICU, where the early detection of deterioration of critically ill patients could significantly reduce preventable morbidity, mortality, and cost, the introduction of such methodologies may be essential to increase patient safety. In addition to a brief description of the state-of-the-art approaches, this column reviews some of the efforts my research group at the University of British Columbia (BC) and BC Children’s Hospital has performed over the last decade to address those issues, starting with the OR, concentrating on anesthesia.

AN OVERVIEW OF ANESTHESIA

The goals of anesthesia are to allow the surgeon to operate in optimal conditions while occulting the patient from the effects of the surgical procedure and maintaining homeostasis and hemodynamic stability as much as possible. For this, the anesthesiologist administers a number of drugs to the patients (Figure 1): 1) hypnotics that act primarily on the brain to induce unconsciousness (also known as a hypnotic state) in the patient to prevent intro-operative awareness and memorization; 2) analgesics to suppress nociceptive reactions in the presence of painful stimulus caused by the surgical act; and 3) neuromuscular blocking (NMB) agents (mostly curare derivatives) to induce paralysis to suppress reflex muscle activity (occasionally administered, particularly in abdominal procedures).

The role of the anesthesiologist is to carefully dose the amounts to avoid underdosing, which can lead to intraoperative memorization, hypertension, tachycardia, and possible postoperative posttraumatic stress, as well as overdosing, which can lead to prolonged electrocortical silence, hypotension, bradycardia, and, due to the toxicity of the drugs involved, may lead to serious or even fatal intra- or postoperative consequences for the patient. Recent studies have linked too-deep anesthesia to postoperative delirium, long-term cognitive losses, and even increased one-year mortality rates.

There are two broad classes of anesthetic agents: inhaled agents and intravenous agents. Common inhaled anesthetics,
often used in combination with nitrous oxide, are:
- desflurane
- isoflurane
- sevoflurane.

Inhaled anesthetics have a combined hypnotic and analgesic effect but also have a strong hypotensive action. An advantage of inhaled anesthetics is that measuring the difference between inhaled and exhaled concentrations allows an accurate estimation of plasma or brain drug uptake. Modern total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) usually uses propofol as the hypnotic agent and remifentanil as the analgesic agent. Propofol is characterized by fast redistribution and metabolism, provides rapid emergence, and has good anti-emetic properties. Remifentanil is characterized by a very rapid onset and brevity of action, thus minimizing undesirable opioid-induced side effects. That, combined with the high specificity of both agents, makes them ideal for feedback control of anesthesia. Hence, the vast majority of studies of closed-loop control of anesthesia have been performed using intravenous anesthesia. Finally, NMB agents such as rocuronium and atracurium are commonly used as a bolus at the beginning of the intervention to facilitate intubation. NMB boluses are sometimes repeated during the intervention to provide optimal conditions for the surgeon if necessary.

We next divide the anesthesia procedure into three distinct stages: induction, maintenance, and emergence.

**INDUCTION**

Induction, or the phase during which the patient is being rendered unconscious, although quite short is critical in many ways. As soon as the patient loses consciousness, e.g., 2–3 minutes after a bolus of propofol is administered, they will usually stop breathing and need to be rapidly intubated to allow artificial ventilation. To facilitate insertion of the endotracheal tube, the bolus of propofol is usually preceded by a bolus of opioid such as remifentanil. Furthermore, as soon as the patient loses consciousness, to blunt any reflex during intubation, NMB is generally administered. Overdosing the patient at induction may lead to severe hypotension, which will need to be corrected with vasopressors, and may place elderly or fragile patients into too-deep an hypnotic state. This may lead to prolonged periods of electrocortical silence, thought to have harmful long-term effects. Minimizing the amount of overshoot at induction is thus critical.

**MAINTENANCE**

During the maintenance phase, it is necessary to maintain an adequate DOH and to blunt nociceptive reactions. When using inhaled anesthetics, the measurement of the end-tidal vapor concentration provides the anesthesiologist with a reliable feedback quantity. The situation is more complex with TIVA, as no measurement of arterial concentration of propofol or remifentanil is available. In the absence of brain monitoring, the anesthesiologist will use hemodynamic parameters such as HR and BP for guidance, or watch for patient movement. The development of TIVA has been made easier through the development of pharmacoki-netic (PK) model-driven infusion devices. These devices reach a desired plasma (or effect site) theoretical concentration by using a computer-controlled infusion pump driven by the PK parameters of the drug. The resulting so-called target controlled infusion (TCI) [1] anesthesia is used extensively in most of the developed world except in the United States, where it is not approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

**EMERGENCE**

The emergence from anesthesia is simply achieved by turning off delivery of the hypnotic and analgesic agents used during the surgery. This is usually done during skin closure so that the patient wakes up more quickly at the end of the surgery. An additional bolus of a long acting opioid may be given for postoperative pain management. Extubation takes place as soon as the patient shows clinical signs of wakefulness.

**TOOLS FOR REAL-TIME DECISION SUPPORT IN CRITICAL CARE**

The task of an anesthesiologist is often compared with that of an airplane pilot in that both function as part of high-performance teams with high stakes in complex and potentially unpredictable environments, with serious mishaps potentially resulting in loss of life. Both must display a high degree of skill and accuracy and use sophisticated technology. As a result, both use checklists, safety protocols, in-depth error analysis, and have to deal with a constant flow of data from numerous monitors. Finally, both have to be constantly prepared to deal with potential disaster. Whereas technology such as automation has significantly increased safety in aviation, it has yet to penetrate the anesthesia world. A number of research groups have been developing sophisticated decision-support systems for anesthesia and critical care. Figure 2 shows the Intelligent Anesthesia Navigator (IAN) proposed by our research team. IAN was developed to improve the system function of the “bedside cockpit” of the OR by applying advanced signal processing solutions that intelligently analyze and interpret the large amounts of physiological sensor data and turn it into clinically useful information. These solutions were extended from algorithms for feature extraction to integration of information with expert knowledge, to the design of novel human interaction interfaces and, finally, to enhanced automation. Indeed we see the successful introduction of intelligent monitoring and automated control as the only practical solution to the problem of information overload produced by the increasing number of sensors developed for physiological monitoring.

A major component of such a system is a smart alarm, or more exactly a smart alert system that cuts down on the number of false positives, a serious nuisance in an OR. However, the first step is to ensure that artifacts are detected and, if possible, rejected. In an OR, the electrical surgical unit (ESU) passes a high-frequency current from an active electrode to a grounding plate via the patients body, resulting in a high-frequency noise swamping both EEG and ECG measurements. Electrocautery noise is thus a significant obstacle to the real-time implementation of clinical monitoring expert systems. A solution described in [2] consists of projecting the
ECG into a wavelet basis in which the QRS complex is sparse whereas the additive noise is not. Then, by assessing the $l_0$ norm of groups of wavelets coefficients it allows discrimination of the ECG signal from the electrocautery noise. Whenever possible, redundant measurements, when available, should be used to maintain physiological monitoring. For instance, HR can be obtained not only from the ECG but also from the photoplethysmograph obtained from pulse oximetry. As the latter is less affected by the ESU, a simple fusion algorithm based on a hybrid median filter has been shown to successfully reject ESU noise and produce a robust estimate of HR [3]. Once data quality is ensured, another important task of such a clinical decision support system is to transform those data into information that is clinically relevant. Although all monitors come with threshold-based alarms, those have been not only useless but actually harmful as false alarm fatigue leads the clinicians to ignore them, thus resulting in monitors beeping while nobody pays attention to them. Building smarter alarms, or rather alerts that are clinically relevant is thus of great interest to clinicians. This has led to the development of number of trend detection and change point detection algorithms for use in the OR [4] and the ICU [5]. Such a system, coupled with an expert system for real-time clinical decision support, has recently been shown to vastly improve the detection of critical events during anesthesia in a human patient simulator [6]. Finally, to cut down on auditory pollution we developed a novel vibrotactile belt to transmit those alerts to the clinician in a way that is nonintrusive for the personnel in the OR and
The effects of anesthetic drugs on the EEG have been known since the early 1940s when neurophysiologists observed that the EEG of anesthetized patients contained slower waves with higher amplitudes. However, raw EEGs are difficult to interpret in real time and thus a number of techniques have been used to extract univariate features from the EEG to quantify the hypnotic component of anesthesia. Two such features of historical interest are the median frequency (MEF) and the spectral edge frequency (SEF), i.e., the frequency up to which 95% of the EEG power is present. However, this is not until the advent of the bispectral index (BIS) monitor that EEG has become more common place. The BIS monitor is based on the observation that with increasing anesthetic depth, EEG frequencies tend to synchronize. This led to the use of the bispectrum to characterize phase coupling of different frequencies. The BIS monitor combines a number of bispectra, bicoherence indices and power spectral values to derive a [0–100] index known as DOH. An index of 100 represents the awake state while it decreases with increasing concentration of anesthetics. General anesthesia is obtained for an index between 60 and 40. Lower values represent deep hypnotic states and usually are not desirable. Introduced in the mid-1990s, the BIS monitor largely dominates the market for DOH monitors.

The second most common DOH monitor is the M-Entropy monitor (GE Healthcare, Helsinki, Finland) computed from finger photoplethysmographic waveform amplitudes and pulse-to-pulse intervals. The ANI (MetroDoloris SAS, Loos, France) algorithm analyzes the tachogram with wavelets, and tracks the time-varying power in the HF band. The ANI index has been shown to respond to the administration of anesthetic drugs and to nociceptive stimuli. A related technique is based on wavelet-based cardiorespiratory coherence, resulting in a normalized index that has been shown to respond to both nociceptive and antinociceptive events, [10]. Both techniques are currently undergoing clinical trials for validation.

An interesting and indirect method for assessing nociceptive reactions is based on the observation that a sudden electrocortical activation, e.g., demonstrated by a large and rapid increase in the DOH, is a reflection of an inadequate analgesic state or level of antinociception. This principle has been used in the most clinically tested closed-loop controller for anesthesia described in the next section.

The monitoring of neuromuscular relaxation is performed by measuring, mostly by acceleromyography, evoked muscle response following supramaximal stimulation of the ulnar nerve. The standard stimulation consists of a train of four short pulses, and the level of paralysis is characterized by the ratio of the amplitude of the fourth response normalized by that of the first one, the so-called T4/T1 ratio. Because of the virtual on/off nature of the measurement, it is not an ideal one for use in closed-loop control. Fortunately, fine control is not a clinical requirement. Nevertheless, new techniques are being developed based on phonometry, which consists of recording low-frequency sounds created during muscle contraction. The advantage of phonometry is that it can be used at sites that are more clinically relevant than the adductor pollicis.

Modeling of the distribution and effect of anesthetic drugs has traditionally been done using PK models for the former, and pharmacodynamic (PD) models for the latter. Typically, PK models are based on maxillary compartmental models, while PD models consist of a simple
A three-compartment PK model typically used for the hypnotic drug propofol.

The PD model is usually described by a transfer function between the plasma concentration \( C_p \) and the plasma concentration \( C_e \):

\[
C_e(s) = \frac{C_p(s)}{V_1 (s + p_1)(s + p_2)(s + p_3) + I_p(s)}.
\]

The PD model is usually described by a transfer function between the plasma concentration \( C_p \) and the effect site concentration \( C_e \):

\[
C_e(s) = \frac{k_{12} C_p(s)}{s + K_{12}}
\]

followed by a Hill equation relating \( C_e \) to the effect:

\[
E(C_e) = \frac{C_e}{EC_{50} + C_e},
\]

where \( EC_{50} \) denotes the effect site concentration corresponding to a 50% clinical effect and \( \gamma \) is the cooperativity coefficient. For remifentanil, most PK models involve only two compartments, resulting in a simpler transfer function.

Propofol and remifentanil are known to interact with each other in a synergistic fashion in their hypnotic/analgesic effect [11]. This observation constitutes the basic assumption on which the balanced anesthesia concept is based. Studies of the PD interactions between these two drugs have been limited to steady characterization through the use of response surfaces, which can then be parameterized, e.g., as

\[
E(v_p, v_r) = \frac{(v_p + \nu + r + \alpha v_p v_r)^\gamma}{(v_p + \nu + r + \alpha v_p v_r)^\gamma + 1}
\]

where \( v_p \) and \( v_r \) are, respectively, the effect site concentrations of propofol and remifentanil normalized by their \( EC_{50} \), and \( \alpha > 0 \) characterizes the synergy between the two drugs. Note that the interaction is equivalent to the use of a new fictitious drug \( v = v_p + v_r + \alpha v_p v_r \).

While pharmacokineticians and dynamists strive to improve the accuracy of PKPD models by introducing a number of covariates in an attempt to reduce the uncertainty of TCI systems, a number of studies have shown that to develop a clinically satisfactory closed-loop control systems, simpler models such as first-order plus delay have the same level of predictive power [12].

**ANESTHETIC DRUG CONCENTRATION ESTIMATION**

Whereas in inhaled anesthetics, the end tidal anesthetic concentration can be used as a proxy of the plasma concentration, there is as yet no such possibility with intravenous anesthetics. Thus, anesthesiologists come to rely on open-loop prediction [see Figure 4(a)], in which the drug concentration is estimated by solving the patient PK described in terms of a multi-compartmental model. In the absence of feedback, this approach inevitably suffers from interpatient variability. Any discrepancy between the real PK of an individual patient and its model counterpart results in a mismatch between the true versus estimated drug concentrations. However, a closed-loop approach, exploiting the clinical-effect measurement, i.e., the depth of anesthesia index wavelet-based anesthetic value CNS [see Figure 4(b)], has been shown to improve the accuracy of the estimation of intravenously administered propofol concentrations at the plasma and effect sites [13]. To strike the right compromise between robustness in face of interpatient variability and rejection of unknown surgical disturbances, the estimator was designed using \( \mu \)-synthesis theory.

**CLOSED-LOOP CONTROL OF DEPTH OF HYPNOSIS IN INTRAVENOUS ANESTHESIA**

1) **Control Paradigm:** After ensuring a fast and safe induction, the anesthesiologist needs to maintain the patient in an adequate state of hypnosis, analgesia, and paralysis according to the requirements of the surgical procedure. The anesthetic and opioid titration needs to be constantly adjusted to avoid both under and overdosing of the patient. The idea of an automated system that would regulate drug dosing to maintain the adequacy of the anesthetic regimen is thus natural. Closed-loop anesthesia would not replace the anesthesiologist, but would allow them to concentrate on higher level tasks.

A closed-loop controller for anesthesia should induce the patient rapidly but with minimal overshoot and then maintain the patient in an adequate state of anesthesia and analgesia at least as well as an expert anesthesiologist. Translating this in control specifications is difficult, but for the DOH index, it could be translated into a rise time at induction of 3–4 minutes, with overshoot less than 10–15% and a damping ratio of at least 0.7. During maintenance, the DOH index should stay within ten points of the target about 85–90% of the time. Disturbance rejection should be such that in case of arousal
in control engineering terms can be thought of as an output disturbance), the patient response is rapidly suppressed, say within 2 minutes and without inducing oscillations. The clinical outcome should be improved hemodynamic stability, faster emergence, and possibly reduced drug consumption. The main challenge is the inherent variability, both interpatient and intraoperative, thus robust stability and robust performance are paramount to ensure patient safety when designing such a closed-loop control system.

2) Research Systems: The first efforts to automate anesthesia go back to the work of Mayo and Bickford in the early 1950s with their attempts to develop EEG-based automatic delivery of volatile agents; see [14] for a review of the progress from 1949 to 1980.

The advent of the BIS monitor in the mid-1990s dramatically changed the situation resulting in a significant increase in the number of studies, both simulated and clinical, on closed-loop control of depth of anesthesia.

The pioneering systems for BIS-guided closed-loop control of propofol infusion were heuristic systems developed by anesthesiologists without the involvement of control experts. Absalom [15] used a PID controller tuned in a very ad-hoc manner to adjust the propofol effect site concentration setpoint in a target site infusion system. This was clinically tested on 20 patients. Not surprisingly, the performance varied significantly from patient to patient, the system displaying instability for some of them. All patients were induced under TCI mode, with an effect site concentration chosen by the clinician who then switched to closed-loop control for the maintenance phase. A system with a similar structure is described in [16]. That controller is actually not a PID controller, but a rule-based system that is somewhat similar to a PD controller. After significant tuning, this system was tested against manual control in a randomized controlled trial involving 164 patients (83 in closed loop). That system was shown to outperform manual control in terms of BIS variability, and resulted in similar hemodynamic stability. In [17], the authors present what they term a dual loop that manipulates both the propofol and remifentanil infusion rates based on the BIS alone. The basic idea is that sudden increases in the BIS index are due to a nociceptive reaction and reflect an inadequate analgesic state. The controller that manipulates the remifentanil is a combination of a proportional action and a number of heuristic rules. Randomized clinical trials involving 167 patients on a wide variety of procedures showed that the system provides better control of the BIS than manual control, similar hemodynamic stability accompanied with increased remifentanil consumption. This system, like its predecessor induces the patient in TCI mode, with manually set targets for both propofol and remifentanil effect site concentrations.

Because these pioneering systems were designed heuristically, their theoretical properties are difficult, if not impossible to assess. A rigorous approach to robust PID tuning for anesthesia is described in [18] where a PID controller is robustly tuned for a population of 44 adults. Results of a feasibility study in adults showed that this simple controller provided adequate anesthesia. This led to the development of a similar system for pediatric use, which resulted in the DOH within ten points of the target 89% of the time in a clinical study on 108 patients reported in [19] and [20]. Those results indicate that a robust PID controller manages relatively well with the significant interpatient uncertainty in a pediatric population.

THE FUTURE

Despite the number of studies that have over the years demonstrated the feasibility and safety of real-time systems for monitoring and closed-loop control of anesthesia, the regulatory hurdles abound before it can be approved for routine clinical use. First and foremost, the clinical benefits of these systems have to be clearly demonstrated, and this will require extensive multicentric clinical studies involving tens of thousands of patients. The development of fallback and safety systems, as well as
It is not entirely clear yet what level of control complexity is required to achieve clinically acceptable performance. Novel monitors of nociception are in the works and will hopefully allow true multivariable control of hypnosis and analgesia. It is also important to realize that the systems discussed here only consider a subset of what anesthesiologist needs to do to ensure patient safety. Other systems, e.g., for hemodynamics and fluid management, are being considered and should undergo clinical trials in the coming years.
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Fast, Accurate, and Guaranteed Stable Sliding Discrete Fourier Transform

Discrete orthogonal transforms such as the discrete Fourier transform (DFT), discrete Hartley transform (DHT), and Walsh–Hadamard transform (WHT) play important roles in the fields of digital signal processing, filtering, and communications. In recent years, there has been a growing interest in the sliding transform process where the transform window is shifted one sample at a time and the transform process is repeated.

Jacobsen and Lyons [1] introduced the sliding DFT (SDFT) algorithm, which computes the DFT bins using a recursive scheme. The SDFT can reduce the computational load of the DFT drastically; however, it suffers from potential instability. Several algorithms were proposed to guarantee the stability of the SDFT [2]–[4]. Basically, these algorithms guarantee the stability at the cost of computational complexity or computational precision arithmetic exponentially decay to zero over time.

Another stable SDFT, called rSDFT, was proposed in [3]. This algorithm forces the pole to be at a radius of \( r \) inside the unit circle by utilizing the damping factor \( r \), thereby guaranteeing stability. The DFT approximation using the damping factor \( r \) is expressed as

\[
\hat{X}_n(k) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} x(n+m) r^{W_N^{km} M}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( 0 < r < 1 \) and the tilde symbol indicates an approximation of the DFT. Analogously, the SDFT recurrence in (2) is rewritten as

\[
\hat{X}_n(k) = r W_N^k \hat{X}_{n-1}(k) + x(n) - x(n-M) r^M. \tag{4}
\]

Note that, the output bin values of the rSDFT are different from those of the DFT in (1). Moreover, the errors accumulate in the resulting outputs.

The modulated SDFT (mSDFT) was recently introduced in [4]. The mSDFT first generates a modulated sequence by multiplying the input signal by the modulation sequence \( W_N^{km} \). Then, using the modulated sequence, the mSDFT formulates the recurrence of the DFT bins with \( k = 0 \) as

\[
X_n(0) = X_{n-1}(0) + W_M^{km} x(n) - W_N^{k(m-M)} x(n-M)
= X_{n-1}(0) + W_M^{km} x(n) - x(n-M), \tag{5}
\]

where \( W_N^{k(m-M)} = W_M^{km} \). Finally, the desired \( X_n(k) \) can be obtained by

\[
X_n(k) = W_N^{k(m+1)} X_n(0). \tag{6}
\]

By excluding the complex twiddle factor from the feedback of the resonator, the
mSDFT has the pole located exactly on the unit circle and is unconditionally stable. However, it was reported in [4] that the computational requirement of the mSDFT is more than double that of the SDFT.

GUARANTEED STABLE SDFT
As mentioned previously, a stable SDFT filter can be designed by excluding the imprecise twiddle factor from the feedback loop. We first investigate the special relationship between the DFT outputs, which is represented by

\[\begin{align*}
X_n(k) &= j^k (X_{n-M/4}(k) + D^{M/4}_n(k)).
\end{align*}\]

(11)

Then, by the periodicity property of \(j\), we obtain the following:

\[\begin{align*}
X_n(4i+0) &= X_{n-M/4}(4i+0) + D^{M/4}_n(4i+0) \\
X_n(4i+1) &= jX_{n-M/4}(4i+1) + D^{M/4}_n(4i+1) \\
X_n(4i+2) &= \ldots \\
X_n(4i+3) &= -jX_{n-M/4}(4i+3) + D^{M/4}_n(4i+3)).
\end{align*}\]

(12)

where \(i = 0, 1, \ldots, M/4 - 1\). This forms the basis of an efficient scheme for computing the DFT outputs of the shifted window. Given the DFT outputs of the previous window at time index \((n-M)/4\), the outputs at time index \(n\) can be directly computed without performing the multiplication by the twiddle factor. For example, when \(k = 4i\), the following relation holds between \(X_n(4i)\) and \(X_{n-M/4}(4i)\):

\[\begin{align*}
\text{Re}(X_n(4i)) + j\text{Im}(X_n(4i)) &= \text{Re}(X_{n-M/4}(4i)) + j\text{Im}(X_{n-M/4}(4i)) \\
&+ j\text{Im}(D^{M/4}_n(4i)) + \text{Im}(D^{M/4}_n(4i)).
\end{align*}\]

(13)

Equation (15) implies that we can obtain \(D^{L/2}_n(k)\) using two UVT bins of the decimated sequences, \(d(n), d(n-2), \ldots, d(n-L+2)\) and \(d(n-1), d(n-3), \ldots, d(n-L+1)\). The decimation process is repeated until the resulting sequences are reduced to one-point sequences.

In the SDFT scenario, the UVT needs to be repeatedly computed at each time index. Thus, the computational requirement of the UVT can be reduced further if the UVT outputs of the current window can be obtained by exploiting the intermediate calculations of the previous window. From its definition, we simply derive that the UVT calculation at successive time indexes has the following relationship:
\[ D^{(2)}_n(k) = \sum_{p=0}^{L/2-1} d((n-1) - 2p) W_{8}^{p\cdot L/2 + 1} \cdot k \]

The above result presents that \( D^{(2)}_n(k) \) is exactly the same as \( D^{(2)}_n(k) \). This is an important fact to be considered because the intermediate calculations of the previous window can be reused for the current window without losing the accuracy of calculations. On the basis of these findings, we propose a sliding UVT algorithm. At time index \( n \), the proposed SUVT algorithm first computes \( D^{(2)}_n(k) \) using the DIT approach. Then, according to (15), the UVT output \( D^{(2)}_n(k) \) is obtained using \( D^{(2)}_n(k) \) and \( D^{(2)}_n(k) \), where \( D^{(2)}_n(k) \) has already been obtained at the previous window position. The price to be paid for this strategy is the additional memory to maintain the necessary intermediate calculations of the previous window. Figure 1 shows an example of the proposed SUVT algorithm. It is noted that the proposed gSDFT algorithm has the same precision as the traditional FFT because the twiddle factors used in the SUVT are identical to those used in the traditional butterfly-based FFT algorithm [6].

**OVERALL ALGORITHM**

The proposed gSDFT algorithm repeatedly produces the DFT outputs by shifting the fixed-length window one sample at a time. For simplicity of explanation, we consider only the case \( L = M/4 \). At time index \( n \), the overall algorithm for window size \( M \) proceeds as follows:

- Compute \( d(n) \) using the input samples. Only one complex addition is needed in this step.
- Compute \( D^{(8)}_n(k) \) using \( d(n), d(n-2), \ldots, d(n-M/4) \). Note that \( \{d(n-2), d(n-4), \ldots, d(n-M/4)\} \) have already been obtained during the computation process in the previous window positions. Since the decimation is performed (\( \log_2 M - 3 \)) times, the computation of \( D^{(8)}_n(k) \) requires \( (M/4)(\log_2 M - 3) \) complex multiplications and \( (M/2)(\log_2 M - 3) \) complex additions.
- Compute the order-\( L \) UVT \( D^{(8)}_n(k) \) using \( D^{(8)}_n(k) \) and \( D^{(8)}_n(k) \), where \( \Delta^{(8)}_n(k) \) is precalculated in the previous window position. The resultant \( D^{(8)}_n(k) \) is the input for the following step. This step requires \( (M/2) \) complex multiplications and \( M \) complex additions as shown in (15). Note that additional memory of size \( M/2 \) is required to store \( D^{(8)}_n(k) \) of the previous window.
- According to (12), calculate \( X_s(k) \) using \( X_{n-M/4}(k) \) and \( D^{(8)}_n(k) \). This step needs only \( 2M \) real additions.

A computational complexity comparison with varying \( M \) is presented in Table 1 where \( R_M \) and \( R_A \) denote the numbers of real multiplications and additions, respectively. In particular, when \( M = 16 \), the gSDFT algorithm reduces the number of multiplications by 50% and 75% as compared to the rSDFT and mSDFT, respectively. When \( M < 2^{13} \), the number of multiplications of the gSDFT is fewer than that of the mSDFT which is the state-of-the-art method. Therefore, for applications where the numerical errors should not be accumulated and the number of multiplications is the most important consideration, we would suggest the use of the gSDFT algorithm when \( M \) is less than \( 2^{13} \). Otherwise, the mSDFT algorithm can be used for the applications.

The key characteristics of the proposed gSDFT algorithm are as follows:

- When \( M \) is less than \( 2^{13} \), the number of multiplications of the proposed
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gSDFT is smaller than that of the state-of-the-art method.

- The recurrence presented in (12) is unconditionally stable and the numerical errors do not accumulate.
- The proposed algorithm produces an output signal that is mathematically equivalent to the SDFT. This separable feature is highly beneficial for hardware implementation.

### SIMULATION RESULTS

We investigated the efficiency of the proposed gSDFT algorithm using a complex test signal, which was zero-mean Gaussian noise with a standard deviation equal to one. The simulation was performed in 64-bit double-precision arithmetic and $M$ was set to 16. In our simulation, the numerical errors of the rSDFT [3], mSDFT [4], and gSDFT were, respectively, generated by recursively computing (4), (5), and (12) using the test signal. We repeated these recursive computations $10^6$ times to accumulate the numerical errors and then calculated the errors at each time index. All algorithms were implemented using a highly efficient ANSI-C code and the performance was evaluated on an Intel i5 3.4 GHz CPU with 8 GB RAM.

Figure 2 shows the measured results of all algorithms. The error $E_n$ at time index $n$ is calculated as

$$E_n = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} |X^{\text{DFT}}(k) - X^{\text{Algorithm}}(k)| \quad (18)$$

where $X^{\text{DFT}}(k)$ represents the $k$th bin of the standard DFT in (1) and $X^{\text{Algorithm}}(k)$ is the $k$th bin of each algorithm. Figure 2 shows that the mSDFT and gSDFT algorithms significantly reduce the numerical errors as compared to the rSDFT, where the damping factor $r$ of the rSDFT is set to 0.999999. We show in Figure 2 the average numerical error $\bar{E}$ over 64 time indexes after the error accumulation process. In our simulation, $\bar{E}$'s of the rSDFT, mSDFT, and gSDFT are $9.72 \times 10^{-3}$, $7.41 \times 10^{-11}$, and $7.17 \times 10^{-11}$, respectively. We can observe that the error of the gSDFT is even smaller than that of the mSDFT. Therefore, the proposed gSDFT consistently outperforms the other algorithms.

### SUMMARY

In this article, we proposed a new guaranteed stable SDFT algorithm by excluding the imprecise twiddle factor from the feedback of the resonator. We first derived the general formula between the DFT bins with multihop distance. Then the proposed gSDFT algorithm was designed by exploiting the special relationship between the

---

**TABLE 1** THE COMPUTATIONAL REQUIREMENT FOR A COMPLEX INPUT SIGNAL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALGORITHM</th>
<th>OPERATION</th>
<th>WINDOW SIZE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFT [6]</td>
<td>$R_M$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_A$</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rSDFT</td>
<td>$R_M$</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_A$</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mSDFT</td>
<td>$R_M$</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_A$</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gSDFT</td>
<td>$R_M$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_A$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The High Efficiency Image File Format Standard

The High Efficiency Image File Format (HEIF) is a standard developed by the Moving Picture Experts Group (MPEG) for the storage of images and image sequences. The standard facilitates file encapsulation of data coded according to the High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC) standard. The compression performance of HEVC is superior to any alternative image or image sequence coding format. HEIF includes a rich set of features building on top of the widely used ISO Base Media File Format (ISO/MBFF), making HEIF superior feature-wise compared to other image file formats. This article provides an overview of the performance, features, and design of HEIF.

BACKGROUND
Version 1 of the HEVC standard [1] was finalized in January 2013 and has been reported to achieve the same image quality with about half the bit rate compared to the Advanced Video Coding (AVC) standard. After the finalization of version 1 of the HEVC standard, it was realized that to take the good still picture compression performance of HEVC into use, e.g., in cameras, a format to associate photographic metadata, such as various tags of the exchangeable image file format (Exif), to the coded image is needed. Various use cases concerning sequences of images, such as photo bursts, exposure stacks, or animations, were also considered important. A requirements-gathering process was hence performed by the MPEG, resulting in accepted requirements documents for storage of HEVC still images and image sequences in August 2013. Subsequently, a standardization project was established by MPEG, in coordination with the Joint Photographic Experts Group (JPEG). In summary, the goal of the project was to specify an image file format capable of storing one or more HEVC-coded still images (i.e., independent static images) or image sequences and associated photographic metadata into a file.

The fundamental design decisions on file format structures to store still images and image sequences were agreed upon in January 2014. Various features were then refined until a public review period was held from April to June 2015. This column is based on the draft standard available for the aforementioned public review (see the “Resources” section). The technical finalization of the standard took place in June 2015, and the standard will be published after a final approval ballot.

USE CASES AND REQUIREMENTS
HEVC-coded content in HEIF enables a wide range of use cases varying from traditional still picture capture, storage, and sharing to sophisticated multi-image use cases, such as sharing of image bursts or storing sets of images for the purpose of processing those by means of computational photography. As HEVC provides support for various chroma formats and sample fidelities up to lossless coding, the format can serve the whole spectrum of use cases from today’s consumer devices storing images typically at 8 bits per sample to high-end professional devices with sample fidelity and dynamic range requirements going all the way up to 16 bits per sample.

Computational photography forms a new category of use cases that can benefit from HEIF. Now a set of related images can be stored in a single file with associated metadata indicating relationships between different pictures. Examples of such emerging use cases include refocusing the shot by selecting an image with a desired focus from a set of pictures captured with different focal lengths, high dynamic range photography by combining pictures with different exposures, and building omnidirectional or panoramic images from a set of pictures with connected scenery.

As opposed to legacy image codecs, HEVC also provides interesting opportunities for hybrid video and imaging use cases, such as simultaneous capture of video and still pictures, extracting still pictures from a video sequence, storing bursts of images efficiently in a single file, and creating animations that can be shared and edited easily.

In addition to the aforementioned use cases, the capability of fast “random access” to each picture in an image sequence was included in the requirements to allow for flexibility in rendering the sequence and allow editing individual images in the sequence without affecting coding of other output images. Moreover, the support for auxiliary pictures, such as alpha channels, was included in the requirements.

STILL-IMAGE CODING PERFORMANCE
HEVC includes sophisticated intra coding tools [2], making it an excellent codec for still image compression. To assess coding efficiency of the HEVC intra picture coding, we carried out a set of experiments using the common test conditions [3] of the Joint Collaborative Team on Video Coding (JCT-VC). Tests were performed with constant quality settings, aligning the objective quality—measured as peak signal-to-noise ratio.
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using predictive video-like encoding, HEVC image sequences can be coded times the bit rate of HEVC. Features using two or sometimes even four are comparable to that of JPEG-coded picture. For JPEG-XR and JPEG-2000, the average increase in bit rate is 66% and 44%, respectively. Table 1 also provides information about the objective quality differences between HEVC and legacy codecs measured using the Bjøntegaard delta PSNR metrics [5]. Subjective testing performed for different test sets appear to also verify the results when it comes to the perceived quality of material coded with different codecs. For instance, [6] reports that typically subjective quality of HEVC-intra coded pictures are comparable to that of JPEG-coded pictures using two or sometimes even four times the bit rate of HEVC.

**CODING OF IMAGE SEQUENCES**

HEVC image sequences can be coded either by applying intra picture coding or using predictive video-like encoding, where dependencies between coded pictures are restricted to guarantee quick random access to individual pictures in the file. In the case of intra picture coding, each image in the sequence is simply coded as an independent still picture without reference to any of the other pictures in the sequence. While providing suboptimal coding efficiency compared to traditional video coding, HEVC intra pictures still provide substantial improvement over legacy image coding alternatives. The results in Table 1 also apply when comparing HEVC intra coded image sequences to motion JPEG and motion JPEG-2000. The benefits of intra only coding include minimal random access latency to each output picture and the possibility to edit individual pictures without affecting coding and reconstruction of the other pictures in the file.

Inter coding can provide significant coding efficiency improvement to image sequences when images are correlated. Such correlation is especially evident in content like image bursts or animation clips. However, applying unrestricted predictive video coding can lead to unacceptable decoding delay when extracting only a specific picture out of a coded file. In the worst-case scenario, the whole sequence needs to be completely decoded to be able to access the last picture in the stream. To avoid such undesired behavior, the HEVC image sequences rely on a predefined set of intra coded reference pictures, while the use of inter coded pictures as reference pictures for predicting other inter coded pictures is disallowed.

To measure coding efficiency of low-latency HEVC encoding structures for different use cases, the following experiments were performed. First, the JCT-VC test set of Table 1 was used to mimic image bursts. Eight first frames of each sequence were coded using the fourth picture in each clip as a reference picture for inter coding. Second, additional use cases with exposure stack, focal stack, and cinemagraph content were simulated by coding a representative sequence in each category. For an exposure stack a well-known “Memorial” sequence with 12 different exposures was used. In the focal stack case a “Mersu” sequence with 13 different focus distances was selected and finally a “Car and Tractor” cinemagraph was used to represent an animated clip where the majority of the picture is frozen and only a certain area of the scene is undergoing motion. The results reported in Table 2 indicate that for natural content the restricted inter coding can typically provide two to three times better compression than intra picture coding. In special cases like animations where the majority of the scene is static, the compression efficiency can

<p>| TABLE 1 | THE HEVC INTRA CODING PERFORMANCE WITH RESPECT TO LEGACY FORMATS. BIT RATE INCREASE REQUIRED TO ACHIEVE THE OBJECTIVE QUALITY PROVIDED BY HEVC INTRA CODING IS REPORTED FOR EACH TEST CATEGORY. SIMILARLY, THE AVERAGE PSNR DIFFERENCES WITH RESPECT TO HEVC AT ALIGNED BIT RATES ARE REPORTED IN DECIBELS. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLASS</th>
<th>RESOLUTION</th>
<th>CHARACTERISTICS</th>
<th>JPEG</th>
<th>JPEG-XR</th>
<th>JPEG-2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLASS A</td>
<td>2,560 × 1,600</td>
<td>CROPPED 4K × 2K SEQUENCES FOR ULTRA HDTV SERVICES</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>–3.6</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASS B</td>
<td>1,920 × 1,080</td>
<td>HIGH-RESOLUTION SEQUENCES FOR STREAMING AND BROADCAST SERVICES</td>
<td>124%</td>
<td>–3.2</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASS C</td>
<td>832 × 480</td>
<td>MEDIUM-RESOLUTION SEQUENCES FOR INTERNET/MOBILE VIDEO SERVICES</td>
<td>122%</td>
<td>–5.5</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASS D</td>
<td>416 × 240</td>
<td>LOW-RESOLUTION SEQUENCES FOR SERVICES TO RESOURCE-CONSTRAINED DEVICES</td>
<td>110%</td>
<td>–5.8</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASS E</td>
<td>1,280 × 720</td>
<td>720p SEQUENCES FOR VIDEO CONFERENCING APPLICATIONS</td>
<td>170%</td>
<td>–5.2</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASS F</td>
<td>1,024 × 768, 1,280 × 720</td>
<td>COMPUTER SCREEN CONTENT AND COMPUTER-GENERATED CONTENT</td>
<td>223%</td>
<td>–11.8</td>
<td>118%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVERAGE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>139%</td>
<td>–5.8</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The ISOBMFF was originally designed to be a container format for timed media data, such as audio and video. It is used as the basis from which widely used container file formats, such as MP4, and media encapsulation formats, such as ISO/IEC 14496-15 for AVC and HEVC video streams, are derived.

Since one of the initial requirements of HEIF was to support multipurpose files, e.g., for the storage of still images associated with video, it was justified to take ISOBMFF as the basis of the development. To reuse existing implementations, the ISOBMFF structures and features are used as much as possible in the design of HEIF.

Files conforming to ISOBMFF consist of a sequence of data structures called boxes, each comprising a four-character type, the size of the box in terms of bytes, and the payload of the box. Boxes may be nested, i.e., a box may contain other boxes. ISOBMFF specifies constraints on the allowed box order and hierarchy.

In ISOBMFF, a continuous or timed media or metadata stream is conceptually organized in a track, whereas static media or metadata is conceptually stored in items. Consequently, the following basic design was chosen for HEIF:

1) Still images are stored as items. All image items are independently coded and do not depend on any other item in their decoding. Any number of image items can be included in the same file.

2) Image sequences are stored as tracks. An image sequence track is used when there is coding dependency between images or when the playback of the images is timed. As opposed to video tracks, the timing in the image sequence track is advisory. While the standardization work started from the requirements to store HEVC-coded images and image sequences, it became apparent that the specified structures could be equally used for any coding format.

for any coding format. The HEIF specification is written in a way that other image container file formats can be derived and the support of other coding formats in addition to HEVC can be added in derived formats, similarly to how ISOBMFF can be used as a basis for derived file formats. The nickname of the standard, HEIF, refers to the structural file format specification, whereas the nickname HEVC Image File Format, or HEIC, can be used for the specified encapsulation of HEVC-coded images in HEIF files.

BRANDING AND SIGNALING

Files conforming to HEIF start with a File Type box (‘ftyp’), which contains a list of brands to which the file conforms. Each brand is identified by its unique four-character code. The specification of a brand can include requirements and constraints for files of the brand and for file players supporting the brand. A brand included in the File Type box permits a player that supports the requirements of the brand to play the file.

The brands specified in the HEIF standard are presented in Table 3. The HEIF standard specifies the mif1 and mif1 structural brands, where requirements on file structures present in the file and to be supported by players, are given, but any image coding format can be used. Additionally, HEVC-specific brands are specified as listed in Table 3. As the File Type box is located at the start of the file, it provides easily accessible indications of the file contents to file players. It can be expected that the Main profile of HEVC will be most widely implemented out of all the HEVC profiles. Hence, it was decided to specify dedicated brand names, heic and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTENT</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>FRAMES</th>
<th>BIT RATE CHANGE</th>
<th>CODING GAIN [dB]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLASS A</td>
<td>IMAGE BURST</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–46%</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASS B</td>
<td>IMAGE BURST</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–51%</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASS C</td>
<td>IMAGE BURST</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–60%</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASS D</td>
<td>IMAGE BURST</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–63%</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASS E</td>
<td>IMAGE BURST</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–79%</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASS F</td>
<td>IMAGE BURST</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–55%</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMORIAL</td>
<td>EXPOSURE STACK</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>–29%</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERSU</td>
<td>FOCAL STACK</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>–25%</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR AND TRACTOR</td>
<td>CINEMAGRAPH</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>–97%</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BRANDING AND SIGNALING

Files conforming to HEIF start with a File Type box (‘ftyp’), which contains a list of brands to which the file conforms. Each brand is identified by its unique four-character code. The specification of a brand can include requirements and constraints for files of the brand and for file players supporting the brand. A brand included in the File Type box permits a player that supports the requirements of the brand to play the file.

The brands specified in the HEIF standard are presented in Table 3. The HEIF standard specifies the mif1 and mif1 structural brands, where requirements on file structures present in the file and to be supported by players, are given, but any image coding format can be used. Additionally, HEVC-specific brands are specified as listed in Table 3. As the File Type box is located at the start of the file, it provides easily accessible indications of the file contents to file players. It can be expected that the Main profile of HEVC will be most widely implemented out of all the HEVC profiles. Hence, it was decided to specify dedicated brand names, heic and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRAND</th>
<th>CODING FORMAT</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>MIME SUBTYPE</th>
<th>FILE EXTENSION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mif1</td>
<td>ANY</td>
<td>IMAGE</td>
<td>heif</td>
<td>.heif</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mif1</td>
<td>ANY</td>
<td>SEQUENCE</td>
<td>heif-sequence</td>
<td>.heif</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heic</td>
<td>HEVC (MAIN OR MAIN STILL PICTURE PROFILE)</td>
<td>IMAGE</td>
<td>heic</td>
<td>.heic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heix</td>
<td>HEVC (MAIN 10 OR FORMAT RANGE EXTENSIONS PROFILE)</td>
<td>IMAGE</td>
<td>heic</td>
<td>.heic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hevc</td>
<td>HEVC (MAIN 10 OR FORMAT RANGE EXTENSIONS PROFILE)</td>
<td>SEQUENCE</td>
<td>heic-sequence</td>
<td>.heic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hevx</td>
<td>HEVC (MAIN 10 OR FORMAT RANGE EXTENSIONS PROFILE)</td>
<td>SEQUENCE</td>
<td>heic-sequence</td>
<td>.heic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hevc, for the Main profile compatible image files. This design allows players that support only the Main profile to determine whether the playback of the file is possible by inspecting the File Type box.

Internet media types, also known as **multipurpose Internet mail extensions** (MIME) types, are used by various applications to identify the type of a resource or a file. MIME types consist of a media type ("image" in the case of HEIF files), a subtype, and zero or more optional parameters. For multipurpose files, the selection of the subtype can be made on the basis of the primary use of the file. An optional `codecs` parameter can be present to indicate the used coding formats and the information on the tracks and items present in the file. MIME types can be used, e.g., with the picture element of Hypertext Markup Language, version 5 (HTML5) when offering several versions of the same original image using different image file formats and letting the browser to select which offered file suits its capabilities. This mechanism provides a forward compatible mechanism to take HEIF into use, while legacy browsers can continue to receive and decode, e.g., respective JPEG images.

**STORAGE OF STILL IMAGES** The illustration in Figure 1 shows an example of two HEVC-coded images along with their Exif metadata stored in a file conforming to the HEVC Image File Format. The file metadata for items is stored within a Meta box ("meta"). The handler type is set to "pict" indicating to a reader that this Meta box handles images. The coded data images themselves are stored as items of "hvc1", indicating HEVC compression. The coded data for the images is contained either in a Media Data box ("mdat") or in an Item Data box ("idat"). The syntax of the "hvc1" items is identical to the sample syntax of HEVC video and image sequence tracks, which allows the data of intra coded images to be described both as an item and as a sample of a track. Basic image metadata, such as the width and the height, and all configuration information (e.g., parameter sets and information about the coding itself) required to initialize the decoder is stored as an item of type "hvcC" (for HEVC-coded images).

Relations between items are provided as entries in the Item Reference box ("iref"). A relation between the coded image item ("hvc1") and its initialization item ("hvcC") is included as an entry type "init" in the Item Reference box. Exif metadata for the image can be optionally included in a file as an item of type "Exif" and linked to the image item using the "cdsc" reference type. Other metadata schemes, such as Extensible Metadata Platform (XMP), could alternatively or additionally be used in a similar fashion as Exif. Image items can (but need not) share the same initialization data, as the same item of type "hvcC" can be linked to more than one "hvc1" image items in the Item Reference box, as illustrated in Figure 1. The same applies to associating the same metadata item with multiple image items, which is also demonstrated in Figure 1.

Given a set of images in a file, it is sometimes essential to qualify certain relationships between images. HEIF facilitates indicating a cover image for a file, providing thumbnail images, and associating some or all of the images with an auxiliary image such as an alpha plane.

The design of HEIF also considers the use case of nondestructive image editing, in which the original coded image itself is kept in the file, and the decoded image is transformed in a signaling way to a derived image before presentation. A few basic image editing operations—rotation by 90° multiples, cropping, overlaying,
and tiling—are included in HEIF, while the design is flexible for adding other editing operations as extensions. Derived images are treated similarly to coded images in HEIF; e.g., a derived image can act as an input image in the construction of another derived image. Players supporting the HEVC image brands are required to handle 90° rotations and cropping, hence re-encoding of the images is not needed to guarantee that these simple operations are correctly carried out in all players.

**STORAGE OF IMAGE SEQUENCES**

Figure 2 provides a simplified example of a file containing an image sequence. To keep the figure simple, some mandatory boxes are omitted and the box nesting structure is not illustrated fully. The file metadata for the tracks is stored in the Movie box (“moov”) and its child boxes. The file metadata includes for example information where in the file to locate the coded media data for the tracks. Each track has a type, identified by a four-character handler code. HEIF specifies a new handler type “pict” for image sequences. Tracks comprise samples, such as audio or video frames, which are stored in the Media Data box (“mdat”) or in an external file. Samples that occupy a contiguous byte range form a chunk. The location of chunks within the file is described with the Chunk Offset box (“stco”). In Figure 2, all samples of the track are in the same chunk. Each chunk is associated with one of the sample entries that are provided within the Sample Description box (“stsd”). A sample entry includes decoder initialization information, such as HEVC parameter sets, for the linked samples. In Figure 2, all samples use the same initialization data and hence only one sample entry is defined for the track. The Sample Size box (“stsz”) contains the length of the samples, hence enabling to locate individual samples within each chunk.

As mentioned previously, random access was one of the key requirements for HEIF. ISO/IEC specifies the Sync Sample box (“stts”), which lists the intra pictures and hence facilitates their random access. HEIF introduces two features assisting in accessing inter coded pictures: the Coding Constraints box (“ccst”) and the referenced samples (“refs”) sample group. The Coding Constraints box is required to be present in image sequence tracks and indicates constraints that were used in the encoding of the image sequence. For example, in HEVC-specific image sequence brands, the Coding Constraints box is required to indicate that all reference pictures for inter prediction are intra coded pictures. The “refs” sample group is used to indicate the reference pictures for each inter coded picture. Consequently, decoding of any particular desired picture in an image sequence can be done by first inspecting from the Sync Sample box if the picture is intra coded, in which case the picture can be decoded right away. Otherwise, the “refs” sample group can be inspected to identify and decode the reference pictures first, before decoding the desired picture.

An image sequence track can be used for a set of images with inter picture prediction for improved compression performance, or when an image sequence is
associated with timing information. In
the former case, an untimed playout,
such as showing the images in a gallery
view, should be chosen by the player,
while in the latter case, the image
sequence should be played as a timed
slideshow. HEIF provides the means to
indicate which one of these two is the
preferred playback method.

ISOBMFF provides rich features for
controlling the playback of tracks, such
as cutting away parts of the track and
changing the playback pace. For a tuto-
rial on the timing and track-editing fea-
tures of ISOBMFF, see [7]. In addition,
HEIF introduces a functionality to loop
tracks, which can be useful, e.g., in cin-
emagraphs and animations to achieve
infinite playback.

ISOBMFF provides rich features for
controlling the playback of tracks, such
as cutting away parts of the track and
changing the playback pace. For a tuto-
rial on the timing and track-editing fea-
tures of ISOBMFF, see [7]. In addition,
HEIF introduces a functionality to loop
tracks, which can be useful, e.g., in cin-
emagraphs and animations to achieve
infinite playback.

HEIF enables the grouping of image
items and tracks of a file into alternate
groups. Players should select exactly one
image item or track of an alternate
group for playback. The items and tracks
of an alternate group are listed in their
preference order. This feature enables
the creation of multibranded files,
where, e.g., a cinemagraph and a still
image are indicated to be alternatives to
each other, and both a still image brand
and an image sequence brand are included in the File Type box. In another example,
the same original image is repre-
sented by two alternative images in the
file, one coded with the HEVC Main pro-
file at 1,920 × 1,080 resolution and
another coded with the HEVC Main 10
profile at 3,840 × 2,160 resolution, and
both “heic” and “heix” brands are
included in the File Type box.

Similar to image items, image
sequence tracks may be accompanied by
thumbnail and auxiliary image sequence
tracks. Rotation by multiples of 90° and
cropping can be indicated for image
sequence tracks, and HEVC-specific
image sequence brands are required to
support them.

Metadata storage for image sequences
reuses the existing ISOBMFF mecha-
nisms, i.e., untimed metadata can be in-
cluded in the Meta box of a track,
whereas timed metadata can use a
metadata track linked to the image
sequence track. For example, Exif
metadata that applies to all samples of
an image sequence track can be stored
in the Meta box of a track. Metadata
applying to certain but not all samples
of a track is included as samples of a
timed metadata track, and a metadata
sample is associated with the sample of
an image sequence track that has the
equivalent timing information.

CONCLUSIONS
In this article, we reviewed the features
and the design of HEIF that can be used
for encapsulating HEVC-coded images
and image sequences. We also gave
some insights on the compression per-
formance of HEVC for still image coding
and for some image sequence use cases.
A comparison of the features of HEIF
with other available image file formats is
presented in Table 4. It can be observed
that HEIF is more extensible and compre-
hensive than the others. Particularly, the

---

### [TABLE 4] A COMPARISON OF THE FEATURES OF SOME IMAGE FILE FORMATS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FORMATS AND EXTENSIBILITY</th>
<th>.HEIC</th>
<th>JPEG/EXIF</th>
<th>PNG</th>
<th>GIF (89A)</th>
<th>WEBP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BASE CONTAINER FILE FORMAT</td>
<td>ISOBMFF</td>
<td>TIFF</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>RIFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOSSY COMPRESSION</td>
<td>YES (HEVC)</td>
<td>YES (JPEG)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES (VP8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOSSLESS COMPRESSION</td>
<td>YES (HEVC)</td>
<td>YES (TIFF REV 6.0)</td>
<td>YES (PNG)</td>
<td>YES (GIF)</td>
<td>YES (VPBL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTENSIBLE TO OTHER CODING FORMATS</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METADATA FORMAT (ON TOP OF INTERNAL)</td>
<td>EXIF, XMP, MPEG-7</td>
<td>EXIF</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>EXIF, XMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTENSIBLE TO OTHER METADATA FORMATS</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER MEDIA TYPES (AUDIO, TEXT, ETC.)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>ONLY AUDIO²</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MULTIPICTURE FEATURES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES (MP EXT.)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES³</td>
<td>YES³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMAGE SEQUENCES / ANIMATIONS</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTER CODING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DERIVED IMAGES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MULTIPLE-OF-90° ROTATIONS</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROPPING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TILING/OVERLAYING</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTENSIBLE TO OTHER EDITING OPERATIONS</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUXILIARY PICTURE INFORMATION</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>FULL TRANSPARENCY</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSPARENCY</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THUMBNAIL IMAGE</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ In GIF and indexed color PNG encoding, lossy color quantization is applied while the color-quantized image is losslessly compressed.
² PCM, μ-Law PCM, and ADPCM encapsulated in RIFF WAV.
³ Only for animations and tiling/overlaying.
HEIF standard (ISO/IEC 23008-12) is likely to appear among public ISO standards at http://standards.iso.org/ittf/PubliclyAvailableStandards/


- The WebP container format is specified at https://developers.google.com/speed/webp/docs/webp-lossless-bitstream_specification.

- The MP4 registration authority, available at http://www.mp4ra.org, contains the registrations of all four-character codes and other identifiers used in ISOBMFF and formats derived from it.
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The new IEEE Transactions on Computational Imaging seeks original manuscripts for publication. This new journal will publish research results where computation plays an integral role in the image formation process. All areas of computational imaging are appropriate, ranging from the principles and theory of computational imaging, to modeling paradigms for computational imaging, to image formation methods, to the latest innovative computational imaging system designs. Topics of interest include, but are not limited to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imaging Models and Representation</th>
<th>Computational Photography</th>
<th>Tomographic Imaging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statistical-model based methods</td>
<td>Non-classical image capture, Generalized illumination</td>
<td>X-ray CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System and image prior models</td>
<td>Time-of-flight imaging</td>
<td>PET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise models</td>
<td>High dynamic range imaging</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphical and tree-based models</td>
<td>Focal stacks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceptual models</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Computational Sensing</th>
<th>Computational Photography</th>
<th>Tomographic Imaging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coded source methods</td>
<td>Cell phone imaging</td>
<td>X-ray CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structured light</td>
<td>Camera-array systems</td>
<td>PET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coded aperture methods</td>
<td>Depth cameras</td>
<td>SPECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressed sensing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light-field sensing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plenoptic imaging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware and software systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Computational Image Creation</th>
<th>Computational Acoustic Imaging</th>
<th>Magnetic Resonance Imaging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sparsity-based methods</td>
<td>Multi-static ultrasound imaging</td>
<td>Diffusion tensor imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistically-based inversion methods, Bayesian regularization</td>
<td>Photo-acoustic imaging</td>
<td>Fast acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super-resolution, multi-image fusion</td>
<td>Acoustic tomography</td>
<td>Synthetic aperture imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning-based methods, Dictionary-based methods</td>
<td></td>
<td>Inverse synthetic imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization-based methods; proximal iterative methods, ADMM</td>
<td></td>
<td>Terahertz imaging</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Computational Microscopic Imaging</th>
<th>Geophysical Imaging</th>
<th>Multi-spectral Imaging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holographic microscopy</td>
<td>Multi-spectral imaging</td>
<td>Hyper-spectral imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative phase imaging</td>
<td>Ground penetrating radar</td>
<td>Spectroscopic imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-illumination microscopy</td>
<td>Seismic tomography</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lensless microscopy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Seventh IEEE International Workshop on Information Forensics and Security (WIFS)
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URL: http://www.wifs2015.org/
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IEEE 6th International Workshop on Computational Advances in Multisensor Adaptive Processing (CAMSAP)
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URL: http://inspire.rutgers.edu/camsap2015/

IEEE Workshop on Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding (ASRU)
13–17 December, Scottsdale, Arizona, United States.
URL: http://www.asru2015.org/

IEEE Global Conference on Signal and Information Processing (GlobalSIP)
14–16 December, Orlando, Florida, United States.
General Chairs: Jose M.F. Moura and Dapeng Oliver Wu
URL: http://2015.ieeeglobalsip.org/
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41st IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP)
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General Chairs: Zhi Ding, Zhi-Quan Luo, and Wenjun Zhang
URL: http://icassp2016.org
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The IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI) is a scientific conference dedicated to mathematical, algorithmic, and computational aspects of biomedical imaging, across all scales of observation. It fosters knowledge transfer among different imaging communities and contributes to an integrative approach to biomedical imaging.

ISBI is a joint initiative from the IEEE Signal Processing Society (SPS) and the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBS). The 2016 meeting will include tutorials, and a scientific program composed of plenary talks, invited special sessions, challenges, as well as oral and poster presentations of peer-reviewed papers.

High-quality papers are requested containing original contributions to the topics of interest including image formation and reconstruction, computational and statistical image processing and analysis, dynamic imaging, visualization, image quality assessment, and physical, biological, and statistical modeling. Accepted 4-page regular papers will be published in the symposium proceedings published by IEEE and included in IEEE Xplore.

To encourage attendance by a broader audience of imaging scientists and offer additional presentation opportunities, ISBI 2016 will continue to propose a second track featuring posters selected from 1-page abstract submissions without subsequent archival publication.
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ISBI 2016 will be held in the 4-star Clarion Congress Hotel Prague, one of the most modern congress hotels in Prague, Czech Republic. The hotel has space for up to 2500 delegates and a corresponding accommodation capacity, including a wellness and fitness center. It takes less than 15 minutes by Underground to reach the historical center of Prague, a UNESCO Heritage Site. Do not miss Prague’s world-famous Old Town Square, Charles Bridge, and Prague Castle.
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The new *IEEE Transactions on Signal and Information Processing over Networks* publishes high-quality papers that extend the classical notions of processing of signals defined over vector spaces (e.g. time and space) to processing of signals and information (data) defined over networks, potentially dynamically varying. In signal processing over networks, the topology of the network may define structural relationships in the data, or may constrain processing of the data. Topics of interest include, but are not limited to the following:

**Adaptation, Detection, Estimation, and Learning**
- Distributed detection and estimation
- Distributed adaptation over networks
- Distributed learning over networks
- Distributed target tracking
- Bayesian learning; Bayesian signal processing
- Sequential learning over networks
- Decision making over networks
- Distributed dictionary learning
- Distributed game theoretic strategies
- Distributed information processing
- Graphical and kernel methods
- Consensus over network systems
- Optimization over network systems

**Communications, Networking, and Sensing**
- Distributed monitoring and sensing
- Signal processing for distributed communications and networking
- Signal processing for cooperative networking
- Signal processing for network security
- Optimal network signal processing and resource allocation

**Modeling and Analysis**
- Performance and bounds of methods
- Robustness and vulnerability
- Network modeling and identification

**Modeling and Analysis (cont.)**
- Simulations of networked information processing systems
- Social learning
- Bio-inspired network signal processing
- Epidemics and diffusion in populations

**Imaging and Media Applications**
- Image and video processing over networks
- Media cloud computing and communication
- Multimedia streaming and transport
- Social media computing and networking
- Signal processing for cyber-physical systems
- Wireless/mobile multimedia

**Data Analysis**
- Processing, analysis, and visualization of big data
- Signal and information processing for crowd computing
- Signal and information processing for the Internet of Things
- Emergence of behavior

**Emerging topics and applications**
- Emerging topics
- Applications in life sciences, ecology, energy, social networks, economic networks, finance, social sciences, smart grids, wireless health, robotics, transportation, and other areas of science and engineering

**Editor-in-Chief:** Petar M. Djurić, Stony Brook University (USA)

**To submit a paper, go to:** https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tsipn-ieee
NEW PUBLICATION:
Transactions on Signal and Information Processing over Networks (T-SIPN)*
http://www.signalprocessing society.org/publications/periodicals/tsipn/

>>We are accepting paper submissions: please submit a manuscript here<<

There has been an explosion of research in network systems of various types, including physical, engineered, biological and social systems. Its aim is to find answers to fundamental questions about the systems and with them be able to understand, predict, and control them better. To that end, a core area of work is signal and information processing over networks.

Network systems represent a growing research field encompassing numerous disciplines in science and engineering. Their complexity is reflected in the diversity and the interconnectivity of their elements, which have the capacity to adapt and learn from experience. Applications of network systems are wide and include communications (wireless sensor networks, peer-to-peer networks, pervasive mobile networks, the Internet of Things), the electric power grid, biology, the Internet, the stock market, ecology, and in animal and human societies.

The Transactions on Signal and Information Processing over Networks (T-SIPN) publishes timely peer-reviewed technical articles on advances in the theory, methods, and algorithms for signal and information processing, inference, and learning in network systems. The following core topics define the scope of the Transaction:

Adaptation, Detection, Estimation, and Learning (ADEL)
- Distributed detection and estimation (ADEL-DDE)
- Distributed adaptation over networks (ADEL-DAN)
- Distributed learning over networks (ADEL-DLN)
- Distributed target tracking (ADEL-DTT)
- Bayesian learning; Bayesian signal processing (ADEL-BLSP)
- Sequential learning over networks (ADEL-SLN)
- Decision making over networks (ADEL-DMN)
- Distributed dictionary learning (ADEL-DDL)
- Distributed game theoretic strategies (ADEL-DGTS)
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Technical Program

The SAM Workshop is an important IEEE Signal Processing Society event dedicated to sensor array and multichannel signal processing. The organizing committee invites the international community to contribute with state-of-the-art developments in the field. SAM 2016 will feature plenary talks by leading researchers in the field as well as poster and oral sessions with presentations by the participants.

Welcome to Rio de Janeiro!

– The workshop will be held at the Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, located in Gávea, in a superb area surrounded by beaches, mountains and the Tijuca National Forest, the world’s largest urban forest. Rio de Janeiro is a world renowned city for its culture, beautiful landscapes, numerous tourist attractions and international cuisine. The workshop will take place during the first half of July about a month before the 2016 Summer Olympic Games when Rio will offer plenty of cultural activities and festivities, which will make SAM 2016 a memorable experience.

Research Areas

Authors are invited to submit contributions in the following areas:

- Adaptive beamforming
- Array processing for biomedical applications
- Array processing for communications
- Blind source separation and channel identification
- Computational and optimization techniques
- Compressive sensing and sparsity-based signal processing
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Submission of papers – Full-length four-page papers will be accepted only electronically.

Special session proposals – They should be submitted by e-mail to the Technical Program Chairs and the Special Sessions Chair and include a topical title, rationale, session outline, contact information, and list of invited speakers.
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The new IEEE Transactions on Computational Imaging seeks original manuscripts for publication. This new journal will publish research results where computation plays an integral role in the image formation process. All areas of computational imaging are appropriate, ranging from the principles and theory of computational imaging, to modeling paradigms for computational imaging, to image formation methods, to the latest innovative computational imaging system designs. Topics of interest include, but are not limited to the following:

### Imaging Models and Representation
- Statistical-model based methods
- System and image prior models
- Noise models
- Graphical and tree-based models
- Perceptual models

### Computational Sensing
- Coded source methods
- Structured light
- Coded aperture methods
- Compressed sensing
- Light-field sensing
- Plenoptic imaging
- Hardware and software systems

### Computational Image Creation
- Sparsity-based methods
- Statistically-based inversion methods, Bayesian regularization
- Super-resolution, multi-image fusion
- Learning-based methods, Dictionary-based methods
- Optimization-based methods; proximal iterative methods, ADMM

### Computational Photography
- Non-classical image capture, Generalized illumination
- Time-of-flight imaging
- High dynamic range imaging
- Focal stacks

### Computational Acoustic Imaging
- Multi-static ultrasound imaging
- Photo-acoustic imaging
- Acoustic tomography

### Computational Microscopic Imaging
- Holographic microscopy
- Quantitative phase imaging
- Multi-illumination microscopy
- Lensless microscopy

### Tomographic Imaging
- X-ray CT
- PET
- SPECT

### Magnetic Resonance Imaging
- Diffusion tensor imaging
- Fast acquisition

### Radar Imaging
- Synthetic aperture imaging
- Inverse synthetic imaging
- Terahertz imaging

### Geophysical Imaging
- Multi-spectral imaging
- Ground penetrating radar
- Seismic tomography

### Multi-spectral Imaging
- Multi-spectral imaging
- Hyper-spectral imaging
- Spectroscopic imaging

Editor-in-Chief: W. Clem Karl, Boston University.
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The 23rd IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP) will be held in the Phoenix Convention Centre, Phoenix, Arizona, USA, on September 25 - 28, 2016. ICIP is the world’s largest and most comprehensive technical conference focused on image and video processing and computer vision. In addition to the Technical Program, ICIP 2016 will feature an Innovation Program focused on innovative vision technologies and fostering innovation, entrepreneurship, and networking. The conference will feature world-class speakers, tutorials, exhibits, and a vision technology showcase.

Topics in the ICIP 2016 Technical Program include but are not limited to the following:

- Filtering, Transforms, Multi-Resolution Processing
- Restoration, Enhancement, Super-Resolution
- Computer Vision Algorithms and Technologies
- Compression, Transmission, Storage, Retrieval
- Computational Imaging
- Color and Multispectral Processing
- Multi-View and Stereoscopic Processing
- Multi-Temporal and Spatio-Temporal Processing
- Video Processing and Analytics
- Authentication and Biometrics
- Biological and Perceptual-based Processing
- Visual Quality Assessment
- Scanning, Display, and Printing
- Document and Synthetic Visual Processing
- Applications to various fields (e.g., biomedical, Advanced Driving Assist Systems, assistive living, security, learning, health and environmental monitoring, manufacturing, consumer electronics)

The ICIP 2016 innovation program will feature a vision technology showcase of state-of-the-art vision technologies, innovation challenges, talks by innovation leaders and entrepreneurs, tutorials, and networking.

**Paper Submission:** Prospective authors are invited to submit full-length papers at the conference website, with up to four pages for technical content including figures and references, and with one additional optional 5th page for references only. Submission instructions, templates for the required paper format, and information on “no show” policy are available at [www.icip2016.com](http://www.icip2016.com).

**Tutorials and Special Sessions Proposals:** Tutorials will be held on September 25, 2016. Tutorial proposals should be submitted to tutorials@icip2016.com and must include title, outline, contact information, biography and selected publications for the presenter(s), and a description of the tutorial and material to be distributed to participants. Special Sessions proposals should be submitted to specialsessions@icip2016.com and must include a topical title, rationale, session outline, contact information, and a list of invited papers. For detailed submission guidelines, please refer the ICIP 2016 website at [www.icip2016.com](http://www.icip2016.com).

**Important Deadlines:**
- Special Session and Tutorial Proposals: November 16, 2015
- Notification of Special Session and Tutorial Acceptance: December 18, 2015
- Paper Submissions: January 25, 2016
- Visual Technology Innovator Award Nomination: March 30, 2016
- Authors’ Registration Deadline: May 30, 2016
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Call for Papers - IEEE Journal on Selected Topics in Signal Processing
Special Issue on Person-Centered Signal Processing for Assistive, Rehabilitative and Wearable Health Technologies

Human-centered computing (HCC) has emerged as a major interdisciplined subfield of engineering that puts the human at the center of research activities and places emphasis on understanding human behavior, needs, adaptation, and societal and cultural differences to design better technologies. Person-centered computing and signal processing allows HCC to focus on an individual user’s needs and behaviors while maintaining broad applicability to the wider population through built-in flexibility and the process of co-adaptation. Co-adaptation is the bidirectional process of a human and machine both learning and adapting over time through continual use and experience. The onus of adaptation in a person-centered design lies more with the system and the modus of interaction. The complexity is mainly due to human behavior being multimodal and complex, motivated by needs that are individualized, always changing, and often implicit. Multimodal sensing is commonly targeted at the visual and auditory channels, but there are many other complementary modalities including movement, touch, vital signs, physiological response, and brain-computer interfaces. At the core of every person-centered computing system is a network of sensors. This paradigm has created a need for research to develop and validate models for person-centered systems based on intelligent, reliable, robust and adaptive sensor networks. We invite authors to submit articles representing the cutting edge in signal processing topics including (but not limited to) those listed below. Topics should be approached from a person-centered perspective, considering individualized yet generalizable designs and co-adaptation.

Applications - assistive technology: Computer vision for navigation aids, shopping assistants, social interaction assistants, tactile-vision substitution systems, and general accessibility for individuals who are blind; Audio and acoustic signal processing for speech synthesis and sensory substitution (e.g., tactile-audio) to assist individuals with disabilities in communication and computer access; Signal processing and robust classification techniques for brain-computer interfaces to assist individuals with disabilities in communication and computer access.

Applications – rehabilitation: Signal processing, feature extraction and pattern recognition techniques toward understanding and analyzing motion data from position/inertial body worn sensors, computer vision and depth information to support physical rehabilitation and therapeutic exercise.

Applications – wearable health: Signal processing, machine learning, predictive modeling and gesture/activity recognition for wearable health technology devices including physiological sensors, health monitors, and vital signs trackers; and Gait signal processing, machine learning and activity recognition for gait monitoring including step detection, stride length estimation and event detection (e.g., shuffling, freezing of gait, falls).

Models: Learning and inference tools and models adapted to person-centered signal processing and computing including alternative classification techniques; Signal processing and data fusion methods for multimodal sensor analytics; and Signal processing methods for Wireless Body Area Sensor Networks communication and data fusion.

Prospective authors should visit the IEEE signal processing website for information on paper submission. Manuscripts should be submitted at http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/jstsp-ieee.

Important Dates
- Manuscript submission due: September 1, 2015
- First review completed: November 15, 2015
- Revised manuscript due: December 31, 2015
- Second review completed: February 15, 2016
- Final manuscript due: April 1, 2016
- Publication date: August 2016

Guest Editors
- Sethuraman Panchanathan, Arizona State University, USA, panch@asu.edu
- Diane Cook, Washington State University, USA, cook@eecs.wsu.edu
- Noel O’Connor, Dublin City University, Ireland, noeloconnor@dcu.ie
- Mrinal Mandal, University of Alberta, Canada, mmandal@ualberta.ca
- Mohan Kankanahalli, National University of Singapore, Singapore, mohan@comp.nus.edu.sg
General Chairs
Zhi Ding, Univ. of California, Davis, USA
Zhi-Quan Luo, Univ. of Minnesota, USA
Wenjun Zhang, Shanghai Jiao Tong Univ., China

Technical Program Chairs
P. C. Ching, Chinese Univ. of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
Dominic K.C. Ho, Univ. of Missouri, USA

Finance Chairs
Shuqiang Cui, Texas A&M Univ., USA
Rong Xie, Shanghai Jiao Tong Univ., China

Plenaries Chairs
Zhi-Pei Liang, USUC, USA
Björn Ottersten, Univ. of Luxembourg, Luxembourg

Special Sessions Chairs
Tim Davidson, McMaster Univ., Canada
Jianguo Huang, Northwestern Polytech. Univ., China

Tutorials Chairs
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ICASSP2016: Signal and information processing is the driving heartbeat in the development of technologies that enrich our lives and advance our society. The 41st International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP) will be held in the Shanghai International Convention Center, Shanghai, China between March 20 and 25, 2016. The conference provides, both for researchers and developers, an engaging forum to exchange ideas and propel new developments in this field. The 2016 conference will showcase world-class presentations by internationally renowned speakers and will facilitate a fantastic opportunity to network with like-minded professionals from around the world. Topics include but are not limited to:

- Audio and acoustic signal processing
- Bio-imaging and biomedical signal processing
- Signal processing education
- Speech processing
- Industry technology tracks
- Information forensics and security
- Machine learning for signal processing
- Signal processing for Big Data
- Multimedia signal processing
- Sensor array & multichannel signal processing
- Design & implementation of signal processing systems
- Signal processing for communications & networking
- Image, video & multidimensional signal processing
- Signal processing theory & methods
- Spoken language processing
- Signal processing for the Internet of Things

Shanghai: Shanghai is the most populous city in China and one of the most populous cities in the world. A global city, Shanghai exerts influence over global commerce, finance, culture, art, fashion, research and entertainment. The city is located in the middle portion of the Chinese coast, and sits at the mouth of the Yangtze River. The city is a tourist destination renowned for its historical landmarks, such as the Bund and City God Temple, and its modern and ever-expanding Pudong skyline including the Oriental Pearl Tower. Today, Shanghai is the largest center of commerce and finance in mainland China, and has been described as the "showpiece" of the world’s fastest-growing major economy.

Submission of Papers: Prospective authors are invited to submit full-length papers, with up to four pages for technical content including figures and possible references, and with one additional optional 5th page containing only references. A selection of best student papers will be made by the ICASSP 2016 committee upon recommendations from the Technical Committees.

Tutorial and Special Session Proposals: Tutorials will be held on March 20 and 21, 2016. Tutorial proposals must include title, outline, contact information, biography and selected publications for the presenter(s), and a description of the tutorial and the material to be distributed to participants. Special session proposals must include a topical title, rationale, session outline, contact information, and a list of invited speakers. Additional information can be located at the ICASSP 2016 website.

Signal Processing Letters: Authors of IEEE Signal Processing Letters (SPL) papers will be given the opportunity to present their work at ICASSP 2016, subject to space availability and approval by the ICASSP Technical Program Chairs. SPL papers published between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015 are eligible for presentation at ICASSP 2016.

Show and Tell: S&T offers a perfect stage to showcase innovative ideas in all technical areas of interest at ICASSP. S&T sessions contain demos that are highly interactive and visible. Please refer to the ICASSP 2016 website for additional information regarding demo submission.

Important Deadlines:
- Special session & tutorial proposals: August 3, 2015
- Notification of special session & tutorial acceptance: September 11, 2015
- Submission of regular papers: September 25, 2015
- Signal processing letter submission: December 16, 2015
- Notification of paper acceptance: December 21, 2015
- Revised paper upload: January 22, 2016
- Author registration: January 22, 2016
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For Transactions and Journals:
Authors are encouraged to submit manuscripts of Regular papers (pa-
ners which provide a complete disclosure of a technical premise), or
Comment Correspondences (brief items that provide comment on a
paper previously published in these TRANSACTIONS). Submissions/resubmissions must be previously unpublished and may
not be under consideration elsewhere.
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results accurately reflect the experimental work. In addition, the au-
thors all acknowledge that they accept the rules established for publi-
cation of manuscripts, including agreement to pay all overrun page
charges, color charges, and any other charges and fees associated with
publication of the manuscript. Such charges are not negotiable and
cannot be suspended. The corresponding author is responsible for ob-
taining consent from all co-authors and, if needed, from sponsors
before submission.

In order to be considered for review, a paper must be within the scope
of the journal and represent a novel contribution. A paper is a can-
didate for an Immediate Rejection if it is of limited novelty, e.g. a
straightforward combination of theories and algorithms that are well
established and are repeated on a known scenario. Experimental con-
tributions will be rejected without review if there is insufficient exper-
imental data. These TRANSACTIONS are published in English. Papers
that have a large number of typographical and/or grammatical errors
will also be rejected without review.

In addition to presenting a novel contribution, acceptable manuscripts
must describe and cite related work in the field to put the contribution in
context. Do not give theoretical derivations or algorithm descriptions
that are easily found in the literature; merely cite the reference.

New and revised manuscripts should be prepared following the “Manu-
script Submission” guidelines below, and submitted to the online man-
uscript system, ScholarOne Manuscripts. Do not send original submis-
sions or revisions directly to the Editor-in-Chief or Associate Editors;
they will access your manuscript electronically via the ScholarOne
Manuscript system.

Manuscript Submission. Please follow the next steps.

1. Account in ScholarOne Manuscripts. If necessary, create an
   account in the on-line submission system ScholarOne Manu-
scripts. Please check first if you already have an existing
account which is based on your e-mail address and may
   have been created for you when you reviewed or authored a
previous paper.

2. Electronic Manuscript. Prepare a PDF file containing your
   manuscript in double-column, single-spaced format using a
   font size of 10 points or larger, having a margin of
   at least 1 inch on all sides. Upload this version of the
   manuscript as a PDF file “double.pdf” to the ScholarOne
   Manuscripts site. Since many reviewers prefer a larger font,
you are strongly encouraged to also submit a single-column,
double-spaced version (11 point font or larger), which is
   easy to create with the templates provided by IEEE Author
   Digital Toolbox (http://www.ieee.org/publications stan-
dards/publications/authors/authors_journals.html). Page
   length restrictions will be determined by the double-column
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3. EDICS (Not applicable to Journal of Selected Topics in Signal
   Processing). All submissions must be classified by the author
   with an EDICS (Editors’ Information Classification Scheme) selected from the list of EDICS published online at the at
the publication’s EDICS webpage (*please see the list below). Upon submission of a new manuscript, please choose the
EDICS categories that best suit your manuscript. Failure to do
so will likely result in a delay of the peer review process.

4. Additional Documents for Review. Please upload pdf versions of
   all items in the reference list that are not publicly available, such as unpublished (submitted) papers. Graphical abstracts
   and supplementary materials intended to appear with the final paper (see below) must also be uploaded for review at the
   time of the initial submission for consideration in the review process. Use short filenames without spaces or special char-
acters. When the upload of each file is completed, you will be
asked to provide a description of that file.

5. Supplemental Materials. IEEE Xplore can publish multimedia
files (audio, images, video), datasets, and software (e.g. Matlab
code) along with your paper. Alternatively, you can provide the
   links to such files in a README file that appears on Xplore
along with your paper. For details, please see IEEE Author
   Digital Toolbox under “Multimedia.” To make your work
   reproducible by others, these TRANSACTIONS encourages you
to submit all files that can recreate the figures in your paper.

6. Submission. After uploading all files and proofreading them,
   submit your manuscript by clicking “Submit.” A confirmation
   of the successful submission will open on screen containing
   the manuscript tracking number and will be followed with an
   e-mail confirmation to the corresponding and all contributing
   authors. Once you click “Submit,” your manuscript cannot
   be changed in any way.

7. Copyright Form and Consent Form. By policy, IEEE owns the
   copyright to the technical contributions it publishes on behalf
   of the interests of the IEEE, its authors, and their employers;
   and to facilitate the appropriate reuse of this material by
   others. To comply with the IEEE copyright policies, authors
   are required to sign and submit a completed “IEEE Copyright
   and Consent Form” prior to publication by the IEEE. The
   IEEE recommends authors to use an effective electronic
   copyright form (eCF) tool within the ScholarOne Manu-
scripts system. You will be redirected to the “IEEE Electronic
   Copyright Form” wizard at the end of your original submission;
   please simply sign the eCF by typing your name at the
   proper location and click on the “Submit” button.

Comment Correspondence. Comment Correspondences provide brief
comments on material previously published in these TRANSACTIONS. These items may not exceed 2 pages in double-column, single spaced
format, using 9 point type, with margins of 1 inch minimum on all sides,
and including: title, names and contact information for authors, abstract,
text, references, and an appropriate number of illustrations and/or tables.
Correspondence items are submitted in the same way as regular manu-
scripts (see “Manuscript Submission” above for instructions).
Authors may also submit manuscripts of overview articles, but
note that these include an additional white paper approval process
http://www.signalprocessingsociety.org/publications/overview-arti-
cles/. [This does not apply to the Journal of Selected Topics in Signal
Processing. Please contact the Editor-in-Chief.]
Manuscript Length. For the initial submission of a regular paper, the manuscript may not exceed 13 double-column pages (10 point font), including title; names of authors and their complete contact information; abstract; text; all images, figures and tables, appendices and proofs; and all references. Supplemental materials and graphical abstracts are not included in the page count. For regular papers, the revised manuscript may not exceed 16 double-column pages (10 point font), including title; names of authors and their complete contact information; abstract; text; all images, figures and tables, appendices and proofs; and all references. For Overview Papers, the maximum length is double that for regular submissions at each stage (please reference http://www.signalprocessingjournal.org/publications/overview-articles/ for more information).

Note that any paper in excess of 10 pages will be subject to mandatory overlength page charges. Since changes recommended as a result of peer review may require additions to the manuscript, it is strongly recommended that you practice economy in preparing original submissions.

Note: Papers submitted to the TRANSACTIONS on MULTIMEDIA in excess of 8 pages will be subject to mandatory overlength page charges.

Exceptions to manuscript length requirements may, under extraordinary circumstances, be granted by the Editor-in-Chief. However, such exception does not obviate your requirement to pay for any and all overlength or additional charges that attach to the manuscript.

Resubmission of Previously Rejected Manuscripts. Authors of manuscripts rejected from any journal are allowed to resubmit their manuscripts only once. At the time of submission, you will be asked whether your manuscript is a new submission or a resubmission of an earlier rejected manuscript. If it is a resubmission of a manuscript previously rejected by any journal, you are expected to submit supporting documents identifying the previous submission and detailing how your new version compares all of the reviewers’ comments. Papers that do not disclose connection to a previously rejected paper or that do not provide documentation as to changes made may be immediately rejected.

Author Misconduct. Author misconduct includes plagiarism, self-plagiarism, and research misconduct, including falsification or misrepresentation of results. All forms of misconduct are unacceptable and may result in sanctions and/or other corrective actions. Plagiarism includes copying someone else’s work without appropriate credit, using someone else’s work without clear delineation of citation, and the uncited reuse of an author’s previously published work that also involves other authors. Self-plagiarism involves the verbatim copying or reuse of an author’s own prior work without appropriate citation, including duplicate submission of a single journal manuscript to two different journals, and submission of two different journal manuscripts which overlap substantially in language or technical contribution. For more information on the definitions, investigation process, and corrective actions related to author misconduct, see the Signal Processing Society Policies and Procedures Manual, Section 6.1. http://www.signalprocessingjournal.org/about-sps-gov/author-policies/

Extensions of the Author’s Prior Work. It is acceptable for conference papers to be used as the basis for a more fully developed journal submission. Still, authors are required to cite their related prior work; the paper should not be identical, and the journal publication must include substantively novel aspects such as new experimental results and analysis or added theoretical work. The journal publication should clearly specify how the journal paper offers novel contributions when citing the prior work. Limited overlap with prior journal publications with a companion extended abstract is permitted. Any differences for the reader should be clear from the paper, and the prior work must be cited as the primary source.

Submission Format. Authors are required to prepare manuscripts employing the on-line style files developed by IEEE, which include guidelines for abbreviations, mathematics, and graphics. All manuscripts accepted for publication will require authors to make final submissions employing these style files. The style files are available on the web at the IEEE Author Digital Toolbox under “Template for all TRANSACTIONS.” (LaTeX and MS Word). Please note the following requirements about the abstract:

- The abstract must be concise yet comprehensive reflection of what is in your article.
- The abstract must be self-contained, without abbreviations, footnotes, displayed equations, or references.
- The abstract should include a few keywords or phrases, as this will help readers to find it. Avoid over-repetition of such phrases as this can result in a page being rejected by search engines.

In addition to written abstracts, papers may include a graphical abstract; see http://www.ieee.org/publications_standards/publications/authors/authors_journals.html for options and format requirements.

IEEE supports the publication of author names in the native language alongside the English versions of the names in the author list in an article. For more information, see “Author names in native languages” (http://www.ieee.org/publications_standards/publications/authors/auth_names_native_lang.pdf) on the IEEE Author Digital Toolbox page.

Open Access. The publication is a hybrid journal, allowing either traditional manuscript submission or Open Access (author-pays OA) manuscript submission. Upon submission, if you choose to have your manuscript be an Open Access article, you commit to pay the discounted $1,750 OA fee if your manuscript is accepted for publication in order to enable unrestricted public access. Any other application charges (such as overlength page charge and/or charge for the use of color in the print format) will be billed separately once the manuscript formatting is complete but prior to the publication. If you would like your manuscript to be a Traditional submission, your article will be made available to qualified subscribers and purchasers via IEEE Xplore.

No OA payment is required for Traditional submission.

Page Charges. Voluntary Page Charges. Upon acceptance of a manuscript for publication, the author(s) or his/her/their company or institution will be asked to pay a charge of $110 per page to cover part of the cost of publication of the first ten pages that comprise the standard length (two pages), in the case of Correspondences.

Mandatory Page Charges The author(s) or his/her/their company or institution will be billed $220 per each page in excess of the first ten published pages for regular papers and six published pages for correspondence items. (**NOTE: Papers accepted to IEEE TRANSACTIONS on MULTIMEDIA in excess of 8 pages will be subject to mandatory overlength page charges.) These are mandatory page charges and the author(s) will be held responsible for them. They are not negotiable or voluntary. The author(s) signifies his willingness to pay these charges simply by submitting his/her manuscript to the TRANSACTIONS. The Publisher holds the right to withhold publication under any circumstances, as well as publication of the current or future submissions of authors who have outstanding mandatory page charge debt. No mandatory overlength page charges will be applied to overview articles in the Society’s journals.

Color Charges. Color figures which appear in color only in the electronic version can be utilized with charge. In this case, the figure will be printed in the hardcopy version of the grayscale, and the author is responsible that the corresponding grayscale figure is intelligible. Color reproduction charges for print are the responsibility of the author. Details of the associated charges can be found on the IEEE Publications page.

Payment of fees on color reproduction is not negotiable or voluntary, and the author’s agreement to publish the manuscript in these TRANSACTIONS is considered acceptance of this requirement.
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